## Supplementary Information

## Controls

Although our data fully support the predictions, they are still different from the theoretical point of view. Actually, our absolute values of TAA were higher than our predictions based on the assumption that the thickness of the Golgi membranes is 4 nm . Indeed, when we used a membrane thickness of 4 nm , as has been shown by physical measurements, TAA of 52 nm COPI vesicles would be 1.4 , whereas in our measurements this was 2.5 . However, considering that the membrane thickness was measured after treatment with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, which leads to precipitation of Os along the internal and external surfaces of membranes, the data become reasonable. When we tested our method of TAA measurements using images published by Orci et al. [44], where they used tannic acid instead of thiocarbohydrazide, as in the paper by Orci et al. [47], the thickness of the membranes after treatment with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and tannic acid was 10 nm . Our measurement demonstrated that in the images presented, TAA was 2.6. This demonstrates that our methods of TAA measurement are valid.

According to Marsh et al. [15], the surface area of the external surface of an uncoated COPI-dependent vesicle is $8495 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$. Our measurements revealed that when samples were prepared with the help of the protocol that included thiocarbohydrazide, the thickness of the membrane was 8 to 9 nm . Under these conditions, the surface area of the internal surface of a COPI-dependent vesicle was $3632 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$, whereas the ratio between the external and internal surface area was 2.34 . Initially, we measured TAA in published images. An image was smoothed using ImageJ and the size of a pixel was made equal to $1 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$. Then, we placed the red lines on the black and white EM image, and for each situation where the upper edge of the red line moved tangentially along the membrane, this area was magnified and classified according to the scheme presented in Figure S1.

Our measurements gave unusually high levels of TAA for Golgi membranes, and especially for 52 nm vesicles. If we take into consideration that the thickness of the membrane visualized with OsO4 is 10 nm , the disk with a diameter of $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a height of 40 nm and a toroidal rim will give the surface area of the external leaflet of $1772 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$, whereas the internal leaflet is $1670 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$, for TAA of 1.06 . When COPI vesicles were tested using stereological approaches after staining with OsO4, TAA was 2.6. The surface area of the external leaflet estimated along the very external contour was $8495 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$, and the internal contour gives $3215.4 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$. If we add ten $52-\mathrm{nm}$ vesicles into a disk with a diameter of $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a height (thickness) of 40 nm , the resulting TAA of the disk will increase from 1.06 to 1.09 . These values are similar to our data.

In our samples, the ratio between the external (intraluminal) surface area and the internal (inside a vesicle) surface area was 1.53 . The breakdown of the microvilli into spherical vesicles gave vesicles with a diameter of 110 nm .

Table S1. Typical membrane curvature in secretory organelles. All of the values are given in terms of the typical maximal radius of curvature, $R$, encountered in the secretory organelles. The value of the distance between the neutral planes of the two membrane leaflets, $h$, is approximated by the distance between the planes of the polar lipid heads in the bilayer [8]. The total curvature is the sum of membrane curvatures in two perpendicular directions, Gaussian curvature is the product of the two curvatures, and trans-membrane area asymmetry can be calculated as: TAA $=\left(\frac{\Delta A}{A}+1\right)$, where A is the membrane surface area and $\Delta A$ is the area difference between the two membrane leaflets, $\Delta A=A_{\text {cyt }}-A_{\text {lum }}$. The area difference is related to the membrane total curvature as $\Delta A=h A\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}+\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)$.

| Structure | Total <br> Curvature | Gaussian <br> Curvature | TAA $=\left(\frac{\Delta A}{A}+1\right)$ | Typical TAA <br> $(R=25 \mathrm{~nm}, h=4 \mathrm{~nm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vesicle (radius $R$ ) | $\frac{1}{R}+\frac{1}{R}$ | $\frac{1}{R^{2}}$ | $1+\frac{2 h}{R}$ | 1.32 |
| Invaginated vesicle <br> (radius $R$ ) | $-\frac{1}{R}-\frac{1}{R}$ | $\frac{1}{R^{2}}$ | $1-\frac{2 h}{R}$ | 0.68 |
| Cylinder (radius $R$ ) | $\frac{1}{R}+0$ | 0 | $1+\frac{h}{R}$ | 1.16 |
| Rim of a discoid <br> cisterna (disc radius Rd) | $\frac{1}{R}+\frac{1}{R d}$ | $\frac{1}{R} \frac{1}{R d}$ | $1+\frac{h}{R}+\frac{h}{R d}$ | $\sim 1.16$ |
| Flat cisternal side | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Rim of a perforation <br> (perforation radius Rp) | $\frac{1}{R}-\frac{1}{R p}$ | $-\frac{1}{R} \frac{1}{R p}$ | $1+\frac{h}{R}-\frac{h}{R p}$ | $1<$ TAA $<1.16$ |
| Neck | $\frac{1}{R}-\frac{1}{R}=0$ | $-\frac{1}{R^{2}}$ | 1 | 1 |

Table S2. General relations.

| Structure | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $\boldsymbol{A}$ | $\Delta \boldsymbol{A}$ | $\mathbf{T A A}=\left(\frac{\Delta A}{A}+1\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sphere radius $R s$ | $V s=\frac{4 \pi}{3} R s^{3}$ | $A s=4 \pi R s^{2}$ | $\Delta A s=4 \pi d R s$ | $1+\frac{d}{R s}$ |
| Cylinder radius <br> $R c$ lenght $H$ | $V c=\pi R c^{2} H$ | $A c=2 \pi R c H$ | $\Delta A c=\pi d H$ | $1+\frac{d}{2 R c}$ |

Note that the spherical caps of the cylinder are neglected; ( $d$ is thickness of the bilayer, in the Traffic paper the equations were written in terms of $h$, which is the distance between the monolayers, $d \sim 2 h$ ).

Table S3. Fusion of two spheres with radius $R s=100 \mathrm{~nm}, d=4 \mathrm{~nm}$.

| Structure | Total $\boldsymbol{V}\left[\mathbf{n m}^{\mathbf{3}}\right]$ | Total $\boldsymbol{A}\left[\mathbf{n m}^{2}\right]$ | Total $\Delta \boldsymbol{A}\left[\mathbf{n m}^{2}\right]$ | TAA $=\left(\frac{\Delta A}{A}+1\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One sphere, $R s=100 \mathrm{~nm}$, | $4,188,790$ | 125,664 | 5027 | 1.04 |
| Two spheres, $R s=100 \mathrm{~nm}$ | $8,377,580$ | 251,327 | 10,053 | 1.04 |



Figure S1. Estimation of membrane curvature using a stereological approach. (A-D) Scheme showing how intersections were counted. (A,C) Two intersections were counted (black arrows in $\mathbf{C}$, as enlarged view of $\mathbf{A}$ ) with the external surface of the membrane of organelle; $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D})$ Two intersections were counted ( $\mathbf{D}$ is enlarged area of $\mathbf{B}$ ) with the external surface (black arrows), and two with the internal surface (blue arrows) of the organelle membrane; (E) Counting of intersections with Golgi membranes. Example of a real vesicle. Intersections between the straight black line and the red lines demonstrate the intersections between the test-line and the external contour of membranes, and intersections between the black line and the blue line demonstrate the intersections of the test-line with the luminal contours of the Golgi membranes.





Figure S2. Scheme of fusion and results of calculations of endosomal TAA.

