



Supplementary

## Gating strategy for immune cell subsets and the intracellular markers



**Figure 1. Gating of Th1 and Th17 cells.** A: Cells were gated in an FSC-H and FSC-A dot plot to eliminate doublets. B: Lymphocytes were gated in an FSC vs. SSC dot plot. C: CD3+/CD4+ cells were gated. D: CD45RO+ cells were chosen to analyse memory Th-cells. E: CCR5+/CXCR3+ cells were gated on the basis of a CCR5-FMO control shown in G. CCR5+/CXCR3+ were used as markers for Th1 cells. F: CCR6+ cells were gated on the basis of a CCR6-FMO control shown in H. CCR6+ were used as marker for Th17 cells. G: FMO control of CCR5 showing 0,47% of cells in the FMO-CCR5 gate. H: FMO control of CCR6 showing 0% cells in the FMO-CCR6 gate. FSC: Forward scatter. FSC-H: Forward scatter height. FSC-A: Forward scatter area. SSC: Side scatter. FMO: Fluorescence-minus-one control.



**Figure 2. Gating of Tfh cells.** A: Cells were gated in an FSC-H and FSC-A dot plot to eliminate doublets. B: Lymphocytes were gated on an FSC vs. SSC plot. C: CD3+/CD4+ cells were chosen. D:

CXCR5+ cells were used as markers for Tfh-cells. FSC: Forward scatter. FSC-H: Forward scatter height. FSC-A: Forward scatter area. SSC: Side scatter.



**Figure 3.** Gating of Tregs (CD25+/FoxP3+). A: Cells were gated in an FSC-H and FSC-A dot plot to eliminate doublets. B: Lymphocytes were gated on an FSC vs. SSC plot. C: CD4+ cells were chosen. D: CD25+ and FoxP3+ cells were used as markers for Tregs. FSC: Forward scatter. FSC-H: Forward scatter height. FSC-A: Forward scatter area. SSC: Side scatter.



**Figure 4.** Gating of mDCs. mDC-gating strategy. A: Cells were gated on an FSC-H and FSC-A plot to eliminate doublets. B: DCs were gated on an FSC vs. SSC dot plot. C: Negative lineage cocktail and positive HLA-DR were chosen. D: CD86+ and CD11c+ were used as markers for mDC. E-H: ILT3-PE were substituted with CD19-PE to clarify, that B-cells were not included in our gating of mDCs. E: Cells were gated on an FSC-H and FSC-A plot to eliminate doublets. F: DCs were gated on an FSC vs. SSC dot plot. G and F: Gating was performed to tailor our gating for mDCs in D. G: Elucidated that our gating of positive CD11c cells did not include CD19+ cells. H: Clarified that our gating of positive CD86 cells included a minimum of CD19 cells (< 2%). Tailoring the gating for mDCs on the basis of G and H it was fair to say, that no significant amount of CD19+ cells were to be found in our mDC gating in D. FSC: Forward scatter. FSC-H: Forward scatter height. FSC-A: Forward scatter area. SSC: Side scatter. DCs: Dendritic cells. mDCs: Myeloid dendritic cells.



**Figure 5.** Gating of pDCs. Gating strategy for pDCs. A: Cells were gated on an FSC-H and FSC-A plot to eliminate doublets. B: DCs were gated on an FSC vs. SSC dot plot. C: Negative lineage cocktail and positive HLA-DR were chosen. D: CD123+ and ILT3+ were used as markers for pDC. E-G: ILT3-PE were substituted with CD19-PE to clarify, that B-cells were not included in our gating of pDCs. E: Cells were gated on an FSC-H and FSC-A plot to eliminate doublets. F: DCs were gated on an FSC vs. SSC dot plot. G: Gating was performed to secure our gating for pDCs in D, as we showed that gating positive for CD123 did not have a relevant amount of CD19+ cells (< 0,2%). Based on G it was fair to say, that no significant amount of CD19+ cells were found in our pDC gating in D. FSC: Forward scatter. FSC-H: Forward scatter height. FSC-A: Forward scatter area. SSC: Side scatter. DCs: Dendritic cells. pDCs: Plasmacytoid dendritic cells.



**Figure 6.** Gating of Classical monocytes (CD14++/CD16-). A: Cells were gated in an FSC-H and FSC-A dot plot to eliminate doublets. B: Monocytes were gated on an FSC vs. SSC plot. C: CD14++/CD16-were used as markers for classical monocytes. FSC: Forward scatter. FSC-H: Forward scatter height. FSC-A: Forward scatter area. SSC: Side scatter.





**Figure 7.** Gating of phosphospecific flow cytometry. A: Cells were gated on an FSC-H and FSC-A plot to eliminate doublets. B: Lymphocytes were gated on an FSC vs. SSC dot plot. C: CD3+ cells were chosen. D: CD4+ and CD8+ cells were separately gated. E-G: The MFI of pAKT, pERK1/2 and pStat3 in CD4+ was analysed. H-J: The MFI of pAKT, pERK1/2 and pStat3 in CD8+ was analysed. FSC: Forward scatter. FSC-H: Forward scatter height. FSC-A: Forward scatter area. SSC: Side scatter. MFI: Mean fluorescence intensity. pAKT: phosphorylated AKT. pERK1/2: phosphorylated ERK1/2. pStat3: phosphorylated Stat3.

Ch01_BF	Ch05_CD3 PerCP	Ch10_CD8 BV6050	h02_ERK 1/2 AF488	Ch03_AKT PEC	h11_P38-MAPK AF6	4ERK 1/2 AF488 int	AKT int	P38-MAPK int
	0		۲	6		۲	6	
49467	0		۲	656			٢	
47625	0			C			O	
40750	•		٠			۲		
43326	0	۲		- 3			0	
41411	0			<b>(</b> )			۲	
44943 (23)	0			0			٢	
41428	0			Ċ,			۲	

**Figure 8. Verification of Intracellular staining using ImageStream®**<sup>X</sup> **(Amnis®).** Shows where the fluorochromes brighten, thus where the corresponding antibody is placed. Prior to this table the cells were gated for CD3+ and CD8+ and as shown both CD3 and CD8 brighten up as expected on the surface of the cells. The figure shows that the fluorochromes for pERK1/2 and pAKT are positioned intracellularly as expected. Furthermore, it reveals very few cells containing p-p38 MAPK, thus it was excluded from our analysis. pERK1/2: phosphorylated ERK1/2. pAKT: phosphorylated AKT. p-p38 MAPK.

**Table 1.** Shows the flow cytometry cell panel with the different fluorochromes conjugated to which antibody and which clone was used. Each row represents one 5-mL BD Falcon<sup>TM</sup> tube analysed in the Novocyte flow cytometer (ACEA Biosciences, Inc.). All antibodies were obtained from BD Biosciences unless specified otherwise. All volumetric specifications are  $\mu$ L from the specific antibody, when stated <1 $\mu$ L it was diluted in bovine specific antigen (BSA) to be able to add the small amount of antibody. \* PE instead of AF647 was used. \*\* FITC instead of AF488 was used. \*\*\* 0.5 $\mu$ L CD19-FITC clone HIB19, 0,5 $\mu$ L CD3-FITC clone UCHT1, 2 $\mu$ L CD14-FITC clone HCD14, 1,7 $\mu$ L CD56-FITC clone HCD56. <sup>#</sup> Obtained from Biolegend. <sup>#</sup> A blue 5mL Polypropylene tube (Beckman Coulter) instead of 5-mL BD Falcon<sup>TM</sup> tube was used.

Table S1. Flow panel and clones used.								
Laser								
Cells	PE	BV421	Alexa Fluor488	AF647	PerCP	BV786	BV605	
Th1/17	7µL, CCR5, clone 3A9	2μL, CCR6, clone 11A9		10µL, CD45RO, clone UCHL1 *	1µL, CD3, clone SK7 <sup>#</sup>	1,7µL, CD4, clone SK3	2,5μL CXCR3, clone IC6	
Tregs		2μL, CD25, clone M- A251	1µL, CD4, clone RPA- T4**#	10µL, FoxP3, clone 259D‡				
Tfh	20µL, ICOS, clone DX29	1,5μL, CXCR5, clone RF8B2	1μL, CD4, clone RPA- T4**#		1µL, CD3, clone SK7 <sup>#</sup>			

Table S1. Flow panel and clones used.							
Laser							
Monocytes##	20µL, AKT, clone M89- 61	2µL, Stat3, clone 49/p- Stat3	20µL, ERK1/2, 20A	5μL, p38 MAPK, 36/p38		5μL, CD14, M5E2	5μL, CD16, clone 3G8
Th-Tc	20µL, AKT, clone M89- 61	2µL, Stat3, clone 49/p- Stat3	20µL, ERK1/2, 20A	5μL, p38 MAPK, 36/p38	1µL, CD3, clone SK7 <sup>#</sup>	3µL, CD4, clone SK3	5µL, CD8, clone SK1
Laser							
Cells	PE	BV421	FITC	APC	PerCp-Cy5.5	PE-Cy7	
DCs	0,5µL, ILT3, clone ZM4.1 <sup>#</sup>	5µL, HLA- DR, clone L243#	CD19/3/14/5 6***#	0,17μL, CD86, clone IT2.2 <sup>#</sup>	2,5μL, CD11c, clone 3.9#	1μL, CD123, clone 6H6 <sup>#</sup>	
Monocytes##			2µL, CD14, clone HCD14 <sup>#</sup>				



© 2019 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).