Power-to-Steel: Reducing CO₂ through the Integration of Renewable Energy and Hydrogen into the German Steel Industry
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Abstract: This paper analyses some possible means by which renewable power could be integrated into the steel manufacturing process, with techniques such as blast furnace gas recirculation (BF-GR), furnaces that utilize carbon capture, a higher share of electrical arc furnaces (EAFs) and the use of direct reduced iron with hydrogen as reduction agent (H-DR). It is demonstrated that these processes could lead to less dependence on—and ultimately complete independence from—coal. This opens the possibility of providing the steel industry with power and heat by coupling to renewable power generation (sector coupling). In this context, it is shown using the example of Germany that with these technologies, reductions of 47–95% of CO₂ emissions against 1990 levels and 27–95% of primary energy demand against 2008 can be achieved through the integration of 12–274 TWh of renewable electrical power into the steel industry. Thereby, a substantial contribution to reducing CO₂ emissions and fuel demand could be made (although it would fall short of realizing the German government’s target of a 50% reduction in power consumption by 2050).
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1. Introduction

The growth of the global economy and population is strongly associated with a continuous increase in primary energy demand. In 2012, 81% of energy was generated by fossil fuels, which emitted around 30.2 billion tons of CO₂ [1]. In its World Energy Outlook 2012 [1], the International Energy Agency (IEA) outlines in the “450 Scenario” that the average increase of global temperature could be limited to 2 °C if CO₂ emissions could be reduced to 22 billion tons a year by 2035. This would mean that annual global CO₂ emissions must be reduced by approximately 30% from the year 2012 until 2035. As a worldwide leader in climate protection-focused policy, the targets of the German government are more ambitious. In its energy concept 2010/2011, it set out the goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions in Germany by 80–95% by 2050 against 1990 levels, with a simultaneous reduction of electrical power demand by 25% and primary energy demand by 50% by 2050 against
2008 levels. The primary means foreseen for achieving this is the expansion of renewable energies, which are intended to provide 60% of final energy consumption and 80% of power production by 2050 [2]. Therefore, the German landscape has seen a continuous expansion of wind turbines during 2003 to 2015 from 14 to 45 GW and photovoltaic installations in the same time from 0.5 to 39 GW for power production [3].

However, both technologies are characterized by fluctuating electrical power provision due to the volatile nature of wind and solar radiation, so that there are times when supply of electricity is scare and times when it is abundant. To integrate a high proportion of wind and solar power into the energy system, a large-scale storage solution is required to compensate for the temporal imbalances between production and demand. For this task, power-to-gas technologies that convert surplus power into other forms of final energy such as thermal or chemical energy (e.g., hydrogen or synthetic methane) are suitable, even though electrical power has the highest degree in energy [4–6]. The production of gases using excess electrical power at times when more electricity than needed is produced offers different opportunities. On the one hand, the gas can be reconverted into electrical power with gas turbines or fuel cells during periods when demand for electrical power is higher than production. On the other hand, conventional gas power plants can be used for backup power to compensate gaps in electricity production, with the gases produced being used in other applications, such as fuel for transportation or for heating in several sectors [5,7,8]. The direct or indirect use of electrical power from renewable energies to provide heat, cold and operating power across all sectors is also known as sector coupling [9], which is shown in simplified form in Figure 1. Furthermore, synthetic methane or hydrogen can be used directly as a feedstock in the chemical industry [10]. The integration of renewable energies into different shapes, applications and sectors can lead to a reduction in CO₂ emissions beyond the power sector [6,11,12]. Although the step of converting hydrogen to methane incurs additional energy (η = 80%) [4,5], an advantage of synthetic methane is that it can be fed directly into the natural gas grid so that existing infrastructure and technologies for heat generation can be used.

However, renewable power is not always directly convertible, especially in certain industrial processes. This is exemplified by the steel industry, which is mainly dependent on coal or coke, not only as an energy source but also for necessary process engineering [13]. In a conventional blast furnace, coal or coke serves as a reducing agent, heat provider and ensures the mechanical stability of the different layers of coke and iron ore inside the furnace (see also Section 4.1). For the need to reduce CO₂ emissions is particularly acute in steelmaking, as it constitutes one of the most energy- and carbon dioxide-intensive industrial processes worldwide; Germany, serving as a case study in this paper, is no

![Figure 1. Principle of sector coupling, description from [9].](image-url)
exception (see Section 3) [14]. The literature contains many studies that deal with the overall potential of CO2 reduction in the steel industry in terms of increasing efficiency [15–18]. Fujii and Managi (2015) [19] for example proposed and measured optimal production resource reallocation using data envelopment analysis. Their research clarifies the effect of optimal production resource reallocation on CO2 emissions reduction, focusing on regional and industrial characteristics [19]. Nevertheless, studies that specifically pertain to alternative processes and the potential to integrate renewable power are lacking.

In a bid to contribute to filling this gap, this study evaluates blast furnace gas recirculation (BF-GR) [20,21], blast furnace carbon capture (BF-CC) [20,21], the possibility of a higher share of steelmaking being conducted with electrical arc furnaces (EAFs) [22] and the direct reduction of iron ore with renewable hydrogen (H-DR) [23] technologies and methods that not only have the potential to reduce sector CO2 emissions, but also to shift the steel industry’s energy demand away from coal to other energy carriers that can be provided by renewable energies. Therefore, the objective of the present study is to determine whether it is possible to integrate renewably-generated power into the conventional coal-based steel industry via alternative manufacturing technologies or measures and to analyze the effect on CO2 emissions.

Additionally, the above-mentioned aims of the German Government regarding the simultaneous reduction of CO2 emissions, as well as electrical power and primary energy demand are also taken into account and analyzed. As the German Government did not publish particular objectives of CO2 and energy reduction for special sectors or processes, it is assumed at this point that the steel industry should achieve an 80–95% CO2 reduction on 1990 levels by 2050 and simultaneously a reduction in electrical power demand by 25% and primary energy demand by 50% against 2008 by 2050. While this analysis is conducted within the context of Germany, the methodology and data on the technologies could be applied to similar analyses focused elsewhere, as the steel industry is similarly constructed around the world (see Section 3).

2. Method and Procedure

To achieve the objectives of the present study, the state-of-the-art conventional method of steelmaking is first described and its energy demand determined using data from the literature. On the basis of this data and the specific emission factors of fuels, feedstocks and electrical power, energy-related emissions are calculated. Emissions arising from plant construction, fuel procurement and maintenance are not considered. For the calculation of the energy as well as process-related emissions, only CO2 was included because the greenhouse gas emissions of the relevant energy carriers consist of 98.8% CO2 and the process emissions of steel manufacturing of CO2 only [24,25]. Secondly, selected measures and alternative processes that have the potential to reduce CO2 emissions and incorporate renewable energy into the integrated steelworks are considered. These potential CO2-reduction technologies were analyzed in the same manner as conventional processes to determine energy demand and CO2 emissions.

Finally, a comparison of the energy demand and CO2 emissions between the conventional processes and alternative processes should indicate if it is possible to achieve the emission and energy consumption reduction aims of the German government, first without (Sections 6.1–6.3) and then with (Section 6.4) the integration of renewable energies into the steelmaking process. For this comparison, not only were blast furnaces investigated in isolation, but also connected processes, like coking plants, sinter production and blast furnace gas utilization processes were analyzed for their energy demand and CO2 emissions. A detailed outline of the analytical framework can be found in Section 6. The method used in this study is based on common CO2 and energy balance calculations derived from data in the literature.

Table 1 shows the CO2 emission factors of the most important energy carriers and gases that are used for steelmaking. For the analyses of CO2 emissions in Sections 4.1, 4.2, 5.1–5.4 and 6.1–6.3, the electricity use assumed is based on the German electricity mix from 2012, which had a CO2 emission factor of 160 kgCO2/GJ.
Table 1. CO₂ emission factors of fuels, electrical power and feedstocks [4,24,26–28].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Carrier/Gases</th>
<th>Description/Assumptions</th>
<th>CO₂ Emission Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas (NG)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56 kgCO₂/GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blast furnace gas (BF gas)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>257.8 kgCO₂/GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coke</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105.0 kgCO₂/GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard coal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94.2 kgCO₂/GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coke oven gas (COG)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40.0 kgCO₂/GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic oxygen furnace gas (BOF gas)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>257.8 kgCO₂/GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German electricity mix 2012</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>160 kgCO₂/GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>Energy demand of O₂ provision by cryogenic air separation: 0.745 MJel/kgO₂ [27]</td>
<td>119.2 kgCO₂/tH₂ (powered by the German electricity mix 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>Energy demand of N₂ provided by cryogenic air separation: 0.576 MJel/kgN₂ [28]</td>
<td>92.18 kgCO₂/tH₂ (powered by the German electricity mix 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen produced through the steam reforming of natural gas (NG)</td>
<td>η = 84% [29] =&gt;1.190 GJNG/GJH₂</td>
<td>66.64 kg/GJ (8000 kgCO₂/tH₂)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen produced through water electrolysis powered by the German electricity mix 2012</td>
<td>η = 70% [4] =&gt;1.428 GJel/GJH₂</td>
<td>119 kg/GJ (27,418 kgCO₂/tH₂)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable electrical power</td>
<td>Only energy-related CO₂ emissions are considered</td>
<td>0.0 kgCO₂/GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen produced by water electrolysis powered by renewable electrical power</td>
<td>η = 70% [4] =&gt;1.428 GJel/GJH₂</td>
<td>0.0 kg/GJ (0.0 kgCO₂/tH₂)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two different cases based on the use of hydrogen are also considered. In the first of these, hydrogen was produced by steam reforming [29], with an energy demand of 1.190 MJNG/MJH₂ and related CO₂ emissions of 66.64 kgCO₂/GJH₂, while in the other case it was sourced by water electrolysis powered by the electricity mix [4] In Section 6.4, which deals with the integration of renewable energies, it is assumed that electrical power is exclusively provided by renewable sources such as solar cells or wind turbines. Hence, zero CO₂ emissions are assumed because only the energy-related CO₂ emissions are considered in this study. In this case, the CO₂ emissions arising from hydrogen provided via water electrolysis are zero as well. Furthermore, natural gas is substituted by synthetic natural gas, which is produced by a methanation process that entails the hydrogenation of CO₂, in which the necessary hydrogen is provided by water electrolysis powered by renewable electricity, as shown in Figure 1. Since the provision of CO₂ is not considered at this point, it is assumed that the CO₂ emission factor of the synthetic methane is also zero. Synthetic methane is considered for heat provision in this study because it can directly substitute natural gas without a change in the combustion technologies. At this point, a detailed description of the different technologies is omitted, because this would be beyond the scope of the present study. More information about the technologies can be found in Schiebahn et al. [4,5] and Agostinha et al. [29].

3. Global and German Steel Industry

In 2012, the global production of crude steel exceeded 1560 million tons [30], produced mainly in oxygen blast furnaces (BF-BOF) (70.49%) by iron ore and in EAFs with iron metal scrap (28.62%) [31]. Other crude steel production routes, such as open hearth furnaces (OHF), smelting reduction (SR) or
direct reduction (DR) contributed only a minor portion to this, with a share of less than 1% of the total amount produced [31].

In 2012, crude steel manufacturing constituted 5.5% of global primary energy demand and was the source of 8.0% of total global CO₂ emissions (data based on Table 2). The largest manufacturer of crude steel is China, whose share amounts to approximately 47% followed by Japan, the USA, India and Russia (see Figure 2).

Although its production quantity is relatively low compared to China, Germany is the eighth largest producer in the world (see Figure 2). In 2012, it produced 42.7 million tons of crude steel, similar to the global average of 67.67% produced through the BF-BOF method and 32.32% via the EAF route, with a primary energy demand of 762.76 PJ and CO₂ emissions of 57.84 million tons, which corresponds to 5.67% of primary overall German energy consumption and 7.01% of German CO₂ emissions (see Table 2). The steel industry’s manufacturing processes are the most energy- and carbon dioxide-intensive in Germany, accounting for approximately 24% of thermal energy demand and 28% of CO₂ emissions in German industry, although this is only “one” product of “millions” [32].

\[
\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 1.5\text{C} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe} + 1.5\text{CO}_2 \\
\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{CO} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe} + 3\text{CO}_2
\]

(1) (2)

4. Conventional Steelmaking Processes

In this section, the steel/iron industry is analyzed, beginning with a description of conventional steelmaking processes using blast furnaces, which is the most energy-intensive part of the steelmaking process.

Figure 2. Share of countries in total steel production in 2012 [31]. The ten largest steel manufacturers in the world (2012). Total global production: 1560 million tons of crude steel.

Table 2. Data from the year 2012 used for calculation to classify the steel industry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Industry</th>
<th>Global</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary energy demand (EJ/a)</td>
<td>559.82</td>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net power consumption (TWH/a)</td>
<td>22,668</td>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions (million t/a)</td>
<td>35,083</td>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturing Process</th>
<th>Crude Steel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Output (million t/a)</td>
<td>1560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average primary energy demand (GJth/tCrude steel)</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average CO₂ emissions (tCO₂/tCrude steel)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above-mentioned CO₂ emissions already include process-related emissions. The reduction of iron ore (Fe₂O₃) to pig iron, directly with coke (Equation (1)) or indirectly with carbon monoxide (Equation (2)), which is formed in situ with oxygen inside of oxygen blast furnace (simplified in Equation (1)), produces reaction-related CO₂ emissions. The specific process-related emissions are typically 0.588 tCO₂/tpig iron [35].
process, followed by steel production in an electric arc furnace. The SR and DR are not considered here because these methods make up only a negligible proportion of the global total and are not practiced in the German steel industry (see Section 3). The second part of this presents an analysis of measures and alternative processes that have the potential to reduce CO₂ emissions and integrate a higher share of renewable energy into steelmaking.

4.1. Conventional Blast Furnace Route

Figure 3 schematically displays a typical blast furnace for steelmaking. Before the feedstock iron ore is fed into the top of the blast furnace, it is pretreated in a sinter or pellet plant and mixed with additives such as limestone (CaCO₃) and dolomite (CaMg(CO₃)₂). This mixture of sinter, pellets and additives is referred to as the burden. In the blast furnace, the iron of the burden, which comprises a mixture of hematite (Fe₂O₃) and magnetite (Fe₃O₄), is reduced and the resulting iron melted. For the separation of oxygen and iron in conventional blast furnaces, coke and coal dust are typically used as reduction agents. The burden and coke are fed into the top of the furnace in alternating batches, while at the same time coal dust and hot air, enriched with oxygen, are injected into the lower part of the furnace (see Figure 3). The blast furnace is a continuously operated shaft furnace that works on a countercurrent principle. The reducing agents coke and coal react with/burn the oxygen to mainly produce carbon monoxide (CO) and heat. The CO reduces the iron ore to metal iron, producing CO₂ (Equation (1)). The blast furnace gas leaves the furnace at the top, while the molten metal and slag are collected in the hearth at the bottom, where both are discharged at regular intervals. The BF gas, which is under pressure (1.25–3.5 bar) and still has a heat value, is first expanded over a turbine to recover electrical power (18 kWh/t pig iron) and then a portion of it (1.536 GJ/t pig iron) is used to heat up the air, which is then led to the furnace. The main part of the BF gas is thereafter exported to other processes of the integrated steelworks [38,39]. The energy demand of an average blast furnace (see Figure 3) is 15.95 GJ/t pig iron. Considering the export of blast furnace gas (4.719 GJ/t pig iron), the net energy requirement is 11.4 GJ/t pig iron. These values include the power demand for the O₂ and N₂ provision via cryogenic air separation, but not the energy demand for coke and burden production, which are additional processes and considered in Section 6. For the calculations of the CO₂ emissions—through the use of emission factors from Table 1 and the energy data from Figure 3—only the upstream chain of N₂ and O₂, as well as the used electrical power, are considered.

![Figure 3. Simplified scheme of a blast furnace based on average data from UBA 2012 [39].](image)

The CO₂ emissions of the process are 514 kgCO₂/t pig iron as a result of burning a part of the BF gas (462 kgCO₂/t pig iron) for preheating the air and the emissions generated by the electrical power demand of the process and the N₂ and CO₂ provision (51.5 kgCO₂/t pig iron). However, a large proportion of
the CO₂, which is formed inside the blast furnace, exits the process in the form of exported BF gas. The CO₂ concentration in the gas is 21% and when this is also considered in the CO₂ balance, CO₂ emissions are 1099 kg CO₂/t pig iron. Moreover, the process-related CO₂ emissions accruing from iron ore reduction are already a part of the BF gas. As shown in Figure 3, the largest part of the conventional blast furnace’s energy demand is provided by coke and coal (94%), hence the integration of renewable energies to achieve a large reduction of CO₂ emissions is not possible.

4.2. Electrical Arc Furnace

In an EAF, materials that contain iron, such as scrap, are melted directly through the use of electrical power. The resulting product from this process is liquid steel rather than pig iron, as in blast furnaces. Initially, a natural gas burner assists with the melting process. For process engineering and metallurgical reasons, oxygen nitrogen and coal are inserted into the liquid steel [39]. The energy demand and resultant CO₂ emissions entailed by the production of one ton of crude steel are shown in Table 3. The specific energy demand, including the electrical power demand for the provision of N₂ and O₂, is 3.34 GJ/t LS, which is only 30% of blast furnace energy demand. The CO₂ emissions are 508 kg/t LS, which also includes the emissions for electrical power (German electricity mix) and N₂, O₂ provision and process-related CO₂ emissions caused by decarburization processes and electrode burn-off [39].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Demand per Ton of Liquid Steel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrical power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall energy demand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CO₂ Emissions per Ton of Liquid Steel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process-related: Decarburization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and electrode burn-off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CO₂ emissions including N₂ and O₂ provision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: \textsuperscript{a} German electricity mix (2012); \textsuperscript{b} see also Table 1.

In contrast to blast furnaces, EAFs not only have the potential to reduce CO₂ emissions through lower energy demand, but also through the use of renewable electricity and synthetic methane instead of natural gas. Therefore, a higher share of steelmaking in EAF is discussed as an alternative means of CO₂ reduction and the integration of renewable energies in Sections 5.3 and 6.

5. Alternative Processes for Steelmaking

In this section, the following selected CO₂-reduction technologies or routes are evaluated for use in the steel industry: (Section 5.1) Blast furnaces with gas recirculation (BF-GR) [20,21]; (Section 5.2) blast furnaces with carbon capture capability (BF-CC) [20,21]; (Section 5.3) a higher share of steelmaking using EAFs at constant steel production volume [22]; and (Section 5.4) the direct reduction of iron ore using hydrogen as the reduction agent (Circored Process) [23]. These technologies were selected by the authors because all of them have the potential to reduce a huge amount of CO₂ through the implementation of one measure or technology, and all have already attained commercialization potential, or are on the verge thereof [20,40]. In addition, these technologies would enable greater independence from coal, such that energy demand can be met by other, less CO₂-intensive fossil sources
like natural gas or directly with emission-free renewable sources such as renewable electrical power or renewable gases like synthetic methane or hydrogen. Furthermore, the CO$_2$ reduction potential of a higher share of steelmaking in EAFs at constant steel production is also considered in Section 5.3, because this could lead to a relatively simple reduction in CO$_2$ emissions without the implementation of new technologies. The analysis of other technologies like the electrochemical cleavage of iron [41] ore are not considered, because these technologies are still at the basic research stage and unlikely to be marketable before 2040 [20]. Furthermore, many possible efficiency measures relating to the whole integrated steelworks, which are described in Santos 2013 [21], for example, are not considered, because one of the targets of this study is to illuminate technologies and measures that lead to large reductions in CO$_2$ emissions and to determine the possibility of integrating renewable energies.

5.1. Blast Furnace with Blast Furnace Gas Recirculation

Figure 4 outlines a blast furnace with BF-GR. Ultra-Low Carbon Dioxide Steelmaking (ULCOS), a consortium of 48 European companies and organizations [41], has developed and evaluated this technology [20,21,42,43]. BF-GR is intended as a replacement of air (hot blast) by nearly pure oxygen and pretreated BF gas. At the pretreatment stage, the BF gas is separated in a CO$_2$-rich and a CO-rich-gas by vacuum pressure swing adsorption (VPSA). The CO-rich gas stream is fed into the furnace and the CO$_2$-rich stream, which still contains CO and H$_2$ (see Figure 5), is used for preheating the CO-rich stream. Due to the recycling of CO to the furnace, coke demand drops by 45% compared to the conventional blast furnace (Figure 3).

The reason for the reduction in coke demand is that CO, as well as coke, can act as a fuel and reduction agent of iron ore (see Equation (2)). With the help of data from Danloy 2009, Santos 2013 and Danloy 2008 [20,21,42], the net energy demand of the BF-GR, inclusive of the provision of N$_2$ and O$_2$, was determined to be 11.6 GJ/tpig iron. The reason for the reduction in coke demand is that CO, as well as coke, can act as a fuel and reduction agent of iron ore (see Equation (2)). With the help of data from Danloy 2009, Santos 2013 and Danloy 2008 [20,21,42], the net energy demand of the BF-GR, inclusive of the provision of N$_2$ and O$_2$, was determined to be 11.6 GJ/tpig iron. Although the coke demand is less than in the conventional process, net energy demand is slightly higher, because the conventional process exports the largest part of the BF gas, which is used in this technology. Moreover, the electrical energy demand increases due to the lack of power recovery due to BF gas expanding and the additional
energy demand for VPSA and the higher amount of necessary pure oxygen. These circumstances lead to the result that CO₂ emissions (calculated with the emission factors of Table 1 and data from Figure 4) arising directly from blast furnaces with gas recirculation (1065 kg/tpig iron) are higher than for the conventional process (514 kg/tpig iron). However, when the CO₂ content of the exported BOF gas is also taken into account in the context of the conventional blast furnace, the emissions of the blast furnace with gas recirculation is slightly less (1065 kg/tpig iron vs. 1099 kg/tpig iron). At this point, it should be pointed out again that the CO₂ emissions are only based on the combustions of fuels, and that CO₂ emissions for building the facilities were not considered. The extent to which the complete CO₂ reduction potential, as well as the potential to integrate renewable energy, is due to the reduction of coke and the lack of exported blast furnace gas becomes apparent under consideration of the entire integrated steelwork process, which is analyzed in detail in Section 6.

5.2. Blast Furnace with Carbon Capture

Figure 5 shows a schematic of a blast furnace with BF gas recirculation and carbon capture (BF-CC). This concept is also developed by ULCOS [21,44]. The main difference to the BF-GR is that the BF gas is separated by chemical scrubbing in an almost pure CO₂ stream (>99.99%) and a CO-rich stream is led back to the blast furnace. Because the CO₂-rich stream has no heat value, it cannot be used for preheating the CO-rich stream as in the case above (see Figure 4). Therefore, natural gas is used for the preheating of the stream, as well as for the additional thermal energy demand of the CO₂-separation unit via chemical scrubbing. To avoid CO₂ emissions to the air, the pure CO₂ stream must be geologically-stored or used as a feedstock for chemical products or synthetic gases [5,10]. According to the data on ULCOS (see Figure 5), the coke demand drops to 7.26 GJ/tpig iron, which is 30% lower than in the conventional process. The coke drop is not as high as with the BF-GR, because part of the CO₂-rich stream (1.69 GJ/tpig iron) is exported. The net energy demand of the blast furnace with carbon capture is higher than for both the other cases above (Figures 3 and 4) because of the lack of BF gas for preheating the air or the recirculated gas, and the additional thermal and electrical energy demand of the CO₂ separation unit. However, the direct CO₂ emissions from the furnace are very low (382 kgCO₂/tpig iron) because of the separation of 867 kgCO₂/tpig iron. Even taking into account the CO₂ content of the exported BF gas, the emissions are 392 kgCO₂/tpig iron and thus less than in a conventional blast furnace and the BF-GR. The entire CO₂ reduction potential, due to the reduction of coke and the less exported BF gas, as well as the potential to integrate renewable energy, becomes apparent under consideration of the entire integrated steelworks, which are analyzed in detail in Section 6.

5.3. A Higher Share of Steelmaking in EAF

As was already shown in Section 4.2, steel manufacturing in an EAF is less energy and CO₂-intensive than in a blast furnace, because iron ore reduction is not necessary due to the use of scrap as feedstock. In addition, thermal and electrical energy demand can be easily substituted by the combustions of fuels, and that CO₂ emissions for building the facilities were not considered. The extent to which the complete CO₂ reduction potential, as well as the potential to integrate renewable energy, is due to the reduction of coke and the lack of exported blast furnace gas becomes apparent under consideration of the entire integrated steelwork process, which are analyzed in detail in Section 6.

![Figure 5. Simplified scheme of a blast furnace with gas recirculation and carbon capture based on average data from Santos 2009 and UNIDO 2010 [21,44].](image-url)
5.3. A Higher Share of Steelmaking in EAF

As was already shown in Section 4.2, steel manufacturing in an EAF is less energy and CO₂-intensive than in a blast furnace, because iron ore reduction is not necessary due to the use of scrap as feedstock. In additional, thermal and electrical energy demand can be easily substituted by renewable energies without changes in technology. Under the assumption that German steel production remains constant, it would be possible to reduce the energy demand by 12.61 GJ/t₆ and the CO₂ emissions by 507 kg/t₆ (related to the CO₂ emissions of the blast furnace inclusive CO₂ content in the BF gas), which is more produced in an EAF. However, the available quantity of scrap is limited. In the study “climate protection scenario 2050”, which was conducted on behalf of the German Federal Government [22], the potential for additional steel production in EAF is limited to 6.1 Mt/a. Furthermore, with respect to the energy and CO₂-reduction potential mentioned above, there is a broader reduction in both due to the lack of coke and sinter as feedstocks. These effects are also analyzed in Section 6.

5.4. Direct Reduction of Iron Ore Using Hydrogen (Circored Process)

The Circored process [23,45] produces direct reduced iron briquettes from iron ore fines and uses pure hydrogen as a reduction agent. The first commercial-scale plant has operated in Trinidad since 1999 and has a capacity of 65 t/a. During the process, iron ore fines are dried and heated through the combustion of natural gas at temperatures of up to 850–900 °C. Finally, it is reduced by hydrogen produced via natural gas reforming. Using hydrogen as a reducing agent leads to the formation of water (see Equation (3)) rather than CO₂ as a byproduct (see Equation (2)).

\[
\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{H}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{Fe} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]  

The product of the Circored process is not pig iron, but a solid iron sponge in the form of briquettes or fines with a metallization of approximately 95%, which can be added to the charge of an EAF to produce steel. The reason for the reduction of the iron ore fines by hydrogen is the products of the Circored process contain no carbon. Therefore, an injection of coal into the iron bath of the EAF is also necessary for metallurgical reasons, particularly when the charge of the EAF has a share higher than 40% of direct reduction iron [45]. Figure 6 shows a simplified scheme of the Circored process and the subsequent treatment of iron sponge in EAF. The data on the Circored process are from Elmquist 2002 and Nubert 2006 [23,45], while, for the EAF part, the same values as for the conventional process were used. An additional amount of coal (0.574 GJ/t LS) is also assumed and is needed for injection into the iron bath to achieve a carbon content of 2% and 100% charge of sponge iron in the EAF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circored process [23,45]</th>
<th>Electric arc furnace (EAF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy demand per 1.03 ton of hot briquetted iron (HBI)</td>
<td>Energy demand per ton of liquid steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical power</td>
<td>0.46 GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas for heat provision</td>
<td>5.62 GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas for H₂ provision</td>
<td>8.31 GJ (58.17 kgo₂)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy demand</td>
<td>14.9 GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy demand of the Circored process + EAF</td>
<td>18.3 GJ/ht₆</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. Simplified scheme of the Circored process connected with an electrical arc furnace.  

a For more information, see Table 1.  
b Additional coal to achieve 2% carbon content in steel and 100% charge of sponge iron in the EAF.
The energy demand of the Circored process, together with the following EAF process, is 18.3 GJ/t_{LS} and the corresponding CO\textsubscript{2} emissions are 1.206 kg/t_{LS}. Both the total energy demand and CO\textsubscript{2} emissions are higher than for pig iron manufacturing in the conventional blast furnace (15.95 GJ/t_{pig\text{iron}}, 1099 kgCO\textsubscript{2}/t_{pig\text{iron}}) inclusive CO\textsubscript{2} in exported BF gas, (see Figure 3). However, the Circored process has the advantage that no energy-intensive upstream processes such as a coke oven are necessary and no CO\textsubscript{2}-intensive gases like BF gas are exported. The effects on energy demand and CO\textsubscript{2} emissions of the whole integrated steelworks with the use of fossil against renewable energies is also discussed in Section 6.

5.4.1. Direct Reduction of Iron Ore with Hydrogen from Electrolysis

In the Circored process, the requisite hydrogen is produced from natural gas by an external steam reformer (Section 5.4). It is also possible that the hydrogen is produced by water electrolysis. However in the case that the electrical energy demand of 12.5 GJ/t_{LS} (Table 4) is provided by the German electricity mix and only the heat is provided by natural gas, the CO\textsubscript{2} emissions total 2407 kg/t_{LS}, which are two-times higher than in the case with hydrogen production via the steam reforming of natural gas. Furthermore, the energy demand is higher due to the lower efficiency of the electrolyzer (\eta = 70\%) compared to a steam reformer (\eta = 84\%) (Table 1).

To achieve a major reduction in CO\textsubscript{2} emissions, it is necessary that the electrical power is fully supplied by renewable sources. In this case, the CO\textsubscript{2} emissions are only 409 kg/t_{LS} and thus much lower than for the process via hydrogen from steam reforming. A further reduction in CO\textsubscript{2} could be achieved with the substitution of natural gas by synthetic methane for heat supply (Section 6.4).

Table 4. Energy demand and CO\textsubscript{2} emissions of the Circored process connected to an EAF for the hydrogen production via water electrolysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy demand per 1.03 tons of hot briquetted iron (HBI)</td>
<td>0.46 GJ [23,45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical power for plant operation</td>
<td>9.97 GJ (58.17 kgH\textsubscript{2}) \textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas for heat provision</td>
<td>5.62 GJ [23,45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall energy demand</td>
<td>16.05 GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy demand inclusive of further processing in EAF</td>
<td>19.96 GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2} emissions per ton of liquid steel (Circored process + EAF)</td>
<td>2407 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2} emissions using electrical power from the German electricity mix and natural gas for the heat supply</td>
<td>409 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2} emissions using renewable electrical power and natural gas for the heat supply</td>
<td>94 kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \textsuperscript{a} Efficiency of the electrolyzer = 70\%.

6. Evaluation of Alternative Processes under Consideration for the Integrated Steelworks

In the first part of this section, a balancing area of the most important processes in an average conventional integrated steelworks is defined. An example of the procedure and basic conditions for the evaluation of the alternative processes (see Section 5) is then defined in the context of the integrated steelworks outlined. In the second part, the effects on energy demand and CO\textsubscript{2} emissions, first by the use of conventional fossil fuels and the German electricity mix, and then with the integration of renewable energy sources, are investigated.
6.1. Definition of the Reference Case

The reference case of the conventional integrated steelworks, which is shown in Figure 7, was defined by the authors with the help of data from Sun 2012, UBA 2014 and Hensmann 2010 [25,35,46] and Section 4.1. The parts considered are the coke oven, sinter plant, blast furnace, a power plant for house requirements and export, as well as heat generation for export. The oxygen furnace is not considered a reason for the relatively low energy demand compared to the other processes, as well as for simplification of the balance area. Further processes in the integrated steelworks are considered with the export of heat and electricity over the balance area beyond. In the defined integrated steelworks (Figure 7), the incoming energy flows comprise coal and natural gas for the coke oven, sinter plant and blast furnace.

Because the coke demand in Germany is not completely produced by own coking plants, coke imported as an energy input is also part of the balance area. The energy flows inside the integrated steelworks are shown in Figure 7. For example, the coke oven uses BF gas as an energy input, but exports coke oven gas (COG) to the hot stoves of the blast furnace. The blast furnace and coke oven internally produce more gas than is needed.

The surplus gas is used to generate power and heat for export to other parts of the integrated steelworks, for example rolling mills, or to the public power or heating grid. As shown in Figure 7, 0.5 GJ electrical power and 3.9 GJ heat per ton of pig iron are exported. The CO2 emissions considered are also shown in Figure 7. For example, during the production of 10 GJ coke, the coke oven emitted 165 kgCO2/tpig. All emissions that are inside of the balance are attributed to pig iron production. The CO2 emissions of the exported power and heat are divided into two parts. All CO2 emissions that exceed the German power mix or heat provision by natural gas are included in the pig iron production, because the high CO2 emission factor of the BF gas (Table 1) leads to heat and power with a very high CO2 footprint.

With respect to the balance area considered, the production of one ton of pig iron has a total energy demand of 19.18 GJ; however, 4.4 GJ are exported in the form of electrical power and heat. The CO2 emissions that relate to the balance area are 1732 kgCO2/tpig and the remaining 299 kg CO2/tpig relates to the exported energy that is not attributed to the balance area.

![Figure 7. Defined balancing area of the integrated steelworks using data from Sun 2012, UBA 2014 and Hensmann 2010 [25,35,46] and Section 4.1. All data relating to the production of a ton of pig iron.](image)

6.2. Example of the Procedure and Basic Conditions

In this section, the influences of the alternative processes on the integrated steelworks are shown in Figure 8 for the case that the blast furnace is equipped with a BF-GR (Section 5.1). Through the BF gas recirculation, coke demand drops by 45% compared to the conventional blast furnace. Assuming that the coke import is first terminated (in order to use the capacity of own plants) leads to a reduction of coke oven production of 31%. The reduction of coke production leads in turn to less production of COG, which is used for heat and power production. Under the condition that the export of electrical power and heat out of the balance area is constant, the lack of COG must be replaced by a substitute like natural gas.
1990. The values of 2008 and 1990 were added to address one target of the study, namely to indicate whether it is possible to achieve a reduction in electrical power demand by 25% and primary energy demand by 50% against 2008 by 2050 and simultaneously GHG emissions by 30% since 1990. These values were extrapolated with the help of data from 2012 and the corresponding years [21,25]. Total energy demand has decreased by 8% since 2008 and CO₂ emissions by 30% since 1990. The values of 2008 and 1990 were added to address one target of the study, namely to indicate whether it is possible to achieve a reduction in electrical power demand by 25% and primary energy demand by 50% against 2008 by 2050 and simultaneously GHG emissions by 80–95% against 1990 by 2050. Therefore, the relative change of fuel demand to the alternative processes is also shown in Figure 9.

Figure 9. Considered balancing area of the integrated steelworks with a blast furnace with BF gas recirculation using data from Sun 2012, UBA 2014 and Hensmann 2010 [25,35,46] and Section 5.1. All data relate to the production of a ton pig iron.

Furthermore, the blast furnace with BF-GR does not have a gas export. As a result, this missing gas has also been replaced by a substitute gas (Figure 8). The electrical power that is generated in the integrated power plant and used within the balance area is also kept constant. The saving of electrical power at the coke oven is used to cover the higher electrical power demand of the blast furnace with BF gas recirculation. The part that cannot be covered by this power is provided by the German electricity mix (0.45 GJ\textsubscript{el}/t\textsubscript{pig iron}).

The changes in the energy demand of the blast furnace and coke oven, as well as the additional energy demand for the provision of the substitute fuels to assure a constant export of electrical power (0.5 GJ\textsubscript{el}/t\textsubscript{pig iron}) and heat (3.9 GJ\textsubscript{th}/t\textsubscript{pig iron}), yields a total energy demand of 19.01 GJ/t\textsubscript{pig iron}. This figure is approximately as high as the energy demand of the conventional integrated steelworks, but the energy input shifts from coal to natural gas and a higher share of electrical power. This opens up the options to meeting the energy demand with either conventional energy carriers or completely by renewables. Even if natural gas and the German electricity mix are used, the CO₂ emissions of the balance area are 1336 kg\textsubscript{CO₂}/t\textsubscript{pig iron} and hence 23% lower than in the reference case of the integrated steelworks. Therefore, as already mentioned above, the effect of the CO₂ reduction potential by alternative technologies is only apparent by considering the integrated steelworks in its entirety, especially because the isolated analysis in Section 5 could create the impression that the energy demand and CO₂ emissions of the blast furnace with BF gas recirculation are higher than for the conventional process.

6.3. Alternative Processes with Conventional Energy Provision

According to the same method as described in Section 6.2, the other alternative processes (Section 5) were analyzed with consideration to the integrated steelworks. Figure 9 shows on the left side the total energy demand and on the right side, the CO₂ emissions for the production of 27.048 t of pig iron by means of the conventional blast furnace and alternative processes. The production volume correlates with the amount produced in German blast furnaces in 2012 [35]. Pig iron production was chosen as a basis for the comparison because the idea of this study is to replace the blast furnace as the most energy-intensive part of steelmaking, even if processes such as the Circored process or EAF directly produce steel. Figure 9 also shows energy demand in the year 2008 and CO₂ emissions from the year 1990. These values were extrapolated with the help of data from 2012 and the corresponding years [21,25]. Total energy demand has decreased by 8% since 2008 and CO₂ emissions by 30% since 1990. The values of 2008 and 1990 were added to address one target of the study, namely to indicate whether it is possible to achieve a reduction in electrical power demand by 25% and primary energy demand by 50% against 2008 by 2050 and simultaneously GHG emissions by 80–95% against 1990 by 2050. Therefore, the relative change of fuel demand to the alternative processes is also shown in Figure 9.
In Figure 9, the bars of the energy demand of the alternative processes are divided into three different kinds of energy input. Firstly, conventional fuels that are needed for the process; secondly, additional electrical power, which is not covered by the integrated power plants; and thirdly, the fuel that is needed to replace missing COG and BF gas to keep the power and heat production output of the integrated steelworks constant (see Sections 6.1 and 6.2). In a similar manner, CO₂ emissions (Figure 9, right side) are divided into three different types, namely CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion, process-related emissions and indirect emissions through the use of electrical power from the German power grid.

Figure 9. Energy demand and CO₂ emissions depending on the considered cases.

Case 1: Blast Furnace with Gas Recirculation

The energy demand of the conventional integrated steelworks in 2012 (corresponding to the definition in Section 6.1 and defined as case 2012), as well as steelworks with a BF-GR (see Section 5.1), is 8% lower than in 2008. However, in the case with BF gas recirculation, the energy demand consists of not only fuels such as coke, but also electrical power and a relatively high amount of substitute fuel to ensure power and heat generation for own use and export. Thus, the missing BF gas is replaced by a substitute fuel (natural gas) with a lower emission factor, achieving a CO₂ reduction of 46% on 1990. However, the saving in fuel is relatively low, with 10% against 2008 and additional power from the grid needed.

Case 2: Blast Furnace with Blast Furnace Gas Recirculation and Carbon Capture

In Case 2 (Figure 9), when the blast furnace is equipped with a BF gas recirculation and CO₂ separation (see Section 5.2), total energy demand is 8% higher than in 2008, but as a reason for the separation of 23.45 MtCO₂/a and simultaneous reduction of coke, the CO₂ emissions are 68% lower than in 1990. Moreover, the remaining BF gas, which is used for heat and power production, has a lower emission factor due to the lower CO₂ concentration as a reason for the CO₂ separation (see Figure 5). As in Case 1, the substitution of GF gas by natural gas also leads to a reduction in CO₂ emissions.
Case 3: Reduced Conventional Blast Furnace Production and 6.1 Mt/a More Steel Production by EAF

The production of 6.1 million tons more steel per year in an EAF instead of a blast furnace (see Section 5.3) leads to a total energy reduction of 18% and a reduction in fuel demand of 20% against 2008 (Figure 9). The slight increase in electrical power demand is caused by the EAF itself, which needs four-times more electrical power for the production of one ton of steel/pig iron than the integrated steelworks that use a conventional blast furnace. Nevertheless, the CO$_2$ emissions are 41% lower than in 1990. In this case, it is also assumed that the power plant produces a constant amount of electricity for export and own use, as well as a constant amount of heat. It is for this reason that substitute fuel is still needed.

Case 4: Circored Process with EAF Using Hydrogen Produced by Natural Gas

In Case 4 (Figure 9), the iron ore is reduced by hydrogen via the Circored process, followed by further processing in an EAF (see Section 5.4). The necessary hydrogen is produced by the steam reforming of natural gas. Although the sinter plant, coke oven and conventional blast furnace are not necessary, the total energy demand increases by 15% and fuel demand, inclusive of substitute fuel, by 5%. The higher energy demand is primarily due to the hydrogen supply. Nevertheless, it is possible to achieve a CO$_2$ reduction of 46% against 1990, because the main part of the energy is provided by natural gas instead of coal or BF gas. Nevertheless, the process is not free of process-related CO$_2$ emissions, because these kinds of emissions occur during the steam reforming of natural gas for hydrogen production.

Case 5: Circored Process with EAF Using Hydrogen Produced by Electrolysis

From a technical point of view, Case 5 is very similar to Case 4 (see above), but as shown in Figure 9, the kind of energy used and the resulting CO$_2$ emission levels are very different. In Case 5, the energy demand is dominated by electrical power for hydrogen production via electrolysis (see Section 5.4.1). In spite of a reduction of fuel demand by 35% against 2008, the total energy demand is higher because of the high demand for electrical power. As a result of the relatively high CO$_2$ footprint of the German electricity mix, CO$_2$ emissions are only 1% lower than in the year 1990, but higher than in 2012.

6.4. Alternative Processes with the Integration of Renewable Power

In this section, as already suggested above, the feasibility and influence of insertion of renewable electrical power for the same cases as in Section 6.3 are investigated. At the conventional steelworks, coke is not only used as an energy carrier, but is also necessary for the correct operation of the blast furnace, because coke is also a reducing agent and responsible for the gas permeability and stability of the layers inside the furnace and cannot be easily replaced. The alternative processes are less dependent on coke, but more dependent on electrical power and a substitute fuel for COG and BG gas to ensure electricity and heat export beyond the balance limit (see Figures 7 and 9).

Although in Section 6.3 and Figure 9 natural gas is used to ensure the production of electricity, at this point synthetic natural gas is not used to substitute this part of the natural gas. The reason for this is that from an energetic point of view, it makes no sense to convert electrical power into synthetic methane and directly back into electrical power unless the synthetic methane is used as a large energy storage medium for excess power from fluctuating renewable sources (see Section 1). Since the focus of the present study is on the integrated steelworks and not on a possible energy supply system for Germany, it is assumed that the missing output of the power plant, due to the lack of BF and COG gas, is directly replaced by renewable electrical power without the detour via synthetic methane or the methanation process. However, the provision of heat is still based on gas, which is substituted by synthetic methane. The impact on energy demand and CO$_2$ emissions through the use of renewable electricity, either directly or via synthetic methane or hydrogen, is shown in Figure 10.
Even with the conventional energy supply, a significant reduction of CO₂ is possible through the use of alternative technologies. The only exception is Case 5, which presents the Circored process that uses hydrogen produced by electrolysis. In this case, only CO₂ emissions are 1% less than those of conventional integrated steelworks in 1990, because the high efficiency of the process leads to a CO₂ reduction of 95% against 1990 in both cases.

In Cases 1–5, the integration of renewable energy technologies had a significantly higher impact on CO₂ emissions. In Cases 4 and 5, a CO₂ reduction of 95% against 1990 is achievable. However, the total energy demand increased by 79% and 56%, respectively, so higher than in the use of conventional energy sources (see Figure 9), because the provision of synthetic methane has an electrical energy demand of 1.785 MJₑl/MJ₈₃. Furthermore, at this point Case 4 does not make sense, because the necessary hydrogen is first produced via electrolysis and then converted into methane, and finally reconverted into hydrogen. This leads to a higher energy demand than in Case 5, where hydrogen is directly used. In both cases, the energy demand is almost completely covered by renewable power, and so the higher energy demand of Case 4 has no influence on CO₂ emissions, resulting in a reduction of 95% against 1990 in both cases.

Only in Case 2 does the energy demand decrease compared to the results in Section 6.3, because the direct use of renewable power instead of a substitute gas bypasses the efficiency losses of the power plant.

7. Discussion

Table 5 summarizes the different cases, with and without the integration of renewable power sources (Sections 6.3 and 6.4). Even with the conventional energy supply, a significant reduction of CO₂ is possible through the use of alternative technologies. The only exception is Case 5, which presents the Circored process that uses hydrogen produced by electrolysis. In this case, only CO₂ emissions are 1% less than those of conventional integrated steelworks in 1990, because the high efficiency of the process leads to a CO₂ reduction of 95% against 1990 in both cases.
emissions are 1% less than those of conventional integrated steelworks in 1990, because the high electricity demand is provided by the German electricity mix. However, this case achieves the highest reduction in fuel demand, because the main share of the energy demand is supplied by electrical power. The greatest CO₂ reduction using conventional fuels is achievable by using a blast furnace with blast furnace gas recirculation and carbon capture (Case 2, −68%). However, in this case the gross reduction is lower, because 23.45 MtCO₂ per 27 Mtpig iron are separated, which must be further treated to prevent it from entering the atmosphere, such as by means of geological storage, even if such storage is legally limited to 4 Mt/a in Germany [47]. Nevertheless, in countries such as Germany, the separated CO₂ can be reused as a feedstock in the chemical industry [10] or for the production of synthetic methane. In all analyzed cases, the CO₂ (−80 to −95% vs. 1990) and energy reduction targets (electrical power −25% and primary energy demand −50% vs. 2008) of the German government (see Section 1) are not achievable by using conventional energy carriers. In all cases, the electrical power demand increases. Only in Case 2 (a higher share of EAF) is a relatively high reduction of CO₂ emissions and a simultaneous reduction in energy demand achievable, because steel production in the integrated steelworks decreases and the additional production in EAFs needs less energy and emitted less CO₂.

In addition, the technical effort is relatively low compared to the other alternative processes, and so the production of more steel in an EAF can lead to a significant reduction in CO₂ and energy saving in the steel industry in the short term. However, the availability of scrap is limited, so that other technologies are also necessary to achieve further CO₂ reductions.

Table 5. Changes in thermal and electrical energy demand of the conventional steelworks in 2012 and the steelworks with alternative processes against 2008, as well as the changes in terms of CO₂ emissions towards 1990, with and without the integration of renewable energies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Results with Conventional Energy Provision</th>
<th>Results with Integration of Renewable Energies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electrical Energy Demand against 2008</td>
<td>Fuel Demand against 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 (Conventional steelworks in 2012)</td>
<td>0 TWh</td>
<td>−8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (Blast furnace with gas recirculation)</td>
<td>+3 TWh</td>
<td>−10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (BF gas recirculation with CO₂ separation)</td>
<td>+6 TWh</td>
<td>+5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (Reduced conventional blast furnace production and 6.1 Mt/a more steel production by EAF)</td>
<td>+3 TWh</td>
<td>−20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (Direct reduction of iron ore with H₂ produced by steam reforming)</td>
<td>+16 TWh</td>
<td>+5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (Direct reduction of iron ore with H₂ produced by electrolysis)</td>
<td>+90 TWh</td>
<td>−35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The application of alternative technologies not only has the potential to reduce CO₂ emissions, but also opens a way to using renewable energy sources instead of coal, so that the effect of CO₂ reduction can be increased. With the integration of renewable energies, either directly by electrical power or indirectly by synthetic methane or hydrogen as a reduction agent, CO₂ emissions significantly decrease in all cases (see Table 5). Both the Circored processes (Cases 4 and 5) and the integration of a blast furnace with BF gas recirculation and CO₂ separation achieve the CO₂ reduction targets of the German government. At the same time, the fuel demand decreases by 82–95% against 2008. As already mentioned above, by using renewable power sources, Case 4 does not make sense, because
the necessary hydrogen can be delivered directly by an electrolyzer without additional methanation and reforming steps, which only leads to unnecessary additional energy demand (Figure 10).

Nevertheless, the huge reduction in fuel demand leads to a strong additional demand for electrical power for heat (via synthetic methane) and hydrogen provision, which is contrary to the electrical power saving targets of the German government. Apart from this, the required renewable electrical energy demand (237 TWh) is higher than that generated (194 TWh) in Germany in 2015 [48]. This, along with the high present cost of synthetic methane and hydrogen [4], are from today’s perspective a factor that makes instant implementation implausible. However, in numerous studies, such as Sternberg 2015, Robinius 2015, ETG-Task-Force 2012, DLR 2012 and Prognos AG 2012, about the future energy system in Germany, it is assumed that both the massive expansion of renewable power generation and the availability of large quantities of excess power will also lead to a reduction in costs [8,49–53]. Through the use of alternative technologies for steelmaking, future energy systems could also be supported because the released power generation capacity of the integrated steelworks could be used as backup power to ensure security of supply in a strong share of fluctuating renewable energies for power generation.

8. Conclusions and Outlook

This study demonstrates that it is possible to reduce CO\textsubscript{2} emissions by up to 95% through the integration of renewable electrical power into the currently coal-based steel industry by using alternative technologies. Both the possibility to integrate renewable power and CO\textsubscript{2} reduction is mainly achieved by an increase or complete discontinuation of coal or the resulting BF gas, which is usually burned for power and heat production in order to avoid release into the atmosphere. With less or no BF gas production, there is an opportunity to use lower-emission fossil fuels or even renewable energies for heat and electricity provision. The latter would allow the coupling of renewable electricity and the steel industry, which could also be seen as “Power-to-Steel”. However, to achieve the CO\textsubscript{2} reduction targets of the German government in the steel industry, a major extension of renewable electrical power generation is necessary for providing the processes with energy. Thereby, a large reduction in CO\textsubscript{2} emissions would only be enabled by an increase in electrical power demand and a simultaneous reduction of both is not possible. Nevertheless, the mere substitution of steel production in blast furnaces with the direct reduction via hydrogen could reduce current CO\textsubscript{2} emissions in Germany by about 5%.

Further questions that must be resolved are, for example, how the integration of renewable energies and hydrogen into the steel-making process can be introduced, such as through a specific subsidy regime or by considering carbon pricing. Nevertheless, steel is an internationally-traded product and must therefore be cost-competitive, which necessitates concerted international action.
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Abbreviations

Abbreviations and symbols
BF-CC Blast furnace with carbon capture
BF gas Blast furnace gas
BF-GR Blast furnace gas recirculation
BOF gas Basic Oxygen Furnace gas
CaCO₃ Limestone
CaMg(CO₃)₂ Dolomite
CH₄ Methane
CO Carbon monoxide
CO₂ Carbon dioxide
COG Coke oven gas
DR Direct reduction
EAF Electrical arc furnace
Fe₂O₃ Hematite
Fe₃O₄ Magnetite
H₂ Hydrogen
η Efficiency
HBI Hot briquetted iron
H-DR Direct reduced iron with hydrogen as reduction agent
IEA International Energy Agency
N₂ Nitrogen
NG Natural gas
OHF Open hearth furnaces
SR Smelting reduction
ULCOS Ultra-Low Carbon Dioxide Steelmaking
VPSA Vacuum pressure swing adsorption

Subscripts
el Electrical
LS Liquid steel
S Steel
th Thermal
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