

Supplementary Materials

Table S1. Summary of GAN-generator model (layer-wise).

Layer Name	Parameter	Activations
Vector input		$1 \times 1 \times 100$
Fully connected		$24 \times 24 \times 512$
Transposed convolution	5×5 filters; 2×2 stride	$28 \times 28 \times 256$
Batch normalization	256 channels	$28 \times 28 \times 256$
ReLU	ReLU activation function	$28 \times 28 \times 256$
Transposed convolution	5×5 filters; 2×2 stride	$56 \times 56 \times 128$
Batch normalization	128 channels	$56 \times 56 \times 128$
ReLU	ReLU activation function	$56 \times 56 \times 128$
Transposed convolution	5×5 filters; 2×2 stride	$112 \times 112 \times 64$
Batch normalization	64 channels	$112 \times 112 \times 64$
ReLU	ReLU activation function	$112 \times 112 \times 64$
Transposed convolution	5×5 filters; 2×2 stride	$224 \times 224 \times 3$
tanh	tanh activation function	$224 \times 224 \times 3$

Table S2. Summary of GAN-discriminator model (layer-wise).

Layer Name	Parameter	Activations
Image input		$224 \times 224 \times 3$
Dropout	50% dropout	$224 \times 224 \times 3$
Convolution	5×5 filters; 2×2 stride	$112 \times 112 \times 64$
Leaky ReLU	0.20 scale	$112 \times 112 \times 64$
Convolution	5×5 filters; 2×2 stride	$56 \times 56 \times 128$
Batch normalization	128 channels	$56 \times 56 \times 128$
Leaky ReLU	0.20 scale	$56 \times 56 \times 128$
Convolution	5×5 filters; 2×2 stride	$28 \times 28 \times 256$
Batch normalization	256 channels	$28 \times 28 \times 256$
Leaky ReLU	0.20 scale	$28 \times 28 \times 256$
Convolution	5×5 filters; 2×2 stride	$14 \times 14 \times 512$
Batch normalization	512 channels	$14 \times 14 \times 512$
Leaky ReLU	0.20 scale	$14 \times 14 \times 512$
Convolution	5×5 filters; 2×2 stride	$7 \times 7 \times 1024$
Batch normalization	1024 channels	$7 \times 7 \times 1024$
Leaky ReLU	0.20 scale	$7 \times 7 \times 1024$
Convolution	5×5 filters; 2×2 stride	$4 \times 4 \times 1024$
Batch normalization	2048 channels	$4 \times 4 \times 1024$
Leaky ReLU	0.20 scale	$4 \times 4 \times 1024$
Convolution	4×4 filters; 1×1 stride	$1 \times 1 \times 1$

Table S3. Performance (Mean \pm SD) during training with the original pea root images using DeepARRNet model.

Class	Precision	Recall	F1-score
Resistant	0.98 ± 0.03	0.94 ± 0.04	0.96 ± 0.04
Intermediate	0.85 ± 0.05	0.98 ± 0.02	0.92 ± 0.04
Susceptible	0.98 ± 0.07	0.32 ± 0.08	0.67 ± 0.07
Overall	0.93 ± 0.05	0.78 ± 0.04	0.85 ± 0.04

Table S4. Performance (Mean \pm SD) during training with the original pea root images and random oversampling augmented data using DeepARRNet model.

Class	Precision	Recall	F1-score
Resistant	0.98 ± 0.04	0.94 ± 0.04	0.96 ± 0.04
Intermediate	0.89 ± 0.03	0.97 ± 0.05	0.93 ± 0.04
Susceptible	0.92 ± 0.07	0.74 ± 0.06	0.81 ± 0.06
Overall	0.95 ± 0.05	0.88 ± 0.05	0.91 ± 0.05

Table S5. Performance (Mean \pm SD) during training with the original pea root and GAN-augmented data using DeepARRNet model.

Class	Precision	Recall	F1-score
Resistant	0.98 ± 0.03	0.93 ± 0.03	0.96 ± 0.03
Intermediate	0.91 ± 0.05	0.97 ± 0.07	0.94 ± 0.07
Susceptible	0.92 ± 0.07	0.79 ± 0.07	0.85 ± 0.07
Overall	0.97 ± 0.05	0.89 ± 0.06	0.93 ± 0.06

Table S6. Performance (Mean \pm SD) during training with the original pea root applying class weighing methods, INS and ISRNS, using DeepARRNet model.

Weight ratio	Class	Precision	Recall	F1-score
INS	Resistant	0.98 ± 0.04	0.93 ± 0.05	0.96 ± 0.05
	Intermediate	0.90 ± 0.07	0.97 ± 0.08	0.93 ± 0.07
	Susceptible	0.91 ± 0.08	0.69 ± 0.08	0.70 ± 0.08
	Overall	0.94 ± 0.07	0.90 ± 0.06	0.92 ± 0.07
ISRNS	Resistant	0.98 ± 0.04	0.92 ± 0.07	0.95 ± 0.06
	Intermediate	0.88 ± 0.07	0.94 ± 0.08	0.92 ± 0.08
	Susceptible	0.90 ± 0.07	0.70 ± 0.08	0.80 ± 0.08
	Overall	0.93 ± 0.05	0.86 ± 0.08	0.90 ± 0.07