

Article Transcriptome and Metabolite Profiling of Tomato SGR-Knockout Null Lines Using the CRISPR/Cas9 System

Jin Young Kim¹, Jong Hee Kim¹, Young Hee Jang¹, Jihyeon Yu², Sangsu Bae³, Me-Sun Kim⁴, Yong-Gu Cho⁴, Yu Jin Jung^{1,5,*} and Kwon Kyoo Kang^{1,5,*}

- ¹ Division of Horticultural Biotechnology, School of Biotechnology, Hankyong National University, Anseong 17579, Republic of Korea
- ² Division of Life Sciences, Korea Polar Research Institute, Incheon 21990, Republic of Korea
- ³ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul 03080, Republic of Korea
- ⁴ Department of Crop Science, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 28644, Republic of Korea
- ⁵ Institute of Genetic Engineering, Hankyong National University, Anseong 17579, Republic of Korea
- * Correspondence: yuyu1216@hknu.ac.kr (Y.J.J.); kykang@hknu.ac.kr (K.K.K.); Tel.: +82-31-670-5101 (Y.J.J.); +82-31-670-5104 (K.K.K.)

Abstract: Stay-green 1 (SGR1) protein is a critical regulator of chlorophyll degradation and senescence in plant leaves; however, the functions of tomato SGR1 remain ambiguous. Here, we generated an SGR1-knockout (KO) null line via clustered regularly interspaced palindromic repeat (CRISPR)/CRISPRassociated protein 9-mediated gene editing and conducted RNA sequencing and gas chromatographytandem mass spectrometry analysis to identify the differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Solanum lycopersicum SGR1 (SISGR1) knockout null line clearly showed a turbid brown color with significantly higher chlorophyll and carotenoid levels than those in the wild-type (WT) fruit. Differential gene expression analysis revealed 728 DEGs between WT and sgr#1-6 line, including 263 and 465 downregulated and upregulated genes, respectively, with fold-change >2 and adjusted p-value < 0.05. Most of the DEGs have functions related to photosynthesis, chloroplasts, and carotenoid biosynthesis. The strong changes in pigment and carotenoid content resulted in the accumulation of key primary metabolites, such as sucrose and its derivatives (fructose, galactinol, and raffinose), glycolytic intermediates (glucose, glucose-6-phosphate, and fructose-6-phosphate), and tricarboxylic acid cycle intermediates (malate and fumarate) in the leaves and fruit of the SGR-KO null lines. Overall, the SGR1-KO null lines developed here provide new evidence for the mechanisms underlying the roles of SGR1 as well as the molecular pathways involved in photosynthesis, chloroplasts, and carotenoid biosynthesis.

Keywords: tomato; CRISPR/Cas9; null line; RNA-sequencing; metabolite profiling

1. Introduction

Lycopene is a red carotenoid hydrocarbon found in tomato fruit that is used as a bioactive ingredient to treat chronic diseases and lower the risk of cancer and cardiovascular disease. Numerous studies have attempted to elucidate the pathways involved in lycopene metabolism [1–3]. Carotenoid biosynthesis is dependent on isopentenyl diphosphate (*IPP*) and its isomer, dimethy-lallyl diphosphate [4]. In plastids, four IPP molecules condense into geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate (*GGPP*) molecules. Two molecules of GGPP can then be catalyzed by phytoene synthase 1 (*PSY1*) to form a colorless 15-cis-phytoene molecule, which condenses head-to-head to produce z-carotene and pink prolycopene. Prolycopene is then converted into all-trans-lycopene by carotenoid isomerase. Next, lycopene β -cyclase (*LCY-B*) and lycopene ε -cyclase catalyze the cyclization of lycopene to produce β - or α -carotene. Eventually, these substances are broken down into lutein, zeaxanthin, and other carotenoids. Lycopene, a major component of carotenoids in tomatoes, gives color to the fruit and is an important indicator of fruit quality. Therefore, to improve the properties of tomatoes, lycopene accumulation in fruits must be enhanced. Recently,



Citation: Kim, J.Y.; Kim, J.H.; Jang, Y.H.; Yu, J.; Bae, S.; Kim, M.-S.; Cho, Y.-G.; Jung, Y.J.; Kang, K.K. Transcriptome and Metabolite Profiling of Tomato SGR-Knockout Null Lines Using the CRISPR/Cas9 System. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 109. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms24010109

Academic Editor: Daniela Trono

Received: 1 November 2022 Revised: 6 December 2022 Accepted: 17 December 2022 Published: 21 December 2022



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). with considerable progress in the modification of the plant genome, regulation of the expression of key genes in the lycopene metabolic pathway has emerged as an effective method by which to increase the lycopene content [5–10]. Overexpression of LCY-B2 or PSY1 in transgenic plants has been shown to increase the lycopene levels in tomato fruits [11,12]. RNA interference (RNAi) experiments on 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase 1 have reported high accumulation of lycopene and β -carotene, resulting in dark red fruits [13]. Some RNAi experiments have been performed by knocking out the green maintenance-related stay-green 1 (SGR1) gene. In those plants, lycopene and β -carotene in fruits accumulated to levels four and nine times higher than those in the wild-type (WT) plants, respectively. Therefore, suppression of the expression of the SGR1 gene is attributed to the promotion of PSY1 activity during fruit ripening [14]. To date, SGR proteins have been reported in Arabidopsis thaliana [15–21], tomato (Solanum lycopersicum; [14,22–25]), rice (Oryza sativa; [26–30]), potato (Ipomoea batatas; [31,32]), alfalfa (Medicago truncatula; [33]) and soybean (Glycine max L.; [34]) plants. Most SGR proteins contain a chloroplast transit peptide at the N-terminus, a stay-green domain at the C-terminus, and a cysteine motif [35]. Tomato lycopene has been reported to accumulate via direct interaction with the major carotenoid synthetase, S. lycopersicum PSY1 (SIPSY1), in targeted mutagenic lines of SISGR1 using the clustered regularly interspaced palindromic repeat (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated protein 9 (Cas9) system [36]. In addition, the CRISPRs/Cas9-induced SISGR1 knockout mutant showed drastic color changes in ripened fruits, with significantly higher chlorophyll and carotenoid levels compared to those in WT plants [37].

In this study, we generated a null line via a knockout mutation in the *SGR1* gene using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. The null line showed drastic color changes in ripened fruits and significantly high chlorophyll and carotenoid levels compared to WT plants. We also performed transcriptome and metabolite profiling of the *sgr1* #1-6 line and WT plants. Our results provide new evidence for the mechanisms underlying the roles of *SGR1* as well as the molecular pathways involved in chlorophyll degradation and carotenoid biosynthesis.

2. Results

2.1. CRISPR/Cas9-Targeted Mutagenesis of SISGR1

To understand the role of *S. lycopersicum* SGR1 (*SISGR1*) in the ripening of tomato fruits, we generated tomato *SISGR1* mutants using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. *SISGR1* encodes a chloroplast signal peptide, a stay-green domain, and a C-terminal cysteine-rich motif, which consists of four exons (Figure 1A). To obtain *SISGR1* mutants, two guide RNAs (gRNAs), gRNA1 and gRNA2, were designed to target the third and fourth exon, respectively (Figure 1A; Supplementary Table S1). Two *SISGR1* CRISPR/Cas9 constructs containing each gRNA were used to transform the tomato inbred line K19, which is widely used as a crossing parent for F_1 variety studies of pink tomatoes in the Breeding Lab of Hankyong University (Figure 1B,C).

We generated 87 and 69 T_0 transformants for the constructs containing gRNA1 and gRNA2, respectively, and confirmed T_0 transformants harboring target mutations for both constructs via polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based genotyping (Figure 2A; Table 1). Deep-sequencing analysis of these variants revealed that chimeric, biallelic, heterozygous, and homozygous *SlSGR1* mutations were present in the T_0 generation (Figure 2B). Most mutants exhibited 1–19 bp in-frame deletions at the target site, but some mutants showed in-frame additions of 1 bp.

Table 1. Frequencies of transgenic plants and genotypes of the target gene region based on genome editing of *Solanum lycopersicum* stay-green 1 (*SISGR1*) gene using the clustered regularly interspaced palindromic repeat (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated protein 9 (Cas9) system.

Target Region	No. of Regenerated Plants	No. of Transgenic Plants	No. of Edited Plants	Genotype			
				Homoallelic	Heteroallelic	Biallelic	Multiallelic
SlSGR1-sg 1	98	87	37	8	6	11	12
SISGR1-sg 2	95	69	31	4	7	9	11





Figure 1. Clustered regularly interspaced palindromic repeat (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated protein 9 (Cas9)-induced *Solanum lycopersicum* stay-green 1 (*SlSGR1*) gene editing. (**A**) Schematic of the single guide RNA (sgRNA) target site in the genomic region of *SlSGR1*. (**B**) Ti-plasmid vector construction of sgRNA region for gene editing in tomato. (**C**) Generation of edited lines of *SlSGR1* gene using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Red arrows indicate the sgRNA1 and sgRNA2 regions.



Figure 2. CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutation in *SISGR1* in tomato. (**A**) Confirmation of transformed plants via agarose gel electrophoresis with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products amplified using the Ti-plasmid region from putative transgenic plants. (**B**) Deep-sequencing analysis of edited plants. Target DNA sequences of *SGR1_*sg1 and *SGR1_*sg2 are shown with the protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM) region in blue color on top of the aligned sequences. Deletions are indicated by dashes and insertions are indicated by red color. Indel sizes are shown on the right (+, insertion; –, deletion).

T₁ seeds were harvested from 18 edited null lines (Figure 3A,B). For further analysis, the sgr1 #1-6 (-19/-19) and sgr1 #2-4(-5/-5) lines showing large deletions and homologous mutations were selected. Transgene-free mutant lines were screened via PCR-based genotyping using neomycin phosphotransferase II, which is present in the T-DNA region (Figure 3C). We found that the sgr1 #1-6 and sgr1 #2-4 lines were segregated in a 3:1 (present: absent) ratio, suggesting that T-DNA was introduced with a single copy into the tomato genome (Table 2).



Figure 3. Phenotypes based on editing of the *SISGR1* gene in tomatoes. (**A**) Phenotypes of edited lines. (**B**) Fruit shapes in T_1 generation. (**C**) Selection of null segregant lines in T_1 generation via PCR analysis. Lanes with no band indicate T-DNA was removed.

Table 2. Chi-square analysis for resistant and susceptible strains from T1 generation of SGR1 edited plants.

T1 Generation	Total Seeds	No. of Resistance	No. of Susceptible	X ² -Test	<i>p</i> -Value
sgr1 #1–6	40	31	9	0.72	0.5
sgr1 #2–4	39	30	9	0.78	0.5

Transgene-free plants were observed from the sgr1 #1–6 and sgr1 #2–4 lines with a proportion of 20%. These results indicate that transgene-free homozygous mutants can be easily obtained in the T1 generation, as the inheritance of T-DNA and the edited gene are relatively independent. We also checked whether these lines had other T-DNA vector sequences apart from the expected T-DNA insertion. This information is important for field cultivation of non-genetically modified plants. Whole-genome sequencing data for sgr1 #1–6 and sgr1 #2–4 were obtained via Illumina sequencing. In total, 412 million

paired-end reads of raw data were produced. After quality trimming, the average genome coverage was $20 \times$. The reads were mapped against the sequence of the transformation vector to validate the assumption of a single T-DNA insertion based on segregation analysis. The coverage of T-DNA reads was $20 \times$, like the average genome coverage, thus confirming a single-copy locus. For transgenic lines, whole-genome data were mapped not only against the T-DNA but also against a 400-bp vector backbone region. However, the data obtained from the *sgr1* #1–6 and *sgr1* #2–4 lines were mapped only with the intrinsic U6 promoter region, which was isolated from the tomato genome. We also investigated potential off-target mutations in the T₂ generation using the two selected lines (Supplementary Figure S1). Six potential off-target sites, including four mismatched bases, were investigated using Cas-OFFinder (http://www.rgenome.net/cas-offinder/ accessed on 7 May 2021) (Supplementary Table S2). PCR products obtained from *sgr1* #1–6 and *sgr1* #2–4 lines were sequenced. No mutations were observed in any of the 10 potential off-target sites, indicating that the mutagenesis of the predicted site occurred with high specificity (Supplementary Table S3).

2.3. Carotenoid Profiles in the Leaves and Fruits of slsgr1 Mutant Lines

Tomato fruits and leaves from sgr1 #1-6 and sgr1 #2-4 lines at Br+7 ripening were sampled for carotenoid profiling via high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis. The gene-edited lines, sgr1 #1-6 and sgr1 #2-4, showed a reduction in total leaf carotenoid levels, with a marked decrease in lutein levels and a marked increase in violaxanthin and zeaxanthin levels, compared to those in WT plants (Supplementary Table S4). In the fruit, the levels of lycopene and β -carotene in sgr1 #1-6 and sgr1 #2-4 lines were much higher than those in the WT plants (Table 3).

Table 3. Determination of carotenoid levels in *sgr1* #1–6, *sgr1* #2–4, and wild-type (WT) plant fruits at Br+7.

T in co	Lutein	β -Carotene	Lycopene	Others	Total
Lines	Mean \pm SD *	Mean \pm SD *	SD * Mean \pm SD * Mea		Mean \pm SD *
WT	7.3 ± 1.9	18.1 ± 2.9	225.9 ± 25.6	142.5 ± 11.0	393.8 ±41.5
sgr1 #1–6	4.3 ± 0.1	48.0 ± 6.6	999.5 ± 3.5	362.4 ± 15.3	1414.2 ± 25.5
sgr1 #2-4	5.8 ± 0.1	30.8 ± 2.2	809.9 ± 8.8	317.4 ± 8.1	1263.9 ± 19.2

* Data are expressed as the mean (the average value of content for dry weight) and standard deviation (SD) of three independent experiments. Carotenoid content was calculated as $\mu g g^{-1}$ dry weight of fruit tissue.

In particular, the lycopene levels of sgr1 #1-6 were the highest. Chlorophyll levels were increased in sgr1 #1-6 and sgr1 #2-4 lines compared to those in WT plants (except for a slight increase in chlorophyll b levels in sgr1 #2-4 line) (Table 4).

Table 4. Determination of chlorophyll levels in sgr1 #1-6, sgr1 #2-4, and wild-type (WT) plants.

Samples	Chlorophyll a	Chlorophyll b		
Jampies	Mean \pm SD *	Mean \pm SD *		
WT	5670.1 ± 226.3	2302.4 ± 100.6		
sgr1 #1—6	7911.5 ± 113.2	3399.3 ± 63.1		
sgr1 #2-4	7491.1 ± 340.0	2934.9 ± 115.4		

* Data are expressed as the mean (the average value of content for dry weight) and standard deviation (SD) of three independent experiments. Chlorophyll content was calculated as $\mu g g^{-1}$ dry weight of leaf tissue.

2.4. Transcriptome Analysis of sgr#1-6 Mutant and WT Plants

Six samples from three biological replicates (three sgr1 #1-6 vs. three WT) were used for RNA sequencing analysis at the breaker (Br) stage. The number of reads per sample ranged from 44,386,446 to 74,488,546 among the six sequenced RNA samples (Supplementary Table S4). Principal component analysis of sgr1 #1-6 and WT libraries was used to determine data clustering based on SGR1 expression. All biological replicates of the sgr1 #1–6 and WT plants were distributed in two distinct groups (Figure 4A). Differential gene expression analysis revealed 728 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between WT and sgr1 #1-6 line, including 263 downregulated and 465 upregulated genes, for which the fold-change was >2 and the adjusted *p*-value was <0.05. (Figure 4B). According to gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis (adjusted p < 0.1; Figure 4C), several DEGs were associated with the following GO terms: fruit ripening (GO:0009835), sterol metabolic process (GO:0016125), cytoplasm (GO:0005737), oxidation-reduction process (GO:0055114), and extracellular region (GO:0005766) (Table 5). DEGs were also analyzed using STRING (version 11.5) to construct a protein-protein interaction (PPI) network (Figure 4D); PSY1 (degree = 21; adjusted $p = 1.82 \times 10^{-8}$) and protein kinase (Solyc05g018300; degree = 25; adjusted $p = 1.65 \times 10^{-8}$) were the top one-degree proteins (Figure 4D). To verify the RNA sequencing data, nine DEGs (Fab G, AP2a, DDTFR8, RIN, LOXB, ERF-D2, LOXC, ACC oxidase (ACO-1, and ACO6) associated with the fruit ripening were selected, and their mRNA expression levels were verified in biologically replicated sgr1 #1-6 lines via reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis. Figure 5 shows the fold-changes in these genes between the sgr1 #1-6 line (KO) and WT plants at the fruit ripening stage.



Figure 4. Analysis of RNA sequencing data. (**A**) Principal component analysis plot. (**B**) Volcano plot showing the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between wild-type (WT) and sgr1-mutant plants. (**C**) Gene ontology (GO) terms associated with DEGs in biological process (BP), cell component (CC), and molecular function (MF) ontologies. (**D**) Protein–protein interaction network constructed using the top 50 DEGs.

GO:0009835	Fruit ripening	15	Solyc01g095080.3, Solyc02g091990.3, Solyc03g093610.1, Solyc03g118290.3, Solyc05g005560.3, Solyc05g050010.3, Solyc07g049530.3, Solyc07g049550.3, Solyc07g056570.1, Solyc07g064190.2, Solyc08g005610.3, Solyc09g089580.3, Solyc09g092480.2, Solyc10g080210.2, Solyc12g005940.2	$1.10 imes 10^{-7}$
GO:0016125	Sterol metabolic process	10	Solyc01g109140.3, Solyc02g065750.2, Solyc02g070580.1, Solyc02g089160.3, Solyc04g078900.3, Solyc04g079730.1, Solyc07g049690.3, Solyc08g005610.3, Solyc10g007960.1, Solyc11g069800.1	$6.70 imes 10^{-5}$
GO:0005737	Cytoplasm	39	Solyc01g099190.3, Solyc01g099760.3, Solyc01g099770.3, Solyc01g101170.3, Solyc01g101180.3, Solyc01g103390.3, Solyc01g101450.3, Solyc02g080790.3, Solyc03g111720.3, Solyc04g071260.3, Solyc04g082030.1, Solyc05g007940.3, Solyc05g023800.3, Solyc05g051750.3, Solyc06g005060.3, Solyc06g005260.3, Solyc06g074350.3, Solyc06g059885.1, Solyc06g073390.3, Solyc06g074350.3, Solyc06g076020.3, Solyc06g076570.2, Solyc06g074350.3, Solyc06g076620.3, Solyc08g014000.3, Solyc08g043170.3, Solyc08g080650.2, Solyc08g082820.3, Solyc09g07910.3, Solyc09g09390.3, Solyc09g092480.2, Solyc10g080500.2, Solyc10g085280.2, Solyc10g085870.1, Solyc10g086220.2, Solyc10g086240.2, Solyc12g006470.2, Solyc12g035890.2, Solyc12g098940.2	$6.30 imes 10^{-5}$
GO:0055114	Oxidation-reduction process	11	Solyc01g109140.3, Solyc02g065750.2, Solyc02g070580.1, Solyc02g089160.3, Solyc04g078900.3, Solyc04g079730.1, Solyc07g049690.3, Solyc08g005610.3, Solyc10g007960.1, Solyc10g086500.1, Solyc11g069800.1	$7.90 imes10^{-4}$
GO:0005576	Extracellular region	21	Solyc01g008710.3, Solyc01g009590.3, Solyc01g067740.3, Solyc01g097240.3, Solyc02g079500.3, Solyc02g089350.3, Solyc02g093580.3, Solyc03g020060.3, Solyc03g123620.3, Solyc05g007940.3, Solyc05g007950.3, Solyc06g064520.3, Solyc06g068520.3, Solyc07g064190.2, Solyc08g080630.3, Solyc08g080650.2, Solyc07g064190.2, Solyc10g055810.2, Solyc11g011210.2, Solyc11g066130.1, Solyc11g066390.2	$7.90 imes 10^{-4}$
	GO:0009835 GO:0016125 GO:0005737 GO:00055114 GO:0005576	GO:0009835 Fruit ripening GO:0016125 Sterol metabolic process GO:0005737 Cytoplasm GO:0055114 Oxidation-reduction process GO:0005576 Extracellular region	GO:0009835 Fruit ripening 15 GO:0016125 Sterol metabolic process 10 GO:0005737 Cytoplasm 39 GO:0055114 Oxidation-reduction process 11 GO:0005576 Extracellular region 21 * CC_cellular component: BP biologica	GO:0009835 Fruit ripening 15 Solyc07g064190.2, Solyc07g049550.3, Solyc07g069580.3, Solyc09g092480.2, Solyc08g005610.3, Solyc02g005980.3, Solyc02g0992480.2, Solyc10g080210.2, Solyc12g003940.2 GO:0016125 Sterol metabolic process 10 Solyc01g109140.3, Solyc02g065750.2, Solyc02g070580.1, Solyc02g009160.3, Solyc04g009610.3, Solyc02g009760.1, Solyc01g09970.3, Solyc01g007960.1, Solyc01g09970.3, Solyc01g007960.1, Solyc01g09970.3, Solyc01g099770.3, Solyc01g111450.3, Solyc01g09970.3, Solyc01g099770.3, Solyc01g111450.3, Solyc02g00570.2, Solyc02g00790.3, Solyc01g09970.3, Solyc04g071260.3, Solyc02g00790.3, Solyc01g09970.3, Solyc04g071260.3, Solyc02g00790.3, Solyc01g09970.3, Solyc06g005260.3, Solyc04g082030.1, Solyc05g007940.3, Solyc06g005260.3, Solyc04g082030.1, Solyc06g059885.1, Solyc06g076570.2, Solyc06g058823.0, Solyc06g05885.1, Solyc06g074570.2, Solyc06g0082320.3, Solyc07g065840.2, Solyc08g014000.3, Solyc08g08230.3, Solyc04g079039.3, Solyc01g090990.3, Solyc08g014000.3, Solyc08g08230.3, Solyc01g08280.2, Solyc08g003280.3, Solyc01g08280.2, Solyc10g085280.2, Solyc08g00320.3, Solyc04g07900.3, Solyc01g085280.2, Solyc08g003870.1, Solyc10g08580.2, Solyc10g08580.1, Solyc02g089160.3, Solyc02g08910.3, Solyc04g079703.1, Solyc02g089160.3, Solyc04g079700.3, Solyc10g079058.1, Solyc02g089160.3, Solyc04g079700.3, Solyc10g079058.1, Solyc01g046900.3, Solyc04g079700.3, Solyc10g07970.1, Solyc01g04690.3, Solyc01g00970.3, Solyc10g07970.1, Solyc01g04690.3, Solyc01g00970.3, Solyc10g0797058.1, Solyc01g09510.3, Solyc01g009700.3, Solyc04g079703.1, Solyc01g098710.3, Solyc01g009700.3, Solyc04g079703.1, Solyc01g098710.3, Solyc01g009700.3, Solyc04g079703.1, Solyc01g097240.3, Solyc01g009700.3, Solyc04g079703.1, Solyc02g089160.3, Solyc01g009750.3, Solyc03g020060.3, Solyc03g200663.2, Solyc08g080650.2, Solyc09g084460.3, Solyc02g089350.3, Solyc08g080650.2, Solyc09g084460.3, Solyc11g067740.3, Solyc02

Table 5. Top five gene ontology (GO) terms enriched in SGR1-related differentially expressed genes (DEGs).

cellular component; BP, biological ient.



Figure 5. Relative gene expression levels of sgr1 #1–6 null lines and WT plants via RT-qPCR analysis. Error bars indicate mean \pm standard deviation of three biological replicates, each measured in triplicate.

2.5. Metabolite Profiling of the sgr1 #1–6 Null Lines

Potent changes in pigment and carotenoid levels led us to investigate the impact of these changes on other metabolic pathways. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) metabolite profiling showed significant changes in the levels of sucrose and its derivatives (fructose, galactinol, and raffinose), glycolytic intermediates (glucose, glucose-6-phosphate [G6P], and fructose-6-phosphate [Fru6P]), and tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle

intermediates (malate and fumarate) in the leaves and fruits of *sgr1* #1–6 and WT plants (Figure 6; Supplementary Table S6.). These changes were reflected in the levels of G6P-derived compounds (trehalose, maltotriose, maltose, *myo*-inositol, and erythritol) and amino acids derived from glycerate (O-acetylserine), pyruvate (valine, alanine, and leucine), shikimate (phenylalanine and tryptophan), malate (aspartic acid, asparagine, β -alanine, and methionine), and 2-oxoglutarate (glutamic acid, glutamine, GABA, and ornithine) (Figure 6).



Figure 6. Metabolite profiling of sucrose and its derivatives, glycolytic intermediates, and TCA cycle intermediates in the leaves and fruits as a result of *sgr1* knockout in tomato. Primary metabolite profiling of Br+7 stage fruits and leaves in *sgr1* #1–6 and WT plants. Ratios between *sgr1* #1–6 and WT plants are shown. A non-paired two-tailed Student's *t*–test was used to compare each *sgr1* #1–6 line with its WT plant (p < 0.05; n = 5).

3. Discussion

Carotenoids are essential pigments in photosynthetic organisms, and their accumulation produces color in flowers and fruits. The mechanism of carotenoid accumulation in tomatoes is related to the expression of genes encoding carotenoid biosynthesis enzymes during fruit ripening [38]. Lycopene is a bright-red carotenoid hydrocarbon found in tomatoes. It is a bioactive ingredient that is used to treat chronic diseases and lower the risk of cancer and cardiovascular diseases. So far, researchers have overexpressed several genes and pathways related to lycopene metabolism to increase lycopene levels in tomato fruit [1–3]. In this study, we generated stable *slsgr1* mutants using CRISPR/Cas9 geneediting technology to understand the role of *SISGR1* in tomato fruit ripening (Figure 1). The fruit of *slsgr1* mutants changed from a green to a red color during the ripening process (Figure 3b). Our results indicate that the fruit phenotypes of the SISGR1::RNAi and SISGR1 knockout lines are similar [14,37,39]. Transgene-free mutant lines were screened via PCRbased genotyping using neomycin phosphotransferase II, which is present in the T-DNA region (Figure 3c). We found that the sgr1 #1-6 and sgr1 #2-4 lines were segregated in a 3:1 (present: absent) ratio, suggesting that T-DNA was introduced with a single copy into the tomato genome (Table 2). We also checked whether these lines still had other T-DNA vector sequences besides the expected T-DNA insertion. To this end, we generated whole-genome sequencing data for sgr1 #1-6 and sgr1 #2-4 obtained through Illumina sequencing. For the transgenic lines, whole-genome data were mapped, not only to the T-DNA, but also to

the 400-bp vector backbone region, which mapped only to the unique U6 promoter region isolated from the tomato genome. Therefore, no fragments were inserted from the outside into the genomes of sgr1 #1–6 and sgr1 #2–4 lines (Supplementary Figure S1).

The sgr1 #1-6 and sgr1 #2-4 lines showed a reduction in total leaf carotenoid levels, with a marked decrease in lutein and a marked increase in violaxanthin and zeaxanthin levels compared to those in WT plants (Supplementary Table S4). In the fruit, the levels of lycopene and β -carotene in sgr1 #1–6 and sgr1 #2–4 lines were much higher than those in the WT plants (Table 2). Therefore, the color change of the fruit was positively correlated with these parameters. Our results indicate that SISGR1 critically affects the color changes in ripening fruits via chlorophyll degradation and carotenoid biosynthesis. Many studies have investigated the influence of the accumulation of carotenoids and the decomposition of chlorophyll on color change during tomato ripening. Based on the results of recent studies, non-coding RNAs and numerous transcription factors have been suggested to function as regulators. One study reported that red coloration was delayed during the ripening of tomato fruit via RNAi experiments using SlWRKY16, SlWRKY 17, SIWRKY53, SIWRKY54, and WRKY transcription factors [40]. Another study reported that the *FveMYB10* transcription factor restored the red pigment in an experiment using the yellow fruit of *Fragaria vesca* [41]. In the present study, fruits obtained from the *slsgr1* #1 null line, which lacked SISGR1 function, were initially green and turned reddish-brown in color at the ripening stage. In particular, the change from pink to reddish-brown color in fruits may affect the expression of genes required for fruit ripening. To elucidate the molecular mechanism of color change in the ripening process of tomatoes, RNA-sequencing analysis was performed using the fruits of the sisgr1 #1 line and the BR+7 stage of WT plants (Figure 4). Differences in the expression levels of Fab G, AP2a, DDTFR8, RIN, LOXB, ERF-D2, LOXC, ACO1, and ACO6 genes were related to photosynthesis (Figure 5). Upregulation of these genes in the *slsgr1* mutant may be due to aberrant chloroplasts and the effects of light capture [42,43]. Therefore, further studies are necessary to determine the effects of specific genes on *slsgr1* mutant fruit quality and chlorophyll metabolism. In this study, when the SISGR1 gene was knocked out using CRISPR/Cas9 in pink tomatoes, not only did the color of the fruit change, but the lycopene content was also significantly improved, suggesting that the expression of various genes (nine DEGs: Fab G, AP2a, DDTFR8, RIN, LOXB, ERF-D2, LOXC, ACO1, and ACO6) was affected. In addition, GC-MS metabolite profiling was performed to determine the substances that were altered in the metabolite pathway due to carotenoid and pigment changes in the *slsgr1* #1–6 line (Supplementary Table S6). In the leaves and fruits of sgr1 #1-6 and WT plants, significant differences were observed in the levels of sucrose and its derivatives (fructose, galactinol, and raffinose), glycolysis intermediates (glucose, G6P, and Fru6P), and TCA cycle intermediates (malate and fumarate) (Figure 6). Accumulation of sugars and derivatives (raffinose, galactinol, myo-inositol, and trehalose) and amino acids (Val, Asp, Asn, Thr, Glu, Gln, and Ala) in fruits has been reported to confer tolerance to chilling injury and resistance to pathogens and several post-harvest stress conditions [44–47]. Therefore, the accumulation of these metabolites is expected to confer beneficial post-harvest characteristics, such as improved fruit quality and extended shelf-life, to tomatoes. The transcriptomic and metabolite profiles of SGR1-KO lines presented here provide new evidence for the mechanisms underlying the roles of SGR1 as well as the molecular pathways involved in chlorophyll degradation and carotenoid biosynthesis.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

Tomato (*S. lycopersicum* L.) was obtained using a K19 inbred line (pink color), which was grown in the breeding lab of Hankyong University. All plants were grown under the same greenhouse conditions. The ripening stages were divided into MG, Br+0, Br+4, Br+7, and Br+10. The samples were collected from fruits and leaves, immediately frozen in

liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C until analysis. After harvesting the mature seeds from transgenic T0 plants, they were dried and stored in a refrigerator.

4.2. Plasmid Construction and Genetic Transformation of Tomato

Target sites and single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) for the third and fifth exons of *SISGR1* (Solyc08g080090) adjacent to a protospacer-adjacent motif were amplified using specific primer sets (Table S6). The CRISPR/Cas9 vector was constructed by selecting three target sites in the *SISGR1* sequence using the CRISPR RGEN program (http://www.rgenome.net/, accessed on 20 April 2022) (Table 1). A 20-nt sgRNA scaffold sequence was synthesized by Bioneer Co., Ltd. (Daejeon, Republic of Korea) and the dimer was cloned into an *AarI*-digested 35s-p:pKAtC binary vector. The constructs thus obtained were transformed into tomato cotyledons using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain EHA105. The transformed cotyledons were selected using 50 mg/L kanamycin and confirmed via PCR analysis. To verify the target site mutations, PCR amplicons were subjected to MiniSeq paired-end read sequencing (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) and analyzed using a Cas-Analyzer (https://www.rgeno.me.net/cas-analyzer/ accessed on 21 July 2020).

4.3. Mutation Analysis of Transgenic Lines

Total DNA extraction was performed by crushing 0.3 g of tomato leaves under liquid nitrogen with an electric drill in a 1.5-mL Eppendorf tube. A volume of 700 μ L extraction buffer (DNA extract kit, Bio Co., Tajeon, Republic of Korea) was added to each tube and incubated for 20 min at 65 °C. A volume of 800 μ L chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1) was added to each tube and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 7 min; this step was repeated twice. All other tests were performed according to the method specified in the DNA extract kit (DNA extract kit, Bio Co., Tajeon, Republic of Korea). The extracted genomic DNA was used as a template to amplify the relevant fragments from each target gene using primers (Supplementary Table S5) flanking the target sites. The standard PCR conditions were as follows: 94 °C for 7 min, 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 7 min. PCR products were directly sequenced via deep sequencing using internal primers (Supplementary Table S5) to identify mutations. The mutation rate for each target was calculated as the ratio of the number of transgenic plants edited in each target to the total number of transgenic plants obtained.

4.4. Determination of Chlorophyll and Carotenoid Levels

Carotenoids in tomato leaves and fruits were extracted with a 0.01% solution of butylated hydroxytoluene in acetone and analyzed using an Agilent 1260 HPLC system (Hewlett-Packard, Waldbronn, Germany). Carotenoids were quantified using an external calibration method. β -Carotene, lutein, violaxanthin, and zeaxanthin standards were purchased from Sigma Co (Seoul, Republic of Korea). All extraction procedures were performed under dim light conditions. Carotenoid content was calculated as $\mu g g^{-1} dry$ weight of leaf and fruit tissue.

4.5. RNA Extraction, Library Preparation and RNA-Seq

Total RNA samples were prepared from WT and *slsgr1* null mutant fruits at BR (three replicates per sample) using TRIzol reagent. RNA integrity was assessed via agarose gel electrophoresis. RNA-seq libraries were constructed and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq PE150 platform with 150-bp paired-end reads (Novogene, Tianjin, China). The generated raw data had a sequencing depth of at least 4 Gb. All raw reads have been deposited at THERAGEN Bio Co. (http://www.ftp.theragenbio.com accessed on 20 April 2022) under accession number TBD211714_14868_20220704. Clean reads were checked by basic quality statistics using FastQC software (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc accessed on 20 April 2022). Reads with low-quality and adapter sequences were removed, after which the FastQC step was run again. The reads were mapped to the tomato reference genome (version SL2.40) using TopHat (version 1.4.6, http://ccb.jhu.edu/

software/tophat/index.shtml accessed on 20 April 2022) and fragments were assigned to genes using FeatureCounts (version 2.0.14, http://subread.sourceforge.net/ accessed on 20 April 2022) [48,49]. The FPKM (fragments per kilobase of transcript sequence per millions base pairs sequenced) of each gene was then calculated based on the gene length and reads, with 425 counts mapped to this gene. Differential gene expression was determined using the criteria of fold-change >2, which was analyzed using the DESeq2 package (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html accessed on 20 April 2022) and adjusted *p*-value. The *p*-values obtained were adjusted using the Benjamini and Hochberg approach to control the false-discovery rate. GO enrichment analysis of DEGs was conducted using ClusterProfiler (v3.10.1). PPI networks were generated and analyzed using STRING v11.5. RT-qPCR assays were performed according to the standard protocol established in our laboratory.

4.6. qRT-PCR Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from tomatoes using a RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, www.qiagen.com accessed on accessed on 23 July 2022), and single-stranded cDNA was synthesized with random oligonucleotides using a reverse transcription system (Takara, www.takara-bio.com accessed on 23 July 2022). To analyze the expression levels of DEGs, qRT-PCR was performed using cDNA, gene-specific primers, and SYBR Green Real-time PCR Master Mix (Toyobo, http://www.toyobo.co.kr/ accessed on 23 July 2022), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sequences of gene-specific primers used for amplification are listed in Table S6. The *SlActin* gene was used as an internal standard, and relative gene expression levels were calculated using the comparative Ct method.

4.7. Resequencing Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from the Genomic DNA isolated from the leaf material of the T1 null lines (transgene-free edited homozygous mutant lines) and subjected to Illumina sequencing. Whole-genome shotgun libraries were subjected to paired-end sequencing analysis on TERAZEN. Sequence reads of the samples were aligned to a reference consisting of the tomato reference genome (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/313038/accessed on 10 Jun 2022) and the vector sequence with pKAtC. Read depth was calculated with the command samtools depth using only uniquely aligned reads with a mapping quality of 20 or greater and plotted with standard R functions (R Core Team 2015).

4.8. Metabolite Profile Analysis

Polar metabolites were extracted as previously described [50]. Metabolites were extracted from powdered tissues (100 mg) by adding 1 mL of 2.5:1:1 (v/v/v) methanol:water:chloroform. For metabolites, chromatograms and mass spectra were evaluated as described previously [50]. ChromaTOF software was used to support the peak results prior to quantitative analysis and automatic deconvolution of the reference mass spectra. In-house libraries of NIST and standard chemicals were used for compound identification. The calculations used to quantify the concentrations of all analytes were based on the ratio of the peak area of each compound to that of the internal standard.

Supplementary Materials: The supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms24010109/s1.

Author Contributions: Methodology, J.Y.K., J.Y. and S.B.; formal analysis, J.Y.K., J.H.K., Y.H.J., M.-S.K., J.Y. and S.B.; writing—original draft preparation, J.Y.K. and Y.J.J.; writing—review and editing, Y.-G.C. and K.K.K.; supervision, Y.J.J. and K.K.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by a grant from the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Education [grant number 2021R1I1A4A01057295], Republic of Korea.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Li, X.; Xu, J. Meta-analysis of the association between dietary lycopene intake and ovarian cancer risk in postmenopausal women. *Sci. Rep.* **2014**, *4*, 5674. [CrossRef]
- Pouchieu, C.; Galan, P.; Ducros, V.; Latino-Martel, P.; Hercberg, S.; Touvier, M. Plasma carotenoids and retinol and overall and breast cancer risk: A nested case-control study. *Nutr. Cancer* 2014, *66*, 980–988. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Tang, L.; Lee, A.H.; Su, D.; Binns, C.W. Fruit and vegetable consumption associated with reduced risk of epithelial ovarian cancer in southern Chinese women. *Gynecol. Oncol.* 2014, *132*, 241–247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Chappell, J. The biochemistry and molecular biology of isoprenoid metabolism. Plant Physiol. 1995, 107, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 5. Giuliano, G.; Bartley, G.E.; Scolnik, P.A. Regulation of carotenoid biosynthesis during tomato development. *Plant Cell* **1993**, *5*, 379–387.
- Fraser, P.D.; Truesdale, M.R.; Bird, C.R.; Schuch, W.; Bramley, P.M. Carotenoid biosynthesis during tomato fruit development (evidence for tissue-specific gene expression). *Plant Physiol.* 1994, 105, 405–413. [CrossRef]
- Fraser, P.D.; Kiano, J.W.; Truesdale, M.R.; Schuch, W.; Bramley, P.M. Phytoene synthase-2 enzyme activity in tomato does not contribute to carotenoid synthesis in ripening fruit. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 1999, 40, 687–698. [CrossRef]
- Rosati, C.; Aquilani, R.; Dharmapuri, S.; Pallara, P.; Marusic, C.; Tavazza, R.; Bouvier, F.; Camara, B.; Giuliano, G. Metabolic engineering of beta-carotene and lycopene content in tomato fruit. *Plant J.* 2000, 24, 413–420. [CrossRef]
- 9. Galpaz, N.; Wang, Q.; Menda, N.; Zamir, D.; Hirschberg, J. Abscisic acid deficiency in the tomato mutant high-pigment 3 leading to increased plastid number and higher fruit lycopene content. *Plant J.* **2008**, *53*, 717–730. [CrossRef]
- Chen, W.; He, S.; Liu, D.; Patil, G.B.; Zhai, H.; Wang, F.; Stephenson, T.J.; Wang, Y.; Wang, B.; Valliyodan, B.; et al. A sweet potato geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate synthase gene, IbGGPS, increases carotenoid content and enhances osmotic stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS ONE* 2015, *10*, e0137623.
- Ronen, G.; Carmel-Goren, L.; Zamir, D.; Hirschberg, J. An alternative pathway to β-carotene formation in plant chromoplasts discovered by map-based cloning of Beta and old-gold color mutations in tomato. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2000, 97, 11102–11107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Fraser, P.D.; Enfissi, E.M.; Halket, J.M.; Truesdale, M.R.; Yu, D.; Gerrish, C.; Bramley, P.M. Manipulation of phytoene levels in tomato fruit: Effects on isoprenoids, plastids, and intermediary metabolism. *Plant Cell* **2007**, *19*, 3194–3211. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Sun, L.; Yuan, B.; Zhang, M.; Wang, L.; Cui, M.; Wang, Q.; Leng, P. Fruit-specific RNAi-mediated suppression of SINCED1 increases both lycopene and β-carotene contents in tomato fruit. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2012**, *63*, 3097–3108. [CrossRef]
- Luo, Z.; Zhang, J.; Li, J.; Yang, C.; Wang, T.; Ouyang, B.; Li, H.; Giovannoni, J.; Ye, Z. A STAY-GREEN protein S I SGR 1 regulates lycopene and β-carotene accumulation by interacting directly with S I PSY 1 during ripening processes in tomato. *New Phytol.* 2013, 198, 442–452. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Ren, G.; An, K.; Liao, Y.; Zhou, X.; Cao, Y.; Zhao, H.; Ge, X.; Kuai, B. Identification of a novel chloroplast protein AtNYE1 regulating chlorophyll degradation during leaf senescence in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* **2007**, *144*, 1429–1441. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sakuraba, Y.; Schelbert, S.; Park, S.Y.; Han, S.H.; Lee, B.D.; Andrès, C.B.; Kessler, F.; Hörtensteiner, S.; Paek, N.C. STAY-GREEN and chlorophyll catabolic enzymes interact at light-harvesting complex II for chlorophyll detoxification during leaf senescence in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 2012, 24, 507–518. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Sakuraba, Y.; Park, S.Y.; Kim, Y.S.; Wang, S.H.; Yoo, S.C.; Hörtensteiner, S.; Paek, N.C. *Arabidopsis* STAY-GREEN2 is a negative regulator of chlorophyll degradation during leaf senescence. *Mol. Plant* **2014**, *7*, 1288–1302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sakuraba, Y.; Kim, D.; Kim, Y.S.; Hörtensteiner, S.; Paek, N.C. Arabidopsis STAYGREEN-LIKE (SGRL) promotes abiotic stressinduced leaf yellowing during vegetative growth. FEBS Lett. 2014, 588, 3830–3837. [CrossRef]
- Sato, T.; Shimoda, Y.; Matsuda, K.; Tanaka, A.; Ito, H. Mg-dechelation of chlorophyll a by Stay-Green activates chlorophyll b degradation through expressing Non-Yellow Coloring 1 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Plant Physiol. 2018, 222, 94–102. [CrossRef]
- Ono, K.; Kimura, M.; Matsuura, H.; Tanaka, A.; Ito, H. Jasmonate production through chlorophyll a degradation by Stay-Green in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Plant Physiol. 2019, 238, 53–62. [CrossRef]
- Xie, Z.; Wu, S.; Chen, J.; Zhu, X.; Zhou, X.; Hörtensteiner, S.; Ren, G.; Kuai, B. The C-terminal cysteine-rich motif of NYE1/SGR1 is indispensable for its function in chlorophyll degradation in *Arabidopsis. Plant Mol. Biol.* 2019, 101, 257–268. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Cheung, A.Y.; Mcnellis, T.; Pieokos, B. Maintenance of chloroplast components during chromoplast differentiation in the tomato mutant green flesh. *Plant Physiol.* **1993**, *101*, 1223–1229. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Akhtar, M.S.; Goldschmidt, E.E.; John, I.; Rodoni, S.; Matile, P.; Grierson, D. Altered patterns of senescence and ripening in gf, a stay-green mutant of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). J. Exp. Bot. 1999, 50, 1115–1122. [CrossRef]
- Barry, C.S.; McQuinn, R.P.; Chung, M.Y.; Besuden, A.; Giovannoni, J.J. Amino acid substitutions in homologs of the STAY-GREEN protein are responsible for the green-flesh and chlorophyll retainer mutations of tomato and pepper. *Plant Physiol.* 2008, 147, 179–187. [CrossRef]

- 25. Hu, Z.-L.; Deng, L.; Yan, B.; Pan, Y.; Luo, M.; Chen, X.-Q.; Hu, T.-Z.; Chen, G.-P. Silencing of the LeSGR1 gene in tomato inhibits chlorophyll degradation and exhibits a stay-green phenotype. *Biol. Plant* **2011**, *55*, 27–34. [CrossRef]
- 26. Park, S.Y.; Yu, J.W.; Park, J.S.; Li, J.; Yoo, S.C.; Lee, N.Y.; Lee, S.-K.; Jeong, S.-W.; Seo, H.S.; Koh, H.-J.; et al. The senescence-induced STAYGREEN protein regulates chlorophyll degradation. *Plant Cell* **2007**, *19*, 1649–1664. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, H.; Li, M.; Liang, N.; Yan, H.; Wei, Y.; Xu, X.; Liu, J.; Xu, Z.; Chen, F.; Wu, G. Molecular cloning and function analysis of the stay green gene in rice. *Plant J.* 2007, 52, 197–209. [CrossRef]
- Sato, Y.; Morita, R.; Katsuma, S.; Nishimura, M.; Tanaka, A.; Kusaba, M. Two short-chain dehydrogenase/reductases, NON-YELLOW COLORING 1 and NYC1-LIKE, are required for chlorophyll b and light-harvesting complex II degradation during senescence in rice. *Plant J.* 2009, *57*, 120–131. [CrossRef]
- 29. Jiang, H.; Chen, Y.; Li, M.; Xu, X.; Wu, G. Overexpression of SGR results in oxidative stress and lesion-mimic cell death in rice seedlings. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 2011, *53*, 375–387. [CrossRef]
- 30. Rong, H.; Tang, Y.; Zhang, H.; Wu, P.; Chen, Y.; Li, M.; Wu, G.; Jiang, H. The Stay-Green Rice like (SGRL) gene regulates chlorophyll degradation in rice. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2013**, *170*, 1367–1373. [CrossRef]
- 31. Borovsky, Y.; Paran, I. Chlorophyll breakdown during pepper fruit ripening in the chlorophyll retainer mutation is impaired at the homolog of the senescence-inducible stay-green gene. *Appl. Genet.* **2008**, *117*, 235–240. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, C.; Han, L.; Pislariu, C.; Nakashima, J.; Fu, C.; Jiang, Q.; Quan, L.; Blancaflor, E.B.; Tang, Y.; Bouton, J.H.; et al. From model to crop: Functional analysis of a STAY-GREEN gene in the model legume *Medicago truncatula* and effective use of the gene for alfalfa improvement. *Plant Physiol.* 2011, 157, 1483–1496. [CrossRef]
- Shi, S.; Miao, H.; Du, X.; Gu, J.; Xiao, K. GmSGR1, a stay-green gene in soybean (*Glycine max* L.), plays an important role in regulating early leaf-yellowing phenotype and plant productivity under nitrogen deprivation. *Acta Physiol. Plant* 2016, *38*, 97. [CrossRef]
- 34. Hörtensteiner, S. Stay-green regulates chlorophyll and chlorophyll-binding protein degradation during senescence. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2009, 14, 155–162. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Gao, Y.; Wei, W.; Fan, Z.; Zhao, X.; Zhang, Y.; Jing, Y.; Zhu, B.; Zhu, H.; Shan, W.; Chen, J.; et al. Re-evaluation of the nor mutation and the role of the NAC-NOR transcription factor in tomato fruit ripening. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2020**, *71*, 3560–3574. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ma, L.; Zeng, N.; Cheng, K.; Li, J.; Wang, K.; Zhang, C.; Zhu, H. Changes in fruit pigment accumulation, chloroplast development, and transcriptome analysis in the CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of Stay-green 1 (slsgr1) mutant. *Food Qual. Saf.* 2022, *6*, fyab029. [CrossRef]
- Ronen, G.; Cohen, M.; Zamir, D.; Hirschberg, J. Regulation of carotenoid biosynthesis during tomato fruit development: Expression of the gene for lycopene epsilon-cyclase is down-regulated during ripening and is elevated in the mutant Delta. *Plant* J. 1999, 17, 341–351. [CrossRef]
- Li, X.; Wang, Y.; Chen, S.; Tian, H.; Fu, D.; Zhu, B.; Luo, Y.; Zhu, H. Lycopene is enriched in tomato fruit by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated multiplex genome editing. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 2018, *9*, 559. [CrossRef]
- 39. Wang, L.; Zhang, X.L.; Wang, L.; Tian, Y.; Jia, N.; Chen, S.; Shi, N.-B.; Huang, X.; Zhou, C.; Yu, Y.; et al. Regulation of ethylene-responsive SIWRKYs involved in color change during tomato fruit ripening. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 16674. [CrossRef]
- Bai, L.; Chen, Q.; Jiang, L.; Lin, Y.; Ye, Y.; Liu, P.; Wang, X.; Tang, H. Comparative transcriptome analysis uncovers the regulatory functions of long noncoding RNAs in fruit development and color changes of *Fragaria pentaphylla*. *Hortic. Res.* 2019, *6*, 42. [CrossRef]
- 41. Kim, S.; Sandusky, P.; Bowlby, N.R.; Aebersold, R.; Green, B.R.; Vlahaskis, S.; Yocum, C.F.; Pichersky, E. Characterization of a spinach psbS cDNA encoding the 22 kDa protein of photosystem II. *FEBS Lett.* **1992**, *314*, 67–71. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pichersky, E.; Tanksley, S.D.; Piechulla, B.; Stayton, M.M.; Dunsmuir, P. Nucleotide sequence and chromosomal location of Cab-7, the tomato gene encoding the type II chlorophyll a/b-binding polypeptide of photosystem I. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 1988, 11, 69–71. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Bang, I.H.; Kwon, O.K.; Hao, L.; Park, D.; Chung, M.J.; Oh, B.C.; Lee, S.; Bae, E.J.; Park, B.H. Deacetylation of XBP1s by sirtuin 6 confers resistance to ER stress-induced hepatic steatosis. *Exp. Mol. Med.* **2019**, *51*, 1–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Farcuh, M.; Rivero, R.M.; Sadka, A.; Blumwald, E. Ethylene regulation of sugar metabolism in climacteric and non-climacteric plums. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2018, 139, 20–30. [CrossRef]
- Lauxmann, M.A.; Borsani, J.; Osorio, S.; Lombardo, V.A.; Budde, C.O.; Bustamante, C.A.; Monti, L.L.; Andreo, C.S.; Fernie, A.R.; Drincovich, M.F.; et al. Deciphering the metabolic pathways influencing heat and cold responses during post-harvest physiology of peach fruit. *Plant Cell Environ.* 2014, 37, 601–616. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Luengwilai, K.; Beckles, D.M.; Roessner, U.; Dias, D.A.; Lui, V.; Siriphanich, J. Identification of physiological changes and key metabolites coincident with postharvest internal browning of pineapple (*Ananas comosus* L.) fruit. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2018, 137, 56–65. [CrossRef]
- 47. Sato, S.; Tabata, S.; Hirakawa, H.; Asamizu, E.; Shirasawa, K.; Isobe, S.; Kaneko, T.; Nakamura, Y.; Shibata, D.; Aoki, K.; et al. The tomato genome sequence provides insights into fleshy fruit evolution. *Nature* **2012**, *485*, 635–641.
- Liao, Y.; Smyth, G.K.; Shi, W. featureCounts: An efficient general-purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 2014, 30, 923–930. [CrossRef]

- 49. Salem, M.A.; Jüppner, J.; Bajdzienko, K.; Giavalisco, P. Protocol: A fast, comprehensive and reproducible one-step extraction method for the rapid preparation of polar and semi-polar metabolites, lipids, proteins, starch and cell wall polymers from a single sample. *Plant Methods* **2016**, *12*, 45. [CrossRef]
- 50. Luedemann, A.; Strassburg, K.; Erban, A.; Kopka, J. TagFinder for the quantitative analysis of gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)-based metabolite profiling experiments. *Bioinformatics* **2008**, *24*, 732–737. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.