



Supplementary Information

Effects of Dilution Systems in Olfactometry on the Recovery of Typical Livestock Odorants Determined by PTR–MSD

Pernille Lund Kasper ¹, Dietmar Mannebeck ², Arne Oxbøl ³, Jens Vinge Nygaard ¹, Michael Jørgen Hansen ¹ and Anders Feilberg ^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Engineering, Aarhus University, Hangøvej 2, DK-8200 Aarhus N, Denmark; peka@eng.au.dk (P.L.K.); jvn@eng.au.dk (J.V.N.); michaelj.hansen@eng.au.dk (M.J.H.)
- ² Olfasense GmbH, Fraunhoferstraße 13, DE-24118 Kiel, Germany; dmannebeck@olfasense.com
- ³ FORCE Technology, Park Allé 345, DK-2605 Brøndby, Denmark; aox@force.dk
- * Correspondence: af@eng.au.dk; Tel.: +45-3089-6099

Received: 26 July 2017; Accepted: 7 August 2017; Published: date



Supplemental Figure S1. Inlet and outlet concentration of trimethylamine in glass olfactometer





Supplemental Figure S2. Average recovery of odorants after 15 s. when flushed through the TO8 and a tube of a) stainless steel, b) PTFE, c) PFA. Numbers indicate dilution factor (1: 3571, 2:1958, 3:970, 4:483, 5:236, 6:125)



Propanoic acid









Figure S3. Recovery of odorants when flushed through tubes of different materials. Intermediate dilution (concentration levels) are presented. Dilution factor = 365. Note that, due to saturation effects) the adsorption will be increased for higher dilution factors and decreased for lower dilution factors.

····⊕··· SilcoTek ····⊡··· Stainless steel ···◆··· PTFE ····△··· PFA

Slice: Velocity magnitude (m/s) Streamline: Velocity field



Supplemental Figure S4: Cross section of the velocity magnitude (m/s) Streamline through the velocity field showing recirculation zones at the inside of bends.