Supplementary Information

1. Analysis of the Association of the Three Variants of CARD15/NOD2, TLR4 (Thr399II) and CD14 -159C/T with Family History

Table S1. *CARD15/NOD2* Leu1007fsinsC frequency with family history.

			F	H	Total
			+	_	Total
		Count	1	6	7
	W	% Leu1007fsinsC	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
Leu1007fsinsC		% within FH	11.1%	17.6%	16.3%
Leu100/IsinsC		Count	8	28	36
	M	% Leu1007fsinsC	22.2%	77.8%	100.0%
		% within FH	88.9%	82.4%	83.7%
		Count	9	34	43
Total		% Leu1007fsinsC	20.9%	79.1%	100.0%
		% within FH	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table S2. Analysis of association of *CARD15/NOD2* Leu1007fsinsC polymorphism with family history Using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	0.223 a	1	0.637		
Continuity Correction ^b	0.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	0.240	1	0.624		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	0.543
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.218	1	0.641		
N of Valid Cases	43				

^a 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.47; ^b Computed only for a 2×2 table.

Table S3. *CARD15/NOD2* Arg702Trp frequency with family history.

			FH	Total
		+		
	Count	1	5	6
W	% Arg702Trp	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
Missass Aus702Tus	% within FH	11.1%	14.7%	14.0%
Misense Arg702Trp	Count	8	29	37
M	% Arg702Trp	21.6%	78.4%	100.0%
	% within FH	88.9%	85.3%	86.0%
	Count	9	34	43
Total	% Arg702Trp	20.9%	79.1%	100.0%
	% within FH	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table S4. Analysis of association of *CARD15/NOD2* Arg702Trp polymorphism with family history Using Chi-Square Tests.

-	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	0.077 ^a	1	0.782	<i>2</i> \ /	
Continuity Correction ^b	0.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	0.080	1	0.777		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	0.631
Linear-by-Linear	0.075	1	0.784		
Association					
N of Valid Cases	43				

^a 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.26; ^b Computed only for a 2 × 2 table.

 Table S5. CARD15/NOD2 G908R frequency with family history.

				FH	Total	
			+	_	Total	
		Count	0	5	5	
	W	% G908R	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Missansa Mutation (hIIs)		% within FH	0.0%	14.7%	11.6%	
Missense Mutation (hHa)		Count	9	29	38	
	M	% G908R	23.7%	76.3%	100.0%	
		% within FH	100.0%	85.3%	88.4%	
		Count	9	34	43	
Total		% G908R	20.9%	79.1%	100.0%	
		% within FH	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Table S6. Analysis of association of *CARD15/NOD2* G908R polymorphism with family history using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.498^{a}	1	0.221		
Continuity Correction ^b	0.408	1	0.523		
Likelihood Ratio	2.517	1	0.113		
Fisher's Exact Test				0.566	0.289
Linear-by-Linear	1.463	1	0.226		
Association					
N of Valid Cases	43				

 $[^]a$ 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.05; b Computed only for a 2 \times 2 table.

			FH			
			+	_	Total	
		Count	1	20	21	
	W	% TLR4 Thr399Ile	4.8%	95.2%	100.0%	
Hinf 1		% within FH	11.1%	58.8%	48.8%	
111111 1		Count	8	14	22	
	M	% TLR4 Thr399Ile	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%	
		% within FH	88.9%	41.2%	51.2%	
Total		Count	9	34	43	
		% TLR4 Thr399Ile	20.9%	79.1%	100.0%	
		% within FH	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Table S7. TLR4 Thr399Ile frequency with family history.

Table S8. Analysis of association of TLR4 Thr399Ile polymorphism with family history using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.484 ^a	1	0.011		
Continuity Correction ^b	4.715	1	0.030		
Likelihood Ratio	7.239	1	0.007		
Fisher's Exact Test				0.021	0.013
Linear-by-Linear	6.333	1	0.012		
Association					
N of Valid Cases	43				

 $[^]a$ 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.40; b Computed only for a 2 \times 2 table.

Table S9. CD14 –159(C/T) frequency with family history.

				FH	
			+	_	Total
		Count	3	9	12
	W	% -159(C/T)	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
**		% within FH	33.3%	26.5%	27.9%
Hae		Count	6	25	31
	M	% -159(C/T)	19.4%	80.6%	100.0%
		% within FH	66.7%	73.5%	72.1%
		Count	9	34	43
Total		% -159(C/T)	20.9%	79.1%	100.0%
		% within FH	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table S10. Analysis of association of CD14-159(C/T) polymorphism with family history using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	0.167 ^a	1	0.683		
Continuity Correction ^b	0.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	0.162	1	0.687		
Fisher's Exact Test				0.692	0.489
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.163	1	0.687		
N of Valid Cases	43				

^a 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.51; ^b Computed only for a 2 × 2 table.

2. Analysis of the Association of the Three Variants of CARD15/NOD2, TLR4 (Thr399II) and CD14 -159C/T with Sex

Table S11. CARD15/NOD2 Leu1007fsinsC frequency with sex.

			Sex				
			Male	Female	Total		
		Count	2	4	6		
	W	% Leu1007fsinsC	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%		
Y 10056: G		% within Sex	7.1%	26.7%	14.0%		
Leu1007fsinsC		Count	26	11	37		
	M	% Leu1007fsinsC	70.3%	29.7%	100.0%		
		% within Sex	92.9%	73.3%	86.0%		
		Count	28	15	43		
Total		% Leu1007fsinsC	65.1%	34.9%	100.0%		
		% within Sex	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Table S12. Analysis of association of *CARD15/NOD2* Leu1007fsinsC polymorphism with Sex using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.101 ^a	1	0.078		
Continuity Correction ^b	1.688	1	0.194		
Likelihood Ratio	2.947	1	0.086		
Fisher's Exact Test				0.161	0.099
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.029	1	0.082		
N of Valid Cases	43				

^a 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.09; ^b Computed only for a 2×2 table.

			Sex			
			Male	Female	Total	
		Count	7	0	7	
	W	% Arg702Trp	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
A == 702T		% within Sex	25.0%	0.0%	16.3%	
Arg702Trp		Count	21	15	36	
	M	% Arg702Trp	58.3%	41.7%	100.0%	
		% within Sex	75.0%	100.0%	83.7%	
		Count	28	15	43	
Total		% Arg702Trp	65.1%	34.9%	100.0%	

Table S13. *CARD15/NOD2* Arg702Trp frequency with sex.

Table S14. Analysis of association of *CARD15/NOD2* Arg702Trp polymorphism with sex using Chi-Square Tests.

100.0%

100.0%

100.0%

% within Sex

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.479 ^a	1	0.034		
Continuity Correction ^b	2.833	1	0.092		
Likelihood Ratio	6.716	1	0.010		
Fisher's Exact Test				0.077	0.037
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.375	1	0.036		
N of Valid Cases	43				

^a 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.44; ^b Computed only for a 2×2 table.

Table S15. CARD15/NOD2 G908R frequency with sex.

			;	Sex	
			Male	Female	Total
		Count	3	2	5
	W	% G908R	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Sex	10.7%	13.3%	11.6%
G908R		Count	25	13	38
	M	% G908R	65.8%	34.2%	100.0%
		% within Sex	89.3%	86.7%	88.4%
		Count	28	15	43
Total		% G908R	65.1%	34.9%	100.0%
		% within Sex	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table S16. Analysis of	association o	of CARD15/NOD2	G908R	polymorphism	with sex
using Chi-Square Tests.					

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	0.065 ^a	1	0.798		
Continuity Correction ^b	0.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	0.064	1	0.800		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	0.579
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.064	1	0.801		
N of Valid Cases	43				

^a 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.74; ^b Computed only for a 2×2 table.

Table S17. TLR4 Thr399Ile frequency with sex.

		Sex				
			Male	Female	Total	
		Count	14	8	22	
	W	% Thr399Ile	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%	
Th.,200H.		% within Sex	50.0%	53.3%	51.2%	
Thr399Ile		Count	14	7	21	
	M	% Thr399Ile	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	
		% within Sex	50.0%	46.7%	48.8%	
		Count	28	15	43	
Total		% within Hinf 1	65.1%	34.9%	100.0%	
		% within Sex	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Table S18. Analysis of association of TLR4 Thr399Ile polymorphism with sex using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	0.043 a	1	0.835		
Continuity Correction ^b	0.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	0.043	1	0.835		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	0.545
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.042	1	0.837		
N of Valid Cases	43				

^a 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.33; ^b Computed only for for a 2×2 table.

			Sex				
			Male	Female	Total		
		Count	6	6	12		
	W	% -159(C/T)	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%		
150(C/T)		% within Sex	21.4%	40.0%	27.9%		
-159(C/T)		Count	22	9	31		
	M	% -159(C/T)	71.0%	29.0%	100.0%		
		% within Sex	78.6%	60.0%	72.1%		
		Count	28	15	43		
Total		% -159(C/T)	65.1%	34.9%	100.0%		
		% within Sex	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Table S19. CD14 –159(C/T) frequency with sex.

Table S20. Analysis of association of CD14 -159(C/T) polymorphism with sex using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.674 ^a	1	0.196		
Continuity Correction ^b	0.879	1	0.349		
Likelihood Ratio	1.631	1	0.202		
Fisher's Exact Test				0.287	0.174
Linear-by-Linear	1.635	1	0.201		
Association					
N of Valid Cases	43				

 $^{^{}a}$ 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.19; b Computed only for a 2 \times 2 table.

3. Analysis of the Association of the Three Variants of CARD15/NOD2, TLR4 (Thr399II) and CD14-159C/T with Pheotypes

Table S21. CARD15/NOD2 Leu1007fsinsC frequency with phenotypes.

				Phenotype		
			Inflammatory	Fistulizing	Fibrostenotic	Total
		Count	3	2	0	5
	***	% Leu1007fsinsC	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	W	% within	21.4%	10.0%	0.0%	12.2%
Lau 1007fair aC		Phenotype				
Leu1007fsinsC		Count	11	18	7	36
	М	% Leu1007fsinsC	30.6%	50.0%	19.4%	100.0%
	M	% within	78.6%	90.0%	100.0%	87.8%
		Phenotype				
		Count	14	20	7	41
m . 1		% Leu1007fsinsC	34.1%	48.8%	17.1%	100.0%
Total		% within	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		Phenotype				

Table S22. Analysis of association of *CARD15/NOD2* Leu1007fsinsC polymorphism with phenotypes using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.177 a	2	0.337
Likelihood Ratio	2.854	2	0.240
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.119	1	0.145
N of Valid Cases	41		

^a 3 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 0.85.

Table S23. *CARD15/NOD2* Arg702Trp frequency with phenotypes.

				Phenotype		
			Inflammatory	Fistulizing	Fibrostenotic	Total
		Count	1	4	1	6
	W	% Arg702Trp	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	100.0%
A ===702T===		% within Phenotype	7.1%	20.0%	14.3%	14.6%
Arg702Trp		Count	13	16	6	35
	M	% Arg702Trp	37.1%	45.7%	17.1%	100.0%
		% within Phenotype	92.9%	80.0%	85.7%	85.4%
		Count	14	20	7	41
Total		% Arg702Trp	34.1%	48.8%	17.1%	100.0%
		% within Phenotype	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table S24. Analysis of association of *CARD15/NOD2* Arg702Trp polymorphism with phenotypes using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.091 ^a	2	0.580
Likelihood Ratio	1.175	2	0.556
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.414	1	0.520
N of Valid Cases	41		

^a 3 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.02.

Table S25. CARD15/NOD2 G908R frequency with phenotypes.

				Phenotype		
			Inflammatory	Fistulizing	Fibrostenotic	Total
		Count	3	1	1	5
	W	% G908R	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
GOOOD		% within Phenotype	21.4%	5.0%	14.3%	12.2%
G908R		Count	11	19	6	36
	M	% G908R	30.6%	52.8%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within Phenotype	78.6%	95.0%	85.7%	87.8%
		Count	14	20	7	41
Total		% G908R	34.1%	48.8%	17.1%	100.0%
		% within Phenotype	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table S26. Analysis of association of *CARD15/NOD2* G908R polymorphism phenotypes using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.110^{a}	2	0.348
Likelihood Ratio	2.175	2	0.337
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.605	1	0.437
N of Valid Cases	41		

^a 3 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 0.85.

Table S27. TLR4 Thr399Ile frequency with phenotypes.

				Phenotype		
			Inflammatory	Fistulizing	Fibrostenotic	Total
		Count	8	9	3	20
	W	% Thr399Ile	40.0%	45.0%	15.0%	100.0%
TTI 20011		% within Phenotype	57.1%	45.0%	42.9%	48.8%
Thr399Ile		Count	6	11	4	21
	M	% Thr399Ile	28.6%	52.4%	19.0%	100.0%
		% within Phenotype	42.9%	55.0%	57.1%	51.2%
		Count	14	20	7	41
Total		% Thr399Ile	34.1%	48.8%	17.1%	100.0%
		% within Phenotype	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table S28. Analysis of association of TLR4 Thr399Ile polymorphism with phenotypes Using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	0.605^{a}	2	0.739
Likelihood Ratio	0.606	2	0.739
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.496	1	0.481
N of Valid Cases	41		

^a 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.41.

Table S29. CD14 –159(C/T) frequency with phenotypes.

			Phenotype			
			Inflammatory	Fistulizing	Fibrostenotic	Total
		Count	6	4	2	12
	W	% -159(C/T)	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	100.0%
1.50/C/FD)		% within Phenotype	42.9%	20.0%	28.6%	29.3%
-159(C/T)		Count	8	16	5	29
	M	% -159(C/T)	27.6%	55.2%	17.2%	100.0%
		% within Phenotype	57.1%	80.0%	71.4%	70.7%
		Count	14	20	7	41
Total		% -159(C/T)	34.1%	48.8%	17.1%	100.0%
		% within Phenotype	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table S30. Analysis of association of CD14 -159(C/T) polymorphism with phenotypes Using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	d	f Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.080 a	2	0.353
Likelihood Ratio	2.059	2	0.357
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.906	1	0.341
N of Valid Cases	41		

^a 3 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.05.

4. Analysis of the Association of the Three Variants of CARD15/NOD2, TLR4 (Thr399II) and CD14–159C/T with Family History

Table S31. *CARD15/NOD2* Leu1007fsinsC frequency with smoking.

			Smoking			
			Yes	No	Total	
		Count	0	6	6	
	W	% Leu1007fsinsC	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
I 10076-i C		% within Smoking	0.0%	17.1%	15.4%	
Leu1007fsinsC		Count	4	29	33	
	M	% Leu1007fsinsC	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%	
		% within Smoking	100.0%	82.9%	84.6%	
		Count	4	35	39	
Total		% Leu1007fsinsC	10.3%	89.7%	100.0%	
		% within Smoking	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Table S32. Analysis of association of *CARD15/NOD2* Leu1007fsinsC polymorphism with family smoking Using Chi-Square Tests.

-	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	0.810 a	1	0.368		
Continuity Correction ^b	0.028	1	0.866		
Likelihood Ratio	1.417	1	0.234		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	0.498
Linear-by-Linear	0.790	1	0.374		
Association					
N of Valid Cases	39				

^a 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 0.62;

^b Computed only for a 2 × 2 table.

Table S33.	CARD15/NOD2	Arg702Tr ₁	p frequency	with smoking.

			Smoking				
			Yes	No	Total		
		Count	2	4	6		
	W	% Arg702Trp	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%		
A~702T		% within Smoking	50.0%	11.4%	15.4%		
Arg702Trp		Count	2	31	33		
	M	% Arg702Trp	6.1%	93.9%	100.0%		
		% within Smoking	50.0%	88.6%	84.6%		
		Count	4	35	39		
Total		% Arg702Trp	10.3%	89.7%	100.0%		
		% within Smoking	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Table S34. Analysis of association of *CARD15/NOD2* Arg702Trp polymorphism with smoking using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.103 ^a	1	0.043		
Continuity Correction ^b	1.675	1	0.196		
Likelihood Ratio	3.065	1	0.080		
Fisher's Exact Test				0.104	0.104
Linear-by-Linear	3.997	1	0.046		
Association					
N of Valid Cases	39				

^a 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 0.62;

Table S35. CARD15/NOD2 G908R frequency with smoking.

		Smoking			
			Yes	No	Total
		Count	1	2	3
	W	% G908R	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
COOOD		% within Smoking	25.0%	5.7%	7.7%
G908R		Count	3	33	36
	M	% G908R (hHa)	8.3%	91.7%	100.0%
		% within Smoking	75.0%	94.3%	92.3%
		Count	4	35	39
Total		% G908R	10.3%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within Smoking	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

^b Computed only for a 2×2 table.

Table	S36.	Analysis	of	association	of	CARD15/NOD2	G908R	polymorphism	with
smokin	ig usir	ng Chi-Squ	are	Tests.					

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.880 ^a	1	0.170		
Continuity Correction ^b	0.145	1	0.703		
Likelihood Ratio	1.322	1	0.250		
Fisher's Exact Test				0.284	0.284
Linear-by-Linear	1.832	1	0.176		
Association					
N of Valid Cases	39				

^a 3 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 0.31;

Table S37. TLR4 Thr399Ile frequency with smoking.

			Smoking		
			Yes	No	Total
		Count	1	17	18
	W	% Thr399Ile	5.6%	94.4%	100.0%
Thr399Ile		% within Smoking	25.0%	48.6%	46.2%
		Count	3	18	21
	M	% Thr399Ile	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
		% within Smoking	75.0%	51.4%	53.8%
		Count	4	35	39
Total		% Thr399Ile	10.3%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within Smoking	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table S38. Analysis of association of TLR4 Thr399Ile polymorphism with smoking using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	0.803 ^a	1	0.370		
Continuity Correction b	0.134	1	0.714		
Likelihood Ratio	0.844	1	0.358		
Fisher's Exact Test				0.609	0.364
Linear-by-Linear	0.782	1	0.377		
Association					
N of Valid Cases	0.39				

^a 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.85; ^b Computed only for a 2×2 table.

^b Computed only for a 2 × 2 table.

			Smoking		
			Yes	No	Total
		Count	1	9	10
	W	% -159(C/T)	10.0%	90.0%	100.0%
CD14 150/C/T)		% within Smoking	25.0%	25.7%	25.6%
CD14-159(C/T)		Count	3	26	29
	M	% -159(C/T)	10.3%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within Smoking	75.0%	74.3%	74.4%
		Count	4	35	39
Total		% -159(C/T)	10.3%	89.7%	100.0%
		% within Smoking	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table S39. CD14 –159(C/T) frequency with smoking.

Table S40. Analysis of association of *CARD15/NOD2* Leu1007fsinsC polymorphism with smoking 0.35 Using Chi-Square Tests.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	0.001 ^a	1	0.975		
Continuity Correction ^b	0.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	0.001	1	0.975		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	0.733
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.001	1	0.976		
N of Valid Cases	39				

 $^{^{}a}$ 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.03; b Computed only for a 2 × 2 table.

^{© 2012} by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).