

Table S1
Coefficient Alpha of the Coping Strategy Subscales for each Country separately

Coping strategies	Cyprus	Germany	Greece	India	South Korea	Spain	Thailand	U.S.
	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α
Distal advice	.76	.70	.73	.79	.82	.78	.91	.78
Close support	.84	.85	.83	.84	.79	.78	.92	.85
Assertiveness	.92	.91	.88	.90	.81	.86	.95	.75
Technical coping	.81	.83	.75	.78	.69	.74	.82	.72
Helplessness/ Self-blame	.88	.85	.82	.76	.69	.80	.88	.78
Retaliation	.88	.85	.87	.73	.68	.63	.85	.76

Note. Cyprus, $n = 219$; Germany, $n = 1,418$; Greece, $n = 670$; India, $n = 1,119$; South Korea, $n = 755$; Spain, $n = 1,010$; Thailand, $n = 707$; U.S., $n = 845$.

Table S2
Descriptive Statistics and Correlations among Main Study Variables for each Country separately

Country		M	SD	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Cyprus	1. Victimization	0.16	0.56	–							
	2. Perpetration	0.05	0.28	.29**	–						
	3. Distal advice	1.40	0.97	.01	-.04	–					
	4. Close support	2.21	0.92	.04	.01	.45**	–				
	5. Assertiveness	2.05	1.05	.10*	.04	.31**	.39**	–			
	6. Technical coping	2.50	0.85	-.02	.06	.44**	.64**	.48**	–		
	7. Helplessness/ Self-blame	1.03	1.02	.18**	.09*	.40**	.33**	.27**	.27**	–	
	8. Retaliation	0.73	0.90	.24**	.17**	.14*	.11*	.27**	.17	.26**	–
Germany		M	SD	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	1. Victimization	0.29	0.74	–							
	2. Perpetration	0.19	0.62	.32**	–						
	3. Distal advice	0.73	0.76	-.06*	-.09*	–					
	4. Close support	1.58	1.05	-.07**	-.12**	-.43**	–				
	5. Assertiveness	1.77	1.07	-.06*	-.14**	-.32**	.57**	–			
	6. Technical coping	1.92	1.08	-.02	-.13**	.34**	-.65**	.59**	–		
	7. Helplessness/ Self-blame	0.75	0.89	.03	-.01	-.39**	.45**	.35**	.35**	–	
	8. Retaliation	0.76	0.94	.10**	.11**	.06*	.16**	.16**	.24**	.18**	–
Greece		M	SD	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	1. Victimization	0.26	0.72	–							

	2. Perpetration	0.19	0.63	.39**	–						
	3. Distal advice	0.97	0.87	-.01	-.06	–					
	4. Close support	2.18	0.93	-.08*	-.15**	.34**	–				
	5. Assertiveness	2.06	1.01	.07	-.06	.23**	.44**				
	6. Technical coping	2.44	0.82	-.02	-.12**	.32**	.52**	.48**	–		
	7. Helplessness/ Self-blame	0.64	0.84	.05	.01	.16**	.24**	.18**	.13**	–	
	8. Retaliation	0.85	0.97	.10**	.21**	-.10**	-.09*	-.03	-.03	-.01	–
India		M	SD	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	1. Victimization	0.25	0.71	–							
	2. Perpetration	0.24	0.63	.28**	–						
	3. Distal advice	0.84	0.88	.09**	.09**	–					
	4. Close support	1.56	1.11	.13**	.16**	.55**	–				
	5. Assertiveness	1.58	1.23	.12**	.16**	.61**	.70**	–			
	6. Technical coping	1.55	1.14	.14**	.11**	.59**	.79**	.70**	–		
	7. Helplessness/ Self-blame	1.16	1.01	.12**	.15**	.58**	.66**	.73**	.63**	–	
	8. Retaliation	1.08	1.01	.13**	.14**	.46**	.66**	.54**	.57**	.53**	–
South Korea		M	SD	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	1. Victimization	0.14	0.49	–							
	2. Perpetration	0.07	0.36	.22**	–						
	3. Distal advice	1.61	0.95	-.07*	-.06	–					
	4. Close support	1.78	0.87	-.04	-.01	.44**	–				
	5. Assertiveness	1.97	0.87	-.03	-.05	.47**	.51**	–			
	6. Technical coping	2.17	0.82	-.06	-.03	.50**	.56**	.57**	–		
	7. Helplessness/ Self-blame	0.92	0.77	-.01	.04	.28**	.40**	.37**	.30**	–	

		0.99	0.79	.01	.08*	.26**	.36**	.35**	.30**	.37**	–
Spain		M	SD	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	8. Retaliation	0.28	0.69	–							
	1. Victimization	0.12	0.49	.17**	–						
	2. Perpetration	1.36	0.96	-.14**	-.11**	–					
	3. Distal advice	2.26	0.84	-.08*	-.10**	.42**	–				
	4. Close support	2.33	0.86	-.07*	-.13**	.29**	.48**	–			
	5. Assertiveness	2.45	0.81	-.05	-.17**	.34**	.56**	.56**	–		
	6. Technical coping	1.05	0.93	-.01	-.06	.26	.34	.19**	.24**	–	
	7. Helplessness/ Self-blame	1.07	0.84	.04	.09**	.04	.18**	.25**	.19**	.16**	–
	8. Retaliation										
Thailand		M	SD	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	1. Victimization	0.55	0.85	–							
	2. Perpetration	0.47	0.77	.53**	–						
	3. Distal advice	1.05	1.02	.05*	-.01	–					
	4. Close support	1.34	1.13	.14**	.02	.60**	–				
	5. Assertiveness	1.46	1.21	.14**	.02	.65**	.80**	–			
	6. Technical coping	1.25	1.09	.15**	.05	.59**	.79**	.76**	–		
	7. Helplessness/ Self-blame	0.93	1.01	.14**	.03	.59**	.69**	.61**	.64**	–	
	8. Retaliation	0.68	0.89	.22**	.19**	.36**	.38**	.36**	.45**	.45**	–
U.S.		M	SD	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	1. Victimization	0.61	0.96	–							
	2. Perpetration	0.34	0.70	.45**	–						
	3. Distal advice	1.83	1.05	-.15**	-.10**	–					
	4. Close support	1.65	0.86	.01	.01	.06	–				

5. Assertiveness	1.87	0.91	-.04	-.03	.41**	.14**	–		
6. Technical coping	0.68	0.84	.10*	.10**	-.03	.35**	.01	–	
7. Helplessness/ Self-blame	1.05	0.90	.08*	.09*	.16**	.21**	.37**	.24**	–
8. Retaliation	1.09	0.99	.13**	.11**	-.10**	.61**	.01	.40**	.09**

Note. Cyprus, $n = 210$; Germany, $n = 1,385$; Greece, $n = 659$; India, $n = 1,118$; South Korea, $n = 745$; Spain, $n = 993$; Thailand, $n = 607$; U.S., $n = 845$. ** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). * Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Table S3
Cyberhate Involvement by Country

Cyberhate Involvement	Cyprus		Germany		Greece		India		South Korea		Spain		Thailand		U.S.	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Victim	8.3	18	11.7	165	9.7	65	8.4	94	7	53	14.2	143	12.4	88	18.2	154
Perpetrator	2.8	6	6	85	5.8	39	9.5	106	2.6	20	4.1	41	8.2	58	6.4	54
Victim-Perpetrator	1.8	4	5.3	75	5.2	35	5.7	64	1.6	12	3.5	35	24	170	18.1	153
Non-involved	87.1	189	77	1090	79.2	530	76.4	854	88.7	670	78.3	788	55.4	393	57.3	484

Note. Cyprus, $n = 217$; Germany, $n = 1,415$; Greece, $n = 669$; India, $n = 1,118$; South Korea, $n = 755$; Spain, $n = 1,007$; Thailand, $n = 709$; U.S., $n = 845$.