Fine Mapping and Candidate Gene Prediction for White Immature Fruit Skin in Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.)
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Abstract: In this study, a single recessive gene (designated \( w_0 \)) was identified to control the white immature fruit color. Genetic mapping with simple sequence repeats (SSR) markers located the \( w_0 \) gene in the distal region of cucumber chromosome 3 (Chr.3). Fine mapping was then conducted using the method of draft genome scaffold-assisted chromosome walking with 7304 \( F_2 \) individuals, which allowed for the assignment of the gene locus to a 100.3 kb genomic DNA region with two flanking markers, Q138 and Q193. Thirteen candidate genes were predicted in the 100.3 kb region. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis showed that the expression of the Csa3G904140 gene, which encodes a two-component response regulator-like protein, was much higher in the immature fruit skin of the green parental line (Q1) than in the white parental line (H4). A coding sequence analysis suggested that a single-base insertion occurred at the ninth exon, resulting in a frameshift mutation in Csa3G904140 of H4, and the mutation was consistent with the phenotype in 17 green/white germplasms. Therefore, Csa3G904140 was taken as the likely candidate gene controlling the immature fruit color of cultivated cucumber. This study will contribute to the cloning of candidate genes and the development of white cucumber cultivars using marker-assisted breeding.
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1. Introduction

Cucumber, Cucumis sativus L. (2n = 2x = 14), an important cucurbitaceous crop, is one of the top ten vegetables in the world. For most horticultural crops including cucumber, immature fruit skin color is a highly important external quality trait that influences consumer choice [1]. Since traditional breeding takes a long time to produce results, studying the inheritance of fruit skin color will facilitate marker-assisted selection and promote economic value.

There are many studies carried on pericarp color in horticultural plants. The genetic model of fruit color in bitter gourd was found to be a quantitative model through generation mean analysis; green is the dominant trait to white [2]. Gene mapping of pericarp color in wax gourd showed that the trait was controlled by one single gene; dark green color was dominant over yellow color, and the locus was located on chromosome 5 [3]. The fruit color of sweet pepper at the marketable mature stage was controlled by different nuclear genes: green vs. yellow was controlled by one completely
dominant gene, yellow vs. white was controlled by two duplicate dominant genes, and green vs. white had differences in three genes [4]. The fruit color of a tomato consisted of the pericarp color and flesh color, while the genetics between them were completely independent [5]; studies showed that the pericarp color was controlled by one pair of nuclear genes, where transparent color was recessive to yellow color [6]. A quantitative trait locus (QTL) approach suggests that PavMYB10 could be the major determinant of fruit skin in sweet cherries [7]. In 2016, PavMYB10 was confirmed to be a reliable DNA molecular marker to select fruit skin color in sweet cherries [8].

The inheritance of pericarp color in cucumber has also been studied. Fine mapping of the pleiotropic locus B for orange mature fruit skin color in cucumber was conducted and identified a 50 kb region containing an R2R3-MYB transcription factor [9]. Studies on the uniform immature fruit color trait have shown that the mottled fruit color phenotype (U) was dominant over the uniform fruit color phenotype (u), and a single gene was responsible for phenotype segregation [10,11]. The u gene was fine mapped between the newly developed codominant SSR markers SSR10 and SSR27 at genetic distances of 0.8 and 0.5 cM, respectively [1]. The w0 white immature fruit skin color gene was located on Chr.3 at approximately 1150 kb in cucumber [12]. Wang et al. [13] studied the genetics of the white immature fruit color trait in cucumber and identified the molecular marker SSR15312, which was located on Chr.3. A premature stop codon, due to a single-base insertion, was found to be responsible for white immature fruit color in cucumber; the sole candidate gene, associated with chloroplast development and chlorophyll biosynthesis, was named APRR2 [14].

In this study, genetic analysis of different F2 and backcross populations in cucumber revealed that one single dominant gene was associated with white immature fruit color in cucumber, and the locus was mapped to Chr.3. Subsequently, 1655 homozygotes derived from the 7304 F2 individuals from the cross of the Q1 × H4 hybrid were used for fine mapping of the white immature fruit color gene. The gene was mapped to a 100.3 kb region between markers Q138 and Q193 at a genetic distance of 0.03 and 0.03 cM, respectively. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis showed that the expression of the Csa3G904140 gene was much higher in the immature fruit of Q1 than in H4. Furthermore, there was a frameshift mutation in Csa3G904140. Therefore, Csa3G904140 was taken as one likely candidate gene. These findings will facilitate marker-assisted selection of the white immature fruit color trait in cucumber breeding and the cloning of the white immature fruit color gene.

2. Results

2.1. Genetic Analysis of the Immature Fruit Skin Color Trait

The skin color of two parental inbred lines and their offspring is shown in Figure 1, and the fruit skin color of the F1 cross (H4 × Q1) was green without segregation, revealing the recessive nature of the mutation. Genetic analysis of the immature fruit skin color trait is shown in Table 1. The F2 population derived from the crossing combination P1 × P2 segregated for 115 plants with green immature fruit skin and 29 plants with white immature fruit skin, which fitted an expected segregation ratio of 3:1 ($\chi^2 = 1.56, p = 0.211$). None of the BCP1 individuals from a backcross between F1 and P1 were white. For the backcross of F1 with P2, 65 plants had green fruits and 55 plants had white fruits in the BCP2 population, showing a segregation ratio of 1:1 ($\chi^2 = 0.68, p = 0.411$). These results indicated that the white immature fruit skin color in Q1 is controlled by a single recessive nuclear gene named w0.
was found in the pericarp of fruit (Figure 2). Next, transmission electron microscopy was used to observe the number and ultrastructure of chloroplasts in the pericarp of the two parental lines. The chloroplast of H4 exhibited extensive internal vacuolization and premature senescence. The grana thylakoid of H4 lacked starch grains, and the internal structure of the plastid was fuzzy. Moreover, the chloroplast number and size of H4 were fewer and smaller than Q1 (Figure 3e–h).

Moreover, the total number of plastoglobuli was decreased in H4 by comparing with Q1 (Figure 3a–d). The chloroplast of H4 exhibited extensive internal vacuolization and premature senescence. The grana thylakoid of H4 lacked starch grains, and the internal structure of the plastid was fuzzy.

2.2. Chlorophyll Content Determination and Chloroplast Observation

High levels of chlorophyll were detected in the pericarp of 8- and 13-day-old fruit, stems, leaves and flowers in the green parental line compared to the white parental line, and the significant difference was found in the pericarp of fruit (Figure 2). Next, transmission electron microscopy was used to observe the number and ultrastructure of chloroplasts in the pericarp of the two parental lines. The chloroplast of H4 exhibited extensive internal vacuolization and premature senescence. The grana thylakoid of H4 lacked starch grains, and the internal structure of the plastid was fuzzy. The total number of plastoglobuli was decreased in H4 by comparing with Q1 (Figure 3a–d). Moreover, the chloroplast number and size of H4 were fewer and smaller than Q1 (Figure 3e–h).

Table 1. Segregation of immature fruit color in the three populations of cucumber.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Expected Separation Ratio</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>$p$ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCP$_1$ a</td>
<td>120 Green</td>
<td>1:0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCP$_2$ b</td>
<td>65 Green</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F$_2$ c</td>
<td>115 Green</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a BCP$_1$ = F$_1$ (H4 × Q1) × Q1; b BCP$_2$ = F$_1$ (H4 × Q1) × H4; c F$_2$ population was derived from the self-pollination of F$_1$ (H4 × Q1).

Figure 1. Immature fruit skin color of the parental lines and their progeny: (a) A green-skinned cucumber inbred line Q1 (P$_1$); (b) A green-skinned F$_1$ population of H4 × Q1; (c) A white-skinned line H4 (P$_2$).

Figure 2. Chlorophyll content analysis in tissues between two parental inbred lines.
Figure 3. Epidermis chloroplast analysis of Q1 and H4 under microscopy: (a) epidermis chloroplast ultrastructure of Q1 in 8-day-old after pollination; (b) epidermis chloroplast ultrastructure of Q1 in 13-day-old after pollination; (c) epidermis chloroplast ultrastructure of H4 in 8-day-old after pollination; (d) epidermis chloroplast ultrastructure of H4 in 13-day-old after pollination; (e) epidermis chloroplast number and size of Q1 in 8-day-old after pollination; (f) epidermis chloroplast number and size of Q1 in 13-day-old after pollination; (g) epidermis chloroplast number and size of H4 in 8-day-old after pollination; (h) epidermis chloroplast number and size of H4 in 13-day-old after pollination.

2.3. Preliminary Mapping of the White Immature Fruit Skin Color Trait

To determine the chromosomal location of the \( w_0 \) gene, 170 pairs of SSR primers (Table S1) were selected from the published 995 pairs of SSR markers in cucumber [15] at an interval of 3.5 cM. One polymorphic marker, SSR15312, was identified through bulked segregant analysis (BSA).

The SSR15312 was then used to genotype 144 \( F_2 \) individuals, and this marker was found to be closely linked with fruit skin color. Moreover, the linkage between the marker and the phenotype was also detected in 120 progenies of the BCP\(_1\) population and 72 progenies of the BCP\(_2\) population. These data proved that the marker SSR15312 was closely linked to the target gene. Ren et al. [15] reported that SSR15312 is located on Chr.3, suggesting that the \( w_0 \) gene is mapped to Chr.3.
2.4. Fine Mapping of the White Immature Fruit Skin Color Trait

To fine map this gene, an F₁ population of H4 × Q1 was self-pollinated to produce an F₂ population, 175 pairs of cleaved amplified polymorphic sequences (CAPS) markers (Table S2) were developed with 3916 F₂ individuals. Among them, four pairs of polymorphic markers, Q88, Q138, Q139, and Q4-2, were identified and genotyped in 882 white plants in the F₂ population. Q88 was at the left side of SSR15312 with 19 recombinants, while SSR15312 had 4 recombinants. In addition, the markers, Q138, Q139 and Q4-2, co-segregated with the target gene (Figure 4a). Then, the F₂ population was enlarged to 7304 individuals, another 63 pairs of CAPS markers (Table S2) were developed, including three new polymorphic markers, Q147, Q193, and Q169, were developed.

Linkage analysis of these eight pairs of polymorphic markers with the w₀ gene of cucumber using 1655 white plants in the F₂ population verified the recombination rate to calculate the genetic distance. The results indicated that there was still no recombination between Q139, Q4-2, Q142 and the white immature fruit skin color gene, while two different recombinants were detected between the two closest flanking markers Q138 and Q193 at a genetic distance of 0.03 cM (Figure 4b).

The two closest flanking markers, Q138 and Q193, were identified and genotyped in 882 white plants in the F₂ population. Q88 was at positions 39193398 (the first base of the 5′-terminus sequence) on Chr.3, respectively; the physical distance between them is 100.3 kb, which contains 13 candidate genes (Figure 4c).

![Figure 4](image_url). Sketch map of the fruit skin color gene and candidate gene analysis: (a) Linkage map constructed using 882 F₂ recessive individuals. The w₀ gene was mapped to the region between markers Q88 and SSR15312; (b) Fine mapping of the w₀ gene locus. The w₀ gene was localized to the region between the flanking markers Q138 and Q193 using 1655 recessive individuals; (c) The annotated gene in the candidate region of the w₀ gene locus.

2.5. Candidate Gene Prediction and Gene Expression Analysis

The function of all 13 candidate genes was predicted by Cucurbit Genomics Database (http://cucurbitgenomics.org/search/genome/2) (Table 2). Then, qRT-PCR analysis (primer sequences are available in Table S3) was performed to test whether the gene expression was altered between the two parents. As shown in Figure 5, the expression levels of the Csa3G904130 and Csa3G904140 were 9.2-fold
and 22.6-fold higher in Q1 than H4, respectively. The other two genes (Csa3G904080 and Csa3G904110) were 2.4-fold and 6.2-fold higher in Q1 than H4 in expression quantity. The results of the tissue expression revealed that Csa3G904080 was highly expressed in root and leaf; Csa3G904110 was highly expressed in pericarp, root and stem; Csa3G904130 was highly expressed in pericarp and root; While Csa3G904140 was highly expressed in the pericarp of fruit rather than other tissues (Figure 6).

**Table 2.** The Cucurbit Genomics Database description of all 13 candidate genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene ID</th>
<th>Cucurbit Genomics Database Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Csa3G904070</td>
<td>Putative peptide/nitrate transporter; contains IPR000109 (Proton-dependent oligopeptide transporter family), IPR016196 (Major facilitator superfamily domain, general substrate transporter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csa3G904080</td>
<td>Pyruvate kinase; contains IPR001697 (Pyruvate kinase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csa3G904090</td>
<td>Unknown protein; contains IPR008502 (Prolamin-like domain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csa3G904100</td>
<td>Ribose-phosphate pyrophosphokinase; contains IPR005946 (Ribose-phosphate diphosphokinase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csa3G904110</td>
<td>Tobamovirus multiplication 2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csa3G904120</td>
<td>Peroxidase; contains IPR010255 (Haem peroxidase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csa3G904130</td>
<td>Tetraspanin family protein; contains IPR018499 (Tetraspanin/Peripherin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csa3G904140</td>
<td>Two-component response regulator-like protein; contains IPR009057 (Homeodomain-like), IPR011006 (CheY-like superfamily)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csa3G905140</td>
<td>Unknown protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csa3G910640</td>
<td>Unknown protein; contains IPR018996 (Inner nuclear membrane protein MAN1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csa3G910650</td>
<td>Allyl alcohol dehydrogenase-like protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csa3G910660</td>
<td>DnaJ homolog subfamily C member; contains IPR009057 (Homeodomain-like)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csa3G910670</td>
<td>Expansin L; contains IPR007117 (Expansin, cellulose-binding-like domain), IPR014733 (Barwin-like endoglucanase)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5.** Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of the candidate gene in Q1 and H4. The data are presented as the average values of three replicates.
To analyze gene sequences of these four candidate genes, we designed primers (Table S4) to amplify the entire coding sequence and performed TA cloning. By comparing candidate gene sequences between the two parents, three single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) mutations in exon were detected within the Csa3G904080 gene, which led to three amino acid changes (I83R, V316I, and L1328P) (Figure 7); there was one single-nucleotide insertion resulting in a premature stop codon within the Csa3G904140 gene (Figure 8), and two same sense mutations within the Csa3G904130 gene. The cDNA sequence of Csa3G904130 in Q1 was the same as that of H4. In addition, the mutation in the Csa3G904140 gene was consistent with the phenotype of 17 green/white germplasms (Tables S5 and S6), while the mutations in the Csa3G904080 gene were not consistent with the phenotype of 17 green/white germplasms (Table S7). Furthermore, the marker Q142 associated with the Csa3G904140 gene cosegregated with white fruit skin color. Therefore, Csa3G904140 was likely to be the candidate gene controlling the white fruit skin color in cucumber.

![Figure 6. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of candidate gene in tissues between Q1 and H4:](image)

(a) relative mRNA levels of the Csa3G904080 gene in different tissues; (b) relative mRNA levels of the Csa3G904110 gene in different tissues; (c) relative mRNA levels of the Csa3G904130 gene in different tissues; (d) relative mRNA levels of the Csa3G904140 gene in different tissues.

To analyze gene sequences of these four candidate genes, we designed primers (Table S4) to amplify the entire coding sequence and performed TA cloning. By comparing candidate gene sequences between the two parents, three single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) mutations in exon were detected within the Csa3G904080 gene, which led to three amino acid changes (I83R, V316I, and L1328P) (Figure 7); there was one single-nucleotide insertion resulting in a premature stop codon within the Csa3G904140 gene (Figure 8), and two same sense mutations within the Csa3G904130 gene. The cDNA sequence of Csa3G904130 in Q1 was the same as that of H4. In addition, the mutation in the Csa3G904140 gene was consistent with the phenotype of 17 green/white germplasms (Tables S5 and S6), while the mutations in the Csa3G904080 gene were not consistent with the phenotype of 17 green/white germplasms (Table S7). Furthermore, the marker Q142 associated with the Csa3G904140 gene cosegregated with white fruit skin color. Therefore, Csa3G904140 was likely to be the candidate gene controlling the white fruit skin color in cucumber.

![Figure 7. Comparison analysis of the cDNA sequence and amino acid sequence of the Csa3G904080 gene between Q1 and H4:](image)

(a) Gene Structure

(b) CDS

Q1: TCGTGAATATGT---ACTAAGTTGATGC---ACTACTCTAAATCCA

H4: TCGTGAATATGT---ACTAAGTTGATGC---ACTACTCTAAATCCA

(c) Amino acid

Q1: SGIV---TKVC---TTLP

H4: SCRIV---TKVC---TTIP

Figure 7. Comparison analysis of the cDNA sequence and amino acid sequence of the Csa3G904080 gene between Q1 and H4; a 3-bp mutation resulted in three amino acid mutations: (a) gene structure of Csa3G904080, including twelve exons and eleven introns; (b) coding sequence analysis of three SNP mutations; (c) three amino acids mutation due to three SNP mutations.
The white immature fruit skin color is one of the most valuable external quality traits in cucumber, which may directly affect a consumer’s choice of cucumber fruit. Therefore, it is valuable to identify the genes or loci associated with white skin color. In this study, a recessive gene \( w_0 \) was identified to control white fruit skin color in cultivated cucumber, consistent with the results reported in previous studies [13]. Map-based cloning is a primary strategy that has been used to identify important trait genes in many organisms [16,17]. However, cucumber cultivars have a low genetic diversity, which increases the difficulty in the use of map-based cloning in cucumber [18]. Whole genome sequencing of the cucumber has enabled large-scale development of molecular markers for this crop [19]. Therefore, based on the published cucumber genome sequence (http://cucurbitgenomics.org/organism/2), markers were developed. By the stepwise increase of the mapping population sizes and taking advantage of draft genome assemblies, we identified the \( w_0 \) gene to a 100.3 kb region containing 13 candidate genes, which laid a solid foundation for gene cloning.

To ensure the accuracy of the result, we used the cucumber genome browser, version 2 (http://cucurbitgenomics.org/JBrowse/) and BLAST of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) to predict candidate genes in the target region. Consequently, a total of 13 candidate genes were identified in the region between the two markers Q138 and Q193. The results from sequence alignment analysis showed that Csa3G904080 gene sequence between Q1 and H4 existed three amino acid mutations, but the mutations were not consistent with the phenotype of 17 green/white germplasms. In addition, the gene expression of Csa3G904080 was higher in root and leaf than in fruit skin, and the gene function is related to photosynthesis. Thus, it was unlikely that Csa3G904080 was responsible for the white pigmentation. Csa3G904110 and Csa3G904130 had a high relative expression level in fruit skin, however, Csa3G904110 had a more high expression level in the root and Csa3G904130 also had high expression in the root, and these two genes had no amino acid mutation between Q1 and H4. For another nine candidate genes, the relative expression level in fruit skin was too low. While the Csa3G904140 gene contained one single-base insertion between the two parental inbred lines, and the mutation was consistent with the phenotype of 17 green/white germplasms. Moreover, a 22-fold increase in the expression of Csa3G904140 was found in the green-skinned parental line Q1. Therefore, the Csa3G904140 gene was likely to be the candidate gene controlling immature fruit skin colors of cultivated cucumber.
The chloroplast is a half-autonomous organelle that commonly exists in land plants, algae and protists and is responsible for photosynthesis. A search for conserved domains against NCBI CDD (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi) revealed that Csa3G904140 contained a MYB-like DNA-binding domain. This DNA-binding domain is primarily responsible for the color of fruit, many of which also contain a transcriptional activation domain [20,21]. Studies have shown that nuclear Sig genes—encoded sigma factors—play a key role in controlling RNA polymerase binding to promoters in chloroplasts [22]. Nuclear-encoded proteins greatly influence chloroplast RNA editing, post-transcriptional processing and the maintenance of RNA stability [23]. Subcellular localization of the Csa3G904140 protein was predicted using Plant-PLoc (http://www.csbio.sjtu.edu.cn/bioinf/plant/#) to show its presence in the nucleus.

Pan et al. reported that an APRR2 gene increased the pigment content and the levels of chlorophyll in immature fruit [24]. Moreover, APRR1, which is related to APRR2, was thought to be involved in plastid development and ripening [24,25]. A single candidate gene, APRR2, was defined to control white immature fruit skin color in cucumber by delimiting the physical interval to an 8.2 kb region [14]. The NCBI annotation of Csa3G904140 was predicted to be Cucumis sativus two-component response regulator-like APRR2. In our study, the chlorophyll content was much higher in the green compared to the white lines, and microscopy revealed that the chloroplast number and size of H4 was less and smaller than Q1. This gene, Csa3G904140, seemed to be the candidate gene for the proposed gene locus conditioning immature fruit skin colors of cultivated cucumber. Whether this gene was the same as in a previous report [14] requires further study.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Materials and Genetic Mapping Population

A green-skinned cucumber inbred line Q1 and a white-skinned line H4 were used as the male and female parent, respectively, to generate one F1 population, one F2 population and two BC1 populations, including BCP1 and BCP2. Their skin colors were uniform, and both lines were from our research group. In these 17 green/white cucumber lines, some were obtained from the National Vegetable Germplasm Resources Intermediate Library of the National Crop Germplasm Resource Platform—Vegetable Germplasm Resources sub-platform (China), and other germplasms were provided by our research group.

Genomic DNA of all individuals was isolated from young leaves using a modified Hexadecyltrimethy Ammonium Bromide (CTAB) method described by Porebski et al. [26]. Six DNA samples of green and white skin phenotypes were bulked and used to identify markers linked to the color gene; the method was described by Michelmore et al. [27]. All experiments were conducted during the growing seasons between 2012 and 2017 in the National Vegetable Improvement Center and the State Key Laboratory of Horticultural Plant of Huazhong Agricultural University.

4.2. Chlorophyll Content Determination and Chloroplast Observation

Pericarp samples from 8- and 13-day-old fruits after pollination and other tissues (roots, stems, leaves and flowers) were excised and extracted with 96% alcohol in the dark. After 24 h, the extracted pigments were immediately spectrophotometrically determined at specific absorption coefficients using the method described by Wellburn et al. [28].

To observe the chloroplast ultrastructure of the pericarp, tissues from 8- and 13-day-old cucumber fruit (after pollination) were excised with a sterile razor blade and fixed immediately in 3.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde solution. Chloroplast observations were conducted with a H7650 microscope (HITACHI, Tokyo, Japan).
4.3. Design of SSR and CAPS Markers

Sequences of the SSR primers were derived from the Cucurbit Genomics Database (http://cucurbitgenomics.org/). A total of 170 pairs of SSR primers distributed evenly on seven chromosomes were synthesized by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

PCR amplification for SSR analysis was carried out in a 10 µL volume containing 1 µL (50 ng/µL) template DNA, 1 µL primers (10 µM), 5 µL 2× Taq PCR Master Mix and 3 µL ddH2O. The reaction conditions were as follows: 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, with a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The amplicons were separated on 9% denatured polyacrylamide gels [29].

CAPS primers were developed using Primer 3.0 (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/) according to the cleavage sites of genome sequence (http://cucurbitgenomics.org/organism/2) in the candidate region, and synthesized by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The PCR for CAPS was also carried out in a 10 µL mixture, similar to that for SSR markers. The reaction conditions were as follows: 95 °C for 4 min, followed by 30 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min. The amplicons were separated on 9% denatured polyacrylamide gels. Non-polymorphic amplicons were digested with restriction endonucleases. A 10 µL digestion system included 4 µL PCR product, 0.1 µL enzyme, 1 µL buffer, and 4.9 µL ddH2O. The digestion process was adjusted according to the manufacturer’s recommendations for the corresponding enzyme. Polymorphic markers were used to genotype the population.

4.4. Gene Prediction and Expression Analysis

Two software tools, the cucumber genome browser, version 2 (http://cucurbitgenomics.org/JBrowse/) and BLAST of NCBI (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), were applied to predict candidate genes.

Quantitative real-time PCR was used to analyze the expression of the candidate gene in the two parents. Pericarp samples from 8-day-old and 13-day-old fruit after pollination and other tissues, including roots, stems, leaves, and flowers, were excised, and RNA was isolated using a modified Trizol method described by Cheng [30]. First-strand cDNA was synthesized with a Prime Script™ RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Perfect Real Time) (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The 18sRNA gene was used as the reference, and the primer sequences are shown as follows.

18sRNA-F: AGAAACGGCTACCACATC
18sRNA-R: CCAAGGTCCAACTACGAG

Using a 96-well plate and SYBR Green-based dye, the qRT-PCR reaction system contained 1 µL template cDNA (100 ng/µL), 5 µL 2× SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan), and 0.4 µL forward and reverse primers (10 µM), with water added to a total reaction of 10 µL. The qRT-PCR was performed using a CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The values from triplicate reactions were averaged, and the relative expression level was measured by means of 2−∆∆Ct [31].

4.5. Candidate Gene Cloning and Sequencing

TA cloning was used to analyze the sequence of the candidate gene in the two parents. RNA was isolated using a modified Trizol method as described previously [30]. First-strand cDNA was synthesized with a Prime Script™ II 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primers were designed to amplify the entire coding sequence. PCR amplification was carried out according to the user manual with I-5™ 2× High-Fidelity Master Mix (MCLAB, San Francisco, CA, USA). The amplicon was separated on 1% agarose gels and extracted with a TaKaRa MiniBEST Agarose Gel DNA Extraction Kit Ver.4.0 (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The purified DNA product was then subjected to a “+A base”
reaction using a kit (ZOMANBIO, Beijing, China), ligated to the pMD18-T vector with a pMD™18-T Vector Cloning Kit (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan), and inserted into DH5α chemically competent cell (Weidibio, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Finally, three correct monoclonal spots were sequenced by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China), and the sequences of the two parents were compared using the DNAMAN program.
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