

Editorial

Corporate Finance, Governance, and Social Responsibility

Ștefan Cristian Gherghina 

Department of Finance, Bucharest University of Economic Studies, 6 Piata Romana, 010374 Bucharest, Romania; stefan.gherghina@fin.ase.ro

Corporate finance is a branch of finance that focuses on how companies handle their cash flow, raise capital, make investments, and implement accounting systems. It covers crucial topics, including capital planning, capital structure, and working capital administration. Every corporate financial action has financial consequences, as they involve the allocation of money in a certain manner (Damodaran 2014). Corporate finance's primary responsibility is to make sound business decisions that increase shareholder value, while reducing risks and maximizing returns. A corporation's ability to motivate and engage all of its managers and staff in generating wealth will define its level of excellence (Brealey et al. 2011). In line with stakeholder theory (Freeman 1984), managers should consider the demands of all involved parties in a company, not only stockholders.

The degree to which stock ownership and corporate decision making are divided within corporations differs widely. Small, closely held businesses tend to have highly concentrated equity ownership and control, while large, publicly listed businesses have almost entirely separate equity ownership and control (Badertscher et al. 2013). Directors can make regular financial decisions due to the division of ownership and control, but stockholders are not entitled to assume executive roles. As such, an agency relationship, or the connection between shareholders and management, comes to exist when the principal hires the agent to represent his or her interests (Ross et al. 2013). Jensen and Meckling (1976) emphasized the agency costs that occur in the form of welfare losses when managers act against the shareholders' interests.

The theoretical foundation of corporate governance is agency theory (Vernimmen et al. 2005). The institutional framework referred to as corporate governance oversees the distribution and use of power within corporations (Licht 2013). It generally refers to the methods and procedures utilized to ensure sufficient morality, integrity, and transparency in the administration of organizational activities (Turner 2009). Crowther and Seifi (2011) view corporate governance as a symbiotic commitment made by all the constituent components (or stakeholders), including the government, the public, specialists, service providers, and the financial industry. The main goal of corporate governance is to improve business performance by giving managers incentives to improve their operational efficiency, return on assets, and long-term firm growth, while deterring executives from abusing their power over company funds (Guluma 2021). Shareholders must trust senior management to act for the mutual benefit of the business if corporate governance is to be effective. This collective commitment lowers the agency premium, which might reduce the company's cost of capital (Rose 2016). Internal governance (boards, shareholder activism, and executive incentives) aims to resolve disagreements between executives and various shareholder groups, whilst external governance (entry modes, control over a subsidiary, and network governance) addresses the potentially opportunistic behavior of partners outside an organization (Filatotchev and Nakajima 2010). Good governance is critical for financial institutions, notably those in the banking sector, to safeguard the financial system's stability and prevent a credit crisis (Komath et al. 2023). Corporate collapse, whether caused by financial fraud or excessive reward packages, is the result of ineffective corporate governance (Monks and Minow 2011).



Citation: Gherghina, Ștefan Cristian. 2023. Corporate Finance, Governance, and Social Responsibility. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 16: 297. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm16060297>

Received: 2 June 2023

Accepted: 6 June 2023

Published: 8 June 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Deteriorating environmental conditions and the frequent social scandals of industry leaders have put businesses under growing pressure to integrate social responsibility into their corporate strategies (Schwoy et al. 2023). According to Hopkins (2007), addressing stakeholders ethically and responsibly is the goal of corporate social responsibility (CSR). CSR can be regarded as an extension of business efforts to increase shareholder wealth, while simultaneously meeting social expectations (Harjoto and Jo 2011). Although external CSR focuses on social and environmental initiatives that advance the position of a company with concern to its external stakeholders, internal CSR refers to practices and policies that are directly related to the wellbeing of company employees and the management team (Yoon and Chung 2018). Cavaco and Crifo (2014) found that responsible company policies regarding clients and suppliers (one facet of business conduct) and toward workers (the human resources component) acted as a complement to financial performance, suggesting reciprocal benefits and less disagreement between these aforementioned parties. Despite the high costs of CSR activities, CSR can indirectly increase the value of the firm and lower financial risk, since it stimulates and encourages ethical conduct, which has a positive impact on the reputation of a company (Xue et al. 2023). Furthermore, strengthening engagement through CSR can support society and generate measurable results for the company, including higher sales and superior financial performance (Shahbaz et al. 2020). As stated by signaling theory (Spence 1973), non-financial disclosures given through various channels can lower the disparity in information between a corporation's management and its owners. Patten and Zhao (2014) noted that when retail companies independently report CSR, a beneficial impact on the company's reputation results, because in doing so, the company may become more appealing to investors who prioritize CSR practices. In view of stakeholder theory, ethical corporations perceive CSR initiatives as a lynchpin of strategic planning to fulfill stakeholder demands; however, the principal-agent theory contends that self-interested managers view CSR disclosure as a means to conceal real earnings statements or other unethical behavior (Guo et al. 2022). However, better CSR statements have been suggested as a way for companies to prevent economic turmoil by increasing social confidence in them (Lins et al. 2017).

This book comprises 27 papers published in the Special Issue entitled "Corporate Finance, Governance, and Social Responsibility", which investigated a variety of practical topics related to corporate finance, financial modeling, corporate governance, and corporate social responsibility. Articles related to corporate finance focused on various approaches to equity investments (Bae et al. 2023), the reasons why corporations choose a zero debt policy (Miglo 2020), the drivers of the capital structure (Kedzior et al. 2020), the connection between the firm capital structure and its operating environment (Tsolas 2021), how investor connections affect a company's performance (Mihail et al. 2021), developing a framework to assess and improve performance (Tudose et al. 2021), the effect of working capital management on firm profitability (Anton and Nucu 2021), the association between derivative use and firm performance (Wen et al. 2021), the relationship between various sustainability measures and the risk of a corporation collapsing (Lääts and Lukason 2022), and capital budgeting practices (Mota and Moreira 2023). Regarding financial modeling, stock market volatility was explored during the coronavirus outbreak (Gherghina et al. 2021). Studies in the field of corporate governance have examined developments in corporate governance from a cross-country perspective (Mihail and Dumitrescu 2021), corporate governance compliance (with board) practices and company value (Aluchna and Kuszewski 2020), the impact of board attributes on bankruptcy risk (Maier and Yurtoglu 2022), company performance (Ararat et al. 2021; Mihail and Micu 2021; Mihail et al. 2022) or firm value (Lourenço et al. 2021), the association between employees' stock option plans and firm performance (Ding and Chea 2021), the relationship between corporate governance and the power of major shareholders (Pourmansouri et al. 2022), corporate governance practices and earnings management (Kjærland et al. 2020), and the link between timely accounting information disclosure infringement and corporate governance characteristics (Lukason and Camacho-Miñano 2020). With respect to CSR, the research was oriented

toward the aspects of corporate finance that are impacted by CSR (Saeed and Sroufe 2021), the impact of CSR on financial performance (Rossi et al. 2021), the association between CSR and risk management (Bozos et al. 2022; Singh and Hong 2023), and the effect of a mandatory disclosure policy regarding CSR reports on forecasts of analysts' earnings (Tseng and Shih 2022).

The collection of papers included in this Special Issue, as a final thought, will serve to enhance our knowledge of corporate finance, governance, and social responsibility on a worldwide scale, and present compelling future research directions.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- Aluchna, Maria, and Tomasz Kuszewski. 2020. Does Corporate Governance Compliance Increase Company Value? Evidence from the Best Practice of the Board. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 13: 242. [CrossRef]
- Anton, Sorin Gabriel, and Anca Elena Afloarei Nucu. 2021. The Impact of Working Capital Management on Firm Profitability: Empirical Evidence from the Polish Listed Firms. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 9. [CrossRef]
- Ararat, Melsa, Moataz El-Helaly, Alan Lowe, and Nermeen Shehata. 2021. Contingent Effect of Board Gender Diversity on Performance in Emerging Markets: Evidence from the Egyptian Revolution. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 538. [CrossRef]
- Badertscher, Brad A., Sharon P. Katz, and Sonja O. Rego. 2013. The separation of ownership and control and corporate tax avoidance. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 56: 228–50. [CrossRef]
- Bae, Sunghan, Andre P. Liebenberg, and Ivonne A. Liebenberg. 2023. Equity Investment Decisions of Operating Firms: Evidence from Property and Liability Insurers. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 16: 228. [CrossRef]
- Bozos, Konstantinos, Timothy King, and Dimitrios Koutmos. 2022. CSR and Firm Risk: Is Shareholder Activism a Double-Edged Sword? *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 15: 543. [CrossRef]
- Brealey, Richard A., Stewart C. Myers, and Franklin Allen. 2011. *Principles of Corporate Finance*, 10th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Cavaco, Sandra, and Patricia Crifo. 2014. CSR and financial performance: Complementarity between environmental, social and business behaviours. *Applied Economics* 46: 3323–38. [CrossRef]
- Crowther, David, and Shahla Seifi. 2011. *Corporate Governance and International Business*. Copenhagen: Ventus Publishing ApS.
- Damodaran, Aswath. 2014. *Applied Corporate Finance*, 4th ed. Hoboken: Wiley.
- Ding, David K., and Ya Eem Chea. 2021. Executive Compensation and Firm Performance in New Zealand: The Role of Employee Stock Option Plans. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 31. [CrossRef]
- Filatotchev, Igor, and Chizu Nakajima. 2010. Internal and External Corporate Governance: An Interface between an Organization and its Environment. *British Journal of Management* 21: 591–606. [CrossRef]
- Freeman, R. Edward. 1984. *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gherghina, Ștefan Cristian, Daniel Ștefan Armeanu, and Camelia Cătălina Joldeș. 2021. COVID-19 Pandemic and Romanian Stock Market Volatility: A GARCH Approach. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 341. [CrossRef]
- Guluma, Tolossa Fufa. 2021. The impact of corporate governance measures on firm performance: The influences of managerial overconfidence. *Future Business Journal* 7: 20. [CrossRef]
- Guo, Chunying, Baochen Yang, and Ying Fan. 2022. Does mandatory CSR disclosure improve stock price informativeness? Evidence from China. *Research in International Business and Finance* 62: 101733. [CrossRef]
- Harjoto, Maretno A., and Hoje Jo. 2011. Corporate Governance and CSR Nexus. *Journal of Business Ethics* 100: 45–67. [CrossRef]
- Hopkins, Michael. 2007. *Corporate Social Responsibility and International Development. Is Business the Solution?* Oxford: Earthscan.
- Jensen, Michael C., and William H. Meckling. 1976. Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics* 3: 305–60. [CrossRef]
- Kedzior, Marcin, Barbara Grabinska, Konrad Grabinski, and Dorota Kedzior. 2020. Capital Structure Choices in Technology Firms: Empirical Results from Polish Listed Companies. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 13: 221. [CrossRef]
- Kjærland, Frode, Ane Tolnes Haugdal, Anna Søndergaard, and Anne Vågslid. 2020. Corporate Governance and Earnings Management in a Nordic Perspective: Evidence from the Oslo Stock Exchange. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 13: 256. [CrossRef]
- Komath, Muhammed Aslam Chelery, Murat Doğan, and Özlem Sayılır. 2023. Impact of corporate governance and related controversies on the market value of banks. *Research in International Business and Finance* 65: 101985. [CrossRef]
- Lääts, Kertu, and Oliver Lukason. 2022. Sustainability Initiatives and Failure Risk of a Firm: How Are They Linked? *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 15: 502. [CrossRef]
- Licht, Amir N. 2013. Corporate Governance. In *Handbook of Key Global Financial Markets, Institutions, and Infrastructure*. Edited by Douglas W. Arner, Thorsten Beck, Charles W. Calomiris, Larry Neal and Nicolas Veron. Cambridge: Academic Press, pp. 369–78.
- Lins, Karl V., Henri Servaes, and Ane Tamayo. 2017. Social Capital, Trust, and Firm Performance: The Value of Corporate Social Responsibility during the Financial Crisis. *The Journal of Finance* 72: 1395–885. [CrossRef]

- Lourenço, Isabel Costa, Donatella Di Marco, Manuel Castelo Branco, Ana Isabel Lopes, Raquel Wille Sarquis, and Mark T. Soliman. 2021. The Relationship between LGBT Executives and Firms's Value and Financial Performance. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 596.
- Lukason, Oliver, and María-del-Mar Camacho-Miñano. 2020. Corporate Governance Characteristics of Private SMEs' Annual Report Submission Violations. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 13: 230. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Maier, Florian, and B. Burcin Yurtoglu. 2022. Board Characteristics and the Insolvency Risk of Non-Financial Firms. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 15: 303. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Miglo, Anton. 2020. Zero-Debt Policy under Asymmetric Information, Flexibility and Free Cash Flow Considerations. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 13: 296. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Mihail, Bogdan Aurelian, and Carmen Daniela Micu. 2021. The Influence of the Independent Non-Executive Board Members on the Financial Performance of the Companies Listed in the Bucharest Stock Exchange. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 462. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Mihail, Bogdan Aurelian, and Dalina Dumitrescu. 2021. Corporate Governance from a Cross-Country Perspective and a Comparison with Romania. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 600. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Mihail, Bogdan Aurelian, Dalina Dumitrescu, Carmen Daniela Micu, and Adriana Lobda. 2022. The Impact of Board Diversity, CEO Characteristics, and Board Committees on Financial Performance in the Case of Romanian Companies. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 15: 7. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Mihail, Bogdan Aurelian, Dalina Dumitrescu, Daniela Serban, Carmen Daniela Micu, and Adriana Lobda. 2021. The Role of Investor Relations and Good Corporate Governance on Firm Performance in the Case of the Companies Listed on the Bucharest Stock Exchange. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 569. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Monks, Robert A. G., and Nell Minow. 2011. *Corporate Governance*, 5th ed. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.
- Mota, Jorge, and António Carrizo Moreira. 2023. Capital Budgeting Practices: A Survey of Two Industries. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 16: 191. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Patten, Dennis M., and Na Zhao. 2014. Standalone CSR reporting by U.S. retail companies. *Accounting Forum* 38: 132–44. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Pourmansouri, Rezvan, Amir Mehdiabadi, Vahid Shahabi, Cristi Spulbar, and Ramona Birau. 2022. An Investigation of the Link between Major Shareholders's Behavior and Corporate Governance Performance before and after the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Case Study of the Companies Listed on the Iranian Stock Market. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 15: 208.
- Rose, Caspar. 2016. Firm performance and comply or explain disclosure in corporate governance. *European Management Journal* 34: 202–22. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Ross, Stephen A., Randolph W. Westerfield, and Jeffrey Jaffe. 2013. *Corporate Finance*, 10th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Rossi, Matteo, Jamel Chouaibi, Salim Chouaibi, Wafa Jilani, and Yamina Chouaibi. 2021. Does a Board Characteristic Moderate the Relationship between CSR Practices and Financial Performance? Evidence from European ESG Firms. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 354. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Saeed, Asif, and Robert Sroufe. 2021. Performance, Risk, and Cost of Capital: Trends and Opportunities for Future CSR Research. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 586. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Schwoy, Sophia, Andreas Dutzi, Maarten Corten, and Tensie Steijvers. 2023. Staging or real commitment? CEO reputation management as a moderator of the influence of firm size on corporate social responsibility performance and controversies. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 410: 137325. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Shahbaz, Muhammad, Abdullah S. Karaman, Merve Kilic, and Ali Uyar. 2020. Board attributes, CSR engagement, and corporate performance: What is the nexus in the energy sector? *Energy Policy* 143: 111582. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Singh, Nitya, and Paul Hong. 2023. CSR, Risk Management Practices, and Performance Outcomes: An Empirical Investigation of Firms in Different Industries. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 16: 69. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Spence, Michael. 1973. Job Market Signaling. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 57: 355–74. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Tseng, Tzu-Yun, and Nien-Su Shih. 2022. The Effects of CSR Report Mandatory Policy on Analyst Forecasts: Evidence from Taiwan. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 15: 256. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Tsolas, Ioannis E. 2021. Efficiency and Determinants of Capital Structure in the Greek Pharmaceutical, Cosmetic and Detergent Industries. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 579. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Tudose, Mihaela Brindusa, Valentina Diana Rusu, and Silvia Avasilcai. 2021. Performance Management for Growth: A Framework Based on EVA. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 102. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Turner, Catherine. 2009. What is Corporate Governance? In *Corporate Governance. A Practical Guide for Accountants*. Edited by Catherine Turner. Houston: CIMA Publishing, pp. 3–22.
- Vernimmen, Pierre, Pascal Quiry, Maurizio Dallocchio, Yann Le Fur, and Antonio Salvi. 2005. *Corporate Finance. Theory and Practice*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.
- Wen, Yantao, Yuanfei Kang, Yafeng Qin, and Jeffrey C. Kennedy. 2021. Use of Derivative and Firm Performance: Evidence from the Chinese Shenzhen Stock Exchange. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 83. [[CrossRef](#)]

- Xue, Shuyu, Qi Chang, and Jingwen Xu. 2023. The effect of voluntary and mandatory corporate social responsibility disclosure on firm profitability: Evidence from China. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal* 77: 101919. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Yoon, Borham, and Yeasun Chung. 2018. The effects of corporate social responsibility on firm performance: A stakeholder approach. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management* 37: 89–96. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.