Supplementar	y Table 2. The	new coding varia	ble classification p	rocess on European	Service Mapping Schedule-Rev	ised (ESMS-R	) mapping tree.
N= 89 Main	1= Local,	2= Local with	3= Centralized,	4= Centaralized,	Individual classification (n/6)	Consensus	Consensus and final decisions after individual
type of care	without	gatekeeping	without	with gatekeeping	round 1. Agreement with	(round 1.)	classification (round 2.), 4 MTC (on red) re-classification
(MTC)	gatekeeping		gatekeeping		proposal		and Category 4 re-coding to 3 (Centralized )
Information ()	I) (N=9)						
I1.1	6				6/6	1	1
11.2	,	6			6/6	2	2
11.3 11.4	6	6			6/6	1	1
I1.5	6	0			6/6	1	1
I2.1	6				6/6	1	1
I2.1.1	6				6/6	1	1
I2.1.2	6				6/6	1	1
12.2	6				6/6	1	1
Accessibility to	o care (A) (N=5)				616	1	1
A1 A2	0	6			6/6	2	2
A3		5			5/5	2	2
A4	6				6/6	1	1
A5	6				6/6	1	1
Sef-Help and	voluntary care	<u>(N=10)</u>					
S1.1	6				6/6	1	1
51.2	6				6/6	1	1
51.5 S1.4	6				6/6	1	1
S1.5	0			6	6/6	4	3
S2.1	6				6/6	1	1
S2.2		6			6/6	2	2
S2.3	6				6/6	1	1
S2.4	5				5/5	1	1
S2.5	(0) (11 - 11)			6	6/6	4	3
Outpatient car	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	3		1		2	2
01.2	2	2	2	1		1	1
02.1	-	6	-			2	2
O2.2	1	4		1		2	2
O3.1	1	1	4			3	3 (3/6)
O3.2	1	1	1	2		3	3 (3/6)
04.1	1	6	4			3	2 (6/6)
04.2	1	1	4	1		3	2 (6/6)
05.1.1	1	4		1		2	2
05.1.3	-	2		3		2	2
O5.2.1		5		1		2	2
O5.2.2	1	4		1		2	2
O5.2.3		2		4		2	2
06.1	1	6		1		2	2
06.2	1	4		1		2	2
07.2	1	3		2		2	2
08.1	1	4		2		2	2
O8.2		2	1	2		2	2
O9.1	3	4		1		2	2
O9.2	2	3		2		2	2
O10.1	4	1		2		1	1
O10.2	1	2	2	1		1	1
Day care (D) (	<u> 22)</u>	2	1	3		4	3
D0.2		-	3	3		4	3
D1.1		2	2	4		4	3
D1.2		2		4		4	3
D2.1		2	1	2		2	2
D2.2		2	1	3		4	3
D6.1		4	1	1		2	2
D6.2		3	2	1		4	3
D3.2	1	3	1	1		4	3
D7.1	-	3	2	2		4	3
D7.2		2	2	1		4	3
D4.1		5		1		2	2
D4.2		1	2	3		4	3
D4.3	1	3	1	1		2	2
D9.1	3	2	1	2		1	1
D8.1		4	1	2 4		2 4	2
D8.3	4	1	1			2	2
D8.4	4		1	1		1	- 1
D5		5	1			1	1
D9		4	1	1		1	1
Residential ser	vices R (N=19)	MTC types on a	dditional figure 1.	10 ( 11 )	6/6	4	3 (N=19)
All residental s	services were cla	assified to the cat	egory 4, atter rou	na 2, to the category	7.3., MTC codes on additional fi	gure 1.m resid	aentiai services

Statistical test not done related to the individual classifications guiding role and small numbers of classifications. There were 14 MTC:s under half (<3/6) agreement.

Table 1. Specialists participating in to the Delphi-panel

Work position (At the time)	Academic crentials
Director of nursing (TA-N)	MNSc, PhD-student (chair of panel)
Research Professor (KW)	Associate professor, PhD, Specialist in Psychiatry
Psychiatrist (MS)	Specialist in Psychiatry
Medicine director (GJ)	Associate professor, PhD, Specialist in Psychiatry
Chief Medical Officer (SS)	Associate professor, PhD, Specialist in Psychiatry
Development chief (PN)	Master of psychologists, PhD-student
Project chief (RK)	PhD
Psychiatric nurse, Project coordinator (MV)	MNSc
Psychiatric nurse, Project coordinator (VM)	RN
Administrative head nurse, Project coordinator (OO)	PhD
Psychiatric nurse, Project coordinator (SG)	RN

Supplementary Table 3. Recoded Different main type of cares by main main branches and found frequency of units (BSICs) after first consensus classification round (Quadrange classification)

	1 = local	2 = local with	3 = centralized	4 = centralized	Total main type
	without	gatekeeping	without	with gatekeeping	of care
Main type of care (MTC N = 89)	gatekeeping		gatekeeping		
Information for care	7	2			9
Accessibility to care	3	2			5
Self-Help and voluntary care	7	1		2	10
Outpatient care	3	17	4		24
Day care	4	6		12	22
Residental care				19	19
Total (MTC N = 89)	24	28	4	33	89
Percent of recoded MTC	27.0	31.5	4.5	37.1	100
Frequency (BSIC N = 987)	360	189	34	396	979
Percent of found BSICs	37 %	19 %	3 %	40 %	100

BSIC = Basic Stable Input of Care; i.e. the organizational units that provide the services. Missing 8 BSIC.

 $Different \ MTC \ (main \ type \ of \ care) \ in \ ESMS-R \ (European \ Service \ Mapping \ Schedule-Revised) \ branches \ (N=9)$ 

Supplementary Table 4. The classification of found service units (BSIC) on local versus centralized categories per 1000 adults (18+)

Catchment Area	Population	Local without	Local without	Local with	Local with	Centralized	Centalized	Total	Total number
	(18+)	gatekeeping	gatekeeping	gate kee ping	gatekeeping	BSICs	BSICs per 1000	number of	of BSICs per
		BSICs	BSICs per 1000	BSICs	BSICs per			BSICs	1000
					1000				
Länsi-Uusimaa (1)	35 296	10.00	0.28	3.00	0.08	8.00	0.23	21.00	0.59
Lohja (2)	70 379	15.00	0.21	9.00	0.13	16.00	0.23	40.00	0.57
Hyvinkää (3)	139 734	37.00	0.26	11.00	0.08	41.00	0.29	89.00	0.64
Porvoo (4)	74 611	19.00	0.25	3.00	0.04	21.00	0.28	43.00	0.58
Helsinki (5)	501 928	49.00	0.10	57.00	0.11	90.00	0.18	196.00	0.39
Jorvi (6)	230 005	25.00	0.11	16.00	0.07	31.00	0.13	72.00	0.31
Peijas (7)	187 332	30.00	0.16	19.00	0.10	36.00	0.19	85.00	0.45
Carea (8)	143 210	28.00	0.20	37.00	0.26	50.00	0.35	115.00	0.80
Eksote (9)	107 612	28.00	0.26	14.00	0.13	26.00	0.24	68.00	0.63
Turku (10)	151 616	42.00	0.28	16.00	0.11	34.00	0.22	92.00	0.61
Salon seutu (11)	128 039	54.00	0.42	19.00	0.15	29.00	0.23	102.00	0.80
Vakka-Suomi (12)	81 391	21.00	0.26	9.00	0.11	18.00	0.22	48.00	0.59
Turunmaa (13)	18 199	9.00	0.49	0.00	0.00	4.00	0.22	13.00	0.71
Total	1 869 352	367.00	0.20	213.00	0.11	404.00	0.22	984.00	0.00
Mean	143 796	28.23	0.25	16.38	0.10	31.08	0.23	75.92	0.59

Supplementary Table 5. So	sioe conomic indicators	from catchment areas
---------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------

Catchment area	Population (+18 year)	Mental health index (not age adjusted)*	Education index**	Un-employment %
Länsi-Uusimaa (1)	35 296	92.3	3.0	7.2
Lohja (2)	70 379	94.0	3.2	7.1
Hyvinkää (3)	139 734	92.9	3.5	6.0
Porvoo (4)	74 611	89.0	3.3	7.1
Helsinki (5)	501 928	90.0	4.1	7.5
Jorvi (6)	230 005	77.2	4.6	5.5
Peijas (7)	187 332	89.6	3.4	8.0
Carea (8)	143 210	106.2	3.0	12.2
Eksote (9)	107 612	102.7	3.0	11.8
Turku (10)	151 616	109.7	3.7	12.9
Salo (11)	128 039	101.0	3.2	8.8
Vakka-Suomi (12)	81 391	102.9	3.2	7.1
Turunmaa (13)	18 199	101.3	3.2	6.0
Mean	143 796 (median 128 000)	96.1	3.4	8.2
SD	122 760	8.9	0.5	2.5

Data Statistics Finland <sup>R</sup> THL (National Institute for Health and Welfare), SOTKAnet Statistics and Indicator Bank.

Areas 1-9 data from 2011 and Areas 10-13 from 2012.

\* Mental health index (MHI) is calculated for each area using three years data on the suicides and suicides attemps, of persons eligble for special reimbursement for antipsychotic medication, and of persons on disability pension (18-64 years) due to mental disorders (https://www.sotkanet.fi) \*\* Education years after primary school e.g. high school, professional school and university

## $Supplementary \ Table \ 6. \ Linear \ regression \ modeling \ with \ total$

personner per 1000 aduns as the dependent variable	personnel per 1000 adults as the dependent variable
--	---

Independent variables	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
% of total personnel in centralized services	0.9 (2.9)	1.1 (2.4)	1.6 (3.1)	2.3 (2.4)
Catchment area size		1.3 E-06 (.000)		1.9 E-06 (.000)
Mental Health Index			0.18 (0.007)*	0.02 (0.007)*

\* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01

**Supplementary figure 1. ESMS- R (DESDE) mapping tree** (Salvador-Carulla et al. 2013, Salvador-Carulla et al. 2015) including new coding variable. Code 1 = local without gatekeeping MTC service, 2 = local with gatekeeping MTC service, 3 = centralized gatekeeping MTC service by referral or distance gatekeeping.











