

Table S1. Altered peripheral blood CD8⁺ T cell phenotype in adults with SLE.

Author et al., year [ref]	Type of study	N: patients or controls Age ¹ : Mean \pm SD or median (range) or [IQR]	CD8 ⁺ T cell populations	Clinical relevance
Wiechmann A. et al., 2021 [72]	Cross- sectional	N= 31 SLE 42.5 \pm 13.7 N=21 HC 38.2 \pm 14.4	Decreased % CD8 ⁺ CD107a ⁺ T cells in SLE vs HC ($p = 0.02$).	Decreased % CD8 ⁺ CD107a ⁺ T cells associated with absence of LN ($p = 0.01$), inactive disease ($p = 0.003$) and HCQ treatment in combination with either prednisolone ($p = 0.02$) or prednisolone and other DMARDs ($p = 0.004$). Positive correlation between % CD8 ⁺ CD107a ⁺ T cells and SLEDAI ($r = 0.5$, $p < 0.005$). Negative correlation between % CD8 ⁺ CD107a ⁺ and HCQ dose ($r = -0.5$, $p = 0.005$).
Lima G. et al., 2021 [49]	Cross- sectional	N=30 SLE 15 active + 15 inactive 33 (30–46) active SLE 49 (48–60) inactive SLE N=29 HC 43 (32–52)	No difference in % CD3 ⁺ CD8 ⁺ T cells in SLE (regardless of disease activity) vs HC. No difference in % CD8 ⁺ TEMRA population between HC and SLE. Increased cell activation (CD38 ⁺ HLA-DR ⁺ , $p < 0.01$) and exhaustion (CD38 ⁺ HLA-DR ⁺ PD-1 ⁺ , $p < 0.001$) T cell markers in SLE vs HC.	Reduced % naïve CD8 ⁺ T cells in inactive SLE vs active SLE ($p < 0.05$). Increased % CM CD8 ⁺ T cells in inactive SLE vs HC and active SLE ($p < 0.01$). Reduced % EM CD8 ⁺ T cells in active SLE vs HC and inactive SLE ($p < 0.05$).
Lu Z. et al., 2019 [53]	Cross- sectional	N=39 SLE 36.4 \pm 13.5 N=25 HC ASM	Increased % of CD8 ⁺ T cells in SLE compared to HC ($p = 0.029$). Decreased absolute CD8 ⁺ T cell counts ($p < 0.01$) and CD4/CD8 T cell ratios ($p = 0.001$) in SLE vs HC.	All patients were treatment naïve.
Minning S. et al. 2019 [69]	Cross- sectional with 28-day follow-up for limited	N=47 SLE Mean Age: 32.7 (19– 68) N=20 HC Mean age: 37	Decreased % CD8 ⁺ CD28 ⁺ T cells and CD8 ⁺ CD28 ⁻ /CD8 ⁺ CD28 ⁺ T cell ratio in high- activity SLE vs HC and inactive SLE ($p < 0.05$). Increased % CD8 ⁺ CD28 ⁻ T cells in inactive SLE patients vs HC ($p < 0.05$).	Inverse correlation between % CD8 ⁺ CD28 ⁺ T cells and SLEDAI ($r = -0.5$, $p < 0.01$). Positive correlation between CD8 ⁺ CD28 ⁻ /CD8 ⁺ CD28 ⁺ ratio and SLEDAI ($r = 0.45$, $p < 0.01$). Decreased % CD8 ⁺ CD28 ⁺ in SLE patients with a reduced C3 level ($p < 0.05$). CD8 ⁺ CD28 ⁻ /CD8 ⁺ CD28 ⁺

	subset of patients			ratio higher in patients with a reduced vs normal C3 levels and higher in patients with vs without kidney damage ($p < 0.05$). % CD8 ⁺ CD28 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ CD28 ⁻ /CD8 ⁺ CD28 ⁺ ratio normalised 28 days post high dose steroids \pm immunosuppressants.
Gao H. et al., 2018 [59]	Cross-sectional	N= 40 SLE 29.41 \pm 6.28 N= 40 HC 29.70 \pm 5.99	Increased % CD8 ⁺ T cells in SLE vs HC ($p < 0.001$).	Positive correlation between % CD8 ⁺ T cells and RF level ($r = 0.50$, $p = 0.002$). Negative correlation between % CD8 ⁺ cells and C3 ($r = -0.374$, $p = 0.017$) and C4 ($r = -0.509$, $p = 0.001$).
Manjarres-Orduno N. et al., 2017 [63]	Cross-sectional	N=44 SLE 38.5 \pm 10.41 N=40 HC 39.3 \pm 9.42	No difference in naïve (CD45RA ⁺ CCR7 ⁺), CM (CD45RA ⁻ , CCR7 ⁺) or early memory (CCR7 ⁻ CD28 ⁺) subsets, between HC and SLE. Increased % TEMRA (CCR7 ⁻ CD45RA ⁺ CD28 ⁻) cells in SLE vs HC ($p = 0.02$). Patients with increased % of these cells had a “cytotoxic phenotype” (higher production of IFN- γ , perforin, & granzyme B) across all stages of T cell differentiation.	Increased % of terminally differentiated CD8 ⁺ T cells associated with LN ($p < 0.02$).
Mortezagholi S. et al., 2016 [54]	Cross-sectional	N=35 SLE 37.17 \pm 11.04 N=38 HC ASM	Increased % of CD8 ⁺ T cells in SLE vs HC ($p < 0.001$). Decreased CD4/CD8 ratio in SLE vs HC ($p = 0.009$). Increased TLR9 expression on CD8 ⁺ T cells in SLE vs HC ($p = 0.001$).	None reported.
Kim J-S. et al., 2012 [71]	Cross-sectional	N=21 SLE 35.8 \pm 9.3 N=23 HC 33.3 \pm 7.0	Increased % of cytotoxic IL7R α^{low} cells in SLE ($p = 0.027$).	Positive correlation between % of IL7R α^{low} EM CD8 ⁺ cells and SLEDAI score ($r = 0.483$, $p = 0.026$).
Suarez-Fueyo A. et al., 2011 [55]	Cross-sectional	N=55 SLE Age range: 25–58 N=31 HC Age range: 24–55	Increased % CD8 ⁺ T cells in SLE vs HC ($p < 0.01$). Increased % memory CD8 ⁺ CD45RO ⁺ cells in inactive ($p < 0.01$) and active SLE ($p < 0.001$) vs HC.	None reported.

Shah D. et al., 2011 [70]	Cross-sectional	N=26 SLE 28.5 ± 7.50 N=26 HC 26.90 ± 8.0	Increased % CD8 ⁺ granzyme B ⁺ T cells ($p < 0.001$), % CD8 ⁺ perforin ⁺ T cells ($p < 0.001$) and % CD8 ⁺ T cells co-expressing granzyme B and perforin ($p < 0.001$) in SLE vs HC. Increased serum granzyme B in SLE vs HC ($p < 0.001$).	Positive correlation between SLEDAI score and % perforin ⁺ CD8 ⁺ ($r = 0.543, p < 0.01$), % granzyme B ⁺ CD8 ⁺ ($r = 0.508, p < 0.01$), % perforin ⁺ granzyme B ⁺ CD8 ⁺ cells ($r = 0.705, p < 0.001$). Negative correlation between serum granzyme B and C3 ($r = -0.510, p < 0.01$) and C4 ($r = -0.583, p < 0.01$). Positive correlation between serum granzyme B and SLEDAI ($r = 0.783, p < 0.001$).
Shah D. et al., 2011 [58]	Cross-sectional	N=35 SLE 28.5 ± 7.80 N=35 HC 28.25 ± 6.80	Increased % CD8 ⁺ T cells in SLE vs HC ($p < 0.001$). Decreased CD4 ⁺ /CD8 ⁺ T cell ratio in SLE vs HC ($p < 0.001$).	None reported.
Dolff S. et al., 2010 [62]	Cross-sectional	N=43 SLE 41±12 N=20 HC 36±10	Increased % CD8 ⁺ CM (CCR7 ⁺ CD45RO ⁺) in SLE vs HC ($p = 0.02$). Decreased % CD8 ⁺ EM in SLE vs HC ($p = 0.008$). No difference in % naïve CD8 ⁺ T cells.	Increased % CD8 ⁺ CM in active disease vs HC ($p = 0.009$) and SLE without active LN ($p = 0.02$). Decreased % CD8 EM in active SLE vs HC ($p = 0.004$), reduced in those without active LN ($p = 0.03$) and lowest in those with active LN ($p = 0.01$).
McKinney E.F. et al., 2010 [64]	Longitudinal prospective	N=29 SLE (7 poor prognosis, 18 better prognosis) Mean age (SEM) poor prognosis: 42.6 (4.3) Mean age (SEM) better prognosis: 43.3 (3.2)	Increased % CD3 ⁺ CD8 ⁺ CD45RA ⁻ ($p = 0.039$) and absolute count ($p = 0.003$) of memory cells (both EM and CM) in SLE with poor prognosis.	Increased % and number of CD8 ⁺ memory cells is associated with lower flare-free survival time in SLE.
Bohm I. 2006 [60]	Cross-sectional	N= 124 LE (SLE+ cutaneous LE) Mean age: 43.7 (8–84) N=57 HC	Decreased absolute CD3 ⁺ CD8 ⁺ T cell count in LE compared to HC ($p < 4 \times 10^{-11}$).	None reported.

		Mean Age: 40.8 (11–84)		
Wang H. et al., 2005 [51]	Cross-sectional	N=49 SLE Mean age: 25.3 N=30 HC AM	No differences in % of CD8 ⁺ T cells SLE vs HC. Decreased CD4/CD8 T cell ratio in SLE (both active and inactive) vs HC ($p < 0.05$).	No difference in % CD8 ⁺ cells between active and inactive SLE.
Wouters C.H.P. et al., 2004 [52]	Cross-sectional	N=25 SLE 34.5 (17.4–56.5) N=38 HC	No difference in % CD8 ⁺ T cells between SLE vs HC. Decreased absolute CD8 ⁺ T cell counts in SLE vs HC ($p < 0.01$). Increased % of activated CD8 ⁺ T cells (CD8 ⁺ HLA-DR ⁺) in SLE vs HC ($p < 0.05$).	SLE patients were not on corticosteroids or immunosuppressive therapy. Distribution of lymphocyte subsets remained the same between HCQ treated and untreated groups.
Sen Y. et al., 2004 [124]	Cross-sectional	N= 37 SLE 31.4 (21–48) N= 18 HC 30.5 (18–48)	Increased % CD8 ⁺ CCR7 ⁺ T cells in active SLE vs inactive SLE and HC ($p < 0.01$). These are mostly CM cells (CD45RO ⁺ expression over 90% in all groups).	Increased % of CM CD8 ⁺ cells seen in active lupus only.
Viallard J.F. et al., 2001 [67]	Longitudinal prospective	N=34 SLE with quiescent disease, Mean age: 37.9 (16–74) N=26 SLE with active disease Mean Age: 38.0 (16–79)	Increased % CD8 ⁺ HLA-DR ⁺ T cells in active vs inactive SLE ($p = 0.0000001$). No difference in absolute counts.	No differences in % CD8 ⁺ HLA-DR ⁺ T cells between group receiving treatment and untreated patients. In multivariate analysis, % CD8 ⁺ HLA-DR ⁺ was found to be the best biological parameter associated with flaring ($p < 0.001$).
Hu S. et al., 2001 [57]	Cross-sectional	N=21 active SLE 29.14 ± 7.82 N=10 HC 32.07 ± 8.73	Increased % of CD8 ⁺ T cells in SLE vs HC ($p < 0.01$). Decreased CD4/CD8 T cell ratio in SLE vs HC ($p < 0.01$).	None reported.

¹Age in years expressed in this format, unless specified otherwise.

Abbreviations used: AM = age matched, ASM = age and sex matched, CM = central memory, C3 = complement 3, C4 = complement 4, DMARDs = disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs, EM = effector memory, HC = healthy controls, HLA-DR = Human Leukocyte Antigen-DR, HCQ = hydroxychloroquine, IFN = interferon, IL7R = interleukin-7-receptor IQR = interquartile range, LE = lupus erythematosus, LN = lupus nephritis, PD-1 = programmed cell death protein 1, RF = rheumatoid factor, SD =

standard deviation, SEM = standard error of the mean, SLE = systemic lupus erythematosus, SLEDAI = Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index, TEMRA = Terminally differentiated effector memory cells, TLR = Toll-like receptor.

Table S2. Altered peripheral blood CD8⁺ T cell phenotype in adults with connective tissue disease.

Author et al., year [ref]	Type of study	N: patients or controls Age ¹ : Mean \pm SD or median (range) or [IQR]	Altered CD8 ⁺ T cell phenotype	Clinical relevance
Sjogren's syndrome (SS)				
Wu C. et al., 2018 [80]	Cross- sectional	N=32 pSS 54 (43.75–64) N=23 SLE 34 (18–74) N= 20 HC 42 (33.75–46.25)	Increased % CCR7 ⁺ CD8 ⁺ cells in pSS and SLE vs HC ($p < 0.05$) Higher chemotactic motility of CD8 ⁺ cells T cells in pSS and SLE vs HC ($p < 0.05$).	None reported.
Sudzius G. et al., (2015) [75]	Cross- sectional	N=52 pSS (n=29 pSS Ab-ve, n=23 pSS Ab+ve) 57 \pm 13 N=28 HC 53 \pm 11	No difference in % CD8 ⁺ T cells in pSS vs HC. Decreased absolute CD8 ⁺ T cell count in pSS vs HC ($p < 0.01$). Decreased absolute count of CD8 ⁺ CD57 ⁺ CD27 ⁺ T cells ($p < 0.01$) in pSS vs HC.	Positive correlation between focus score and absolute counts of CD8 ⁺ CD57 ⁺ CD27 ⁺ T cells ($r = 0.560$, $p = 0.016$) in SS Ab-ve patients. In SS Ab+ve patients: Positive correlation between Schirmer's I test and % CD8 ⁺ CD57 ⁺ CD27 ⁺ T cells ($r =$ 0.589, $p = 0.006$) and negative correlation with % CD8 ⁺ CD57 ⁺ CD27 ⁺ T cells ($r = -0.479$, $p = 0.033$). Positive correlation between Schirmer's I test and CD8 ⁺ CD57 ⁺ CD27 ⁺ absolute T cell count ($r = 0.512$, $p =$ 0.021) and negative correlation with CD8 ⁺ CD57 ⁺ CD27 ⁺ T cell count ($r = -0.525$, $p = 0.017$). Positive correlation between unstimulated salivary flow rate and % CD8 ⁺ T cell ($r = 0.525$, $p = 0.017$). Negative correlation between focus score and % and absolute counts of CD8 ⁺ CD57 ⁺ CD27 ⁺ T cells, respectively, ($r = -0.517$, $p =$ 0.02) and ($r = -0.462$, $p = 0.04$). Negative correlation between ESSDAI and absolute counts of CD8 ⁺ T cells ($r = -0.459$, $p = 0.042$) and CD8 ⁺ CD57 ⁺ CD27 ⁺ ($r = -0.492$, $p = 0.028$) T cells.

Fauchais A. et al., 2009 [77]	Cross-sectional	N= 18 SS 57 (21–83) N= 12 HC, ASM	Increased activated HLA-DR ⁺ CD8 ⁺ T cell absolute cell count in pSS vs HC ($p = 0.02$).	None reported.
Systemic sclerosis (SSc)				
Gambichler T. et al., 2010 [84]	Cross-sectional	N=29 SSc (22 lcSSc, 7 dcSSc) 56.3 ± 12.9 N=29 HC 51.1 ± 13.4	No difference in % CD8 ⁺ T cells in SSc vs HC. Decreased absolute CD8 ⁺ T cell count in SSc vs HC ($p = 0.021$).	None reported.
Hussein M. et al., 2005 [83]	Cross-sectional	N= 19 SSc 34.8±2.6 N=6 HC 33.03 ± 7.2	No difference in CD8 ⁺ cell count in SSc vs HC. Increased CD4 ⁺ /CD8 ⁺ ratio in SSc vs HC ($p < 0.01$).	None reported.
Tiev K. et al., 2004 [82]	Cross-sectional	N= 11 dcSSc Mean age: 48 SEM ±4 N=11 lcSSc Mean age: 54 SEM ±2 N=11 HC Mean age: 52 SEM±2	No difference in % activated HLA-DR ⁺ CD8 ⁺ or CD25 ⁺ CD8 ⁺ T cells in SSc vs HC. Decreased % CD8 ⁺ in dcSSc vs HC ($P < 0.05$). No difference in % CD8 ⁺ T cells in lcSSc vs HC. No significant CD8 ⁺ T cell repertoire perturbations in SSc vs HC.	None reported.
Tsuji-Yamada J. et al., 2001 [81]	Cross-sectional	N = 23 SSc (12 lcSSc, 11 dcSSc) 58 ± 8.9 N= 14 HC 52.2 ± 3.6	Increased % CD8 ⁺ T cells in SSc vs HC ($p < 0.05$). Increased % CD8 ⁺ IL-4 ⁺ T cells ($p < 0.05$) in SSc vs HC. Decreased % CD8 ⁺ IL-2 ⁺ T cells in SSc vs HC ($p < 0.001$).	No difference in % CD8 ⁺ T cells with age, treatment, ANA type, extent of skin sclerosis, presence of pulmonary fibrosis, or oesophageal dysfunction. Negative correlation between IL-4/IL-4 ⁺ IL-2 ⁺ producing CD8 ⁺ T cells and SSc duration ($r = -0.526$, $p = 0.01$).
Polymyositis and Dermatomyositis (PM/DM)				
Wilkinson M. et al., 2020 [90]	Cross-sectional	N=19 DM 55.8 [46.21–60.3] N=9 PM 52.37 [48.35–53.10]	Decreased % CD8 ⁺ CM T cells in PM vs HC ($p = 0.0093$). No difference in %CD8 ⁺ T cells in DM/PM vs HC.	No association with treatment or disease activity. Decreased % CD8 ⁺ CM in patients with anti-Ro, anti-PM/Scl and anti-RNP antibodies.

		N=25 HC 51.26 [43.23-58.31]		
Benveniste O. et al., 2001 [91]	Cross-sectional	N= 10 PM 58.8 ± 16.3 N= 10 DM 49.7 ± 20.4 N=17 HC 32±9	Perturbations in CD8 ⁺ T cell repertoire in PM vs DM and PM vs HC due to oligoclonal CD8 ⁺ T cell expansion.	None reported.

¹Age in years expressed in this format, unless specified otherwise.

Abbreviations used: Ab = antibody, ANA = antinuclear antibody, ASM = age and sex matched, CM = central memory, CCR = CC-chemokine receptor, DM = dermatomyositis, dcSSc = diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis, ESSDAI = EULAR Sjögren's syndrome disease activity index, , HC = healthy controls, HLA-DR = Human Leukocyte Antigen-DR, IQR = interquartile range, IL= Interleukin, , lcSSc = limited cutaneous systemic sclerosis, PM = polymyositis, pSS = primary Sjögren's syndrome, RNP = ribonucleoprotein, SD = standard deviation, SEM = standard error of the mean, SLE = systemic lupus erythematosus, SS = Sjögren's syndrome, SSc = systemic sclerosis.