

Article

A Discrete Ligand-Free T3 Supertetrahedral Cluster of Gallium Sulfide

Sarah Makin and Paz Vaqueiro *

Department of Chemistry, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6DX, UK; sarahmakin90@hotmail.co.uk

* Correspondence: p.vaqueiro@reading.ac.uk

Abstract: Large discrete supertetrahedral clusters of metal chalcogenides are rare due to the difficulty of crystallizing solids in which the negative charge of the cluster is balanced by the positive charges of the counteranions. Here, we describe a discrete ligand-free T3 supertetrahedral cluster, $[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{16}(\text{SH})_4]^{6-}$, which was successfully synthesized in the presence of the superbase 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) using the neutral surfactant polyethyleneglycol (PEG)-400 as the reaction solvent. Protonated DBUH⁺ cations are incorporated into the crystal structure of the product, which can be formulated as $[\text{C}_9\text{H}_{17}\text{N}_2]_6[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{16}(\text{SH})_4]$. This compound, which represents the first example of a discrete ligand-free T3 cluster of gallium sulfide, was fully characterized by single-crystal and powder X-ray diffraction, elemental analysis, infrared spectroscopy, thermogravimetric analysis, and ultraviolet-visible diffuse reflectance. The results presented here indicate that the use of surfactants as solvents offers potential for the preparation of new compounds containing supertetrahedral clusters.

Keywords: supertetrahedral cluster; gallium sulfide; crystal structure



Citation: Makin, S.; Vaqueiro, P. A. Discrete Ligand-Free T3 Supertetrahedral Cluster of Gallium Sulfide. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 5415. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26175415>

Academic Editor: Qipu Lin

Received: 30 July 2021

Accepted: 27 August 2021

Published: 6 September 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Tetrahedral chalcogenide nanoclusters are attractive as building blocks for multifunctional open-framework materials, in which porosity is integrated with semiconducting behavior [1–3]. Moreover, as the structures of these nanoclusters are closely related to those of II–VI or I–III–VI semiconductor nanocrystals, chalcogenide nanoclusters can be considered as ultrasmall quantum dots [2,4]. The uniform sizes and well-defined structures of tetrahedral chalcogenide nanoclusters can be advantageous for the investigation of the site- or size-dependent properties of quantum dots [5].

There are four main classes of tetrahedral chalcogenide clusters: supertetrahedral (T_n), penta-supertetrahedral (P_n), capped supertetrahedral (C_n), and super-supertetrahedral ($T_{p,q}$) clusters (where n , p , and q are integers which indicate the cluster size) [6,7]. These clusters are formed by chalcogenides of main group elements (Ga, In, Ge, Sn), with transition-metal elements (Fe, Co, Zn, Cd) often included in the larger clusters in order to reduce the overall cluster charge.

In the case of gallium sulfides, the compounds described to date are primarily based on T_n clusters, which are tetrahedrally shaped fragments of the zinc-blende structure, with n indicating the number of tetrahedra along the cluster edge [6,7]. Gallium-sulfide supertetrahedral clusters can be ligand-free or have ligands covalently attached to the four vertexes. For ligand-free clusters, a T1 cluster would correspond to a single tetrahedron $[\text{GaS}_4]^{5-}$, T2 clusters are adamantane units, $[\text{Ga}_4\text{S}_{10}]^{8-}$, and T3 clusters have the composition $[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{20}]^{10-}$. Discrete ligand-free T2 supertetrahedral clusters, $[\text{Ga}_4\text{S}_{10}]^{8-}$, were first described by Krebs et al. [8], while a ligand-containing T2 analogue, $(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Ga}_4\text{S}_6$, has been reported very recently [9]. Larger discrete supertetrahedral clusters, such as T3 $[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{16}(\text{NC}_7\text{H}_9)_4]^{2-}$ (where $\text{NC}_7\text{H}_9 = 3,5$ -dimethylpyridine) [10] and T5 $[\text{Cu}_5\text{Ga}_{30}\text{S}_{52}(\text{SH})_2(\text{Bim})_2]^{11-}$ (where Bim = 1-butyl-2-methyl-imidazole) [11], are

rare and usually have some or all of the sulfur anions at the vertexes of the cluster replaced by organic ligands. Alternatively, as exemplified by the T4 $[\text{Mn}_4\text{Ga}_{14}\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_{35}]^{12-}$ and T5 $[\text{Cu}_5\text{Ga}_{30}\text{S}_{52}(\text{SH})_4]^{13-}$ clusters [11,12], large discrete clusters contain divalent or monovalent cations. Either of these two approaches reduces the overall negative charge of the supertetrahedral cluster, hence facilitating its crystallization. Although discrete ligand-free T3 clusters, $[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{20}]^{10-}$, have not been reported to date, these T3 clusters are frequently found as building blocks of gallium sulfide open-framework structures, as exemplified by $[\text{amineH}^+]_6[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{18}]$ (where amine = diethylamine, 1-(2-aminoethyl)piperazine, and ethanolamine), in which vertex-linked T3 clusters form an interpenetrating double-diamond lattice [9,13,14]. Similarly, organically functionalized T3 clusters are frequently found in hybrid extended structures [15–17].

Discrete supertetrahedral clusters are attractive as ultras-small quantum dots [5] and as potential precursors for the synthesis of open-framework chalcogenides [18]. However, discrete ligand-free clusters are very rare due to the difficulty in balancing the large negative charge of the cluster with the positive charges of the counter-cations. Here, we present the synthesis and characterization of the first ligand-free discrete T3 cluster, $[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{16}(\text{SH})_4]^{6-}$, which was facilitated through the incorporation of a neutral surfactant, PEG-400, into the reaction mixture.

2. Results

2.1. Crystal Structure of $[\text{C}_9\text{H}_{17}\text{N}_2]_6[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{16}(\text{SH})_4]$

Selected crystallographic information is summarised in Table 1. The asymmetric unit of the title compound contains a discrete T3 gallium sulfide supertetrahedron (Figure 1a) and six DBU moieties. Unlike previously reported T3 gallium sulfide clusters [10], the cluster found here is ligand-free.

As each of the six DBU moieties found per formula unit can only be monoprotonated, four further protons are needed in order to balance the charge and hence the four sulfur atoms at the vertexes of the cluster must be SH^- units. Elemental analysis (experimental: C = 28.62%; H = 4.68%; N = 7.30%; calculated: C = 28.67%; H = 4.72%; N = 7.43%) was in excellent agreement with the proposed formula, $[\text{C}_9\text{H}_{17}\text{N}_2]_6[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{16}(\text{SH})_4]$.

Table 1. Selected crystallographic data for $[\text{C}_9\text{H}_{17}\text{N}_2]_6[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{16}(\text{SH})_4]$.

| | |
|--|---|
| Crystallographic Formula | $\text{C}_{54}\text{H}_{102}\text{Ga}_{10}\text{N}_{12}\text{S}_{20}$ |
| Mr | 2257.88 |
| Crystal habit | Colourless prism |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P2_1$ |
| T/K | 100 |
| a/Å | 14.1675(3) |
| b/Å | 14.1898(3) |
| c/Å | 21.3007(4) |
| $\alpha/^\circ$ | 90 |
| $\beta/^\circ$ | 90.5730(18) |
| $\gamma/^\circ$ | 90 |
| V/Å³ | 4281.95(15) |
| Z | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text{cal}}/\text{g cm}^{-3}$ | 1.751 |
| Number of parameters | 536 |
| R_{merge} | 0.0659 |
| $R(I > 3.0\sigma(I))$ | 0.0794 |
| R_w | 0.0595 |




Figure 1. (a) The T3 supertetrahedral cluster found in $[\text{C}_9\text{H}_{17}\text{N}_2]_6[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{16}(\text{SH})_4]$. Color key: green spheres, Ga; yellow spheres, S. (b) View of the crystal structure along the a -axis. Unit cell is shown. Color key: GaS_4 , green tetrahedra; yellow spheres, S; blue spheres, N; grey spheres, C. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

The Ga–S distances in the T3 cluster lie in the range of 2.216(2)–2.336(2) Å, with the longest distances corresponding to the μ_3 -S atoms at the center of each supertetrahedral face, whereas those in $-\text{Ga}-\text{S}-\text{H}$ groups are in the range of 2.276(3)–2.294(3) Å. These values are comparable to those found in previously reported gallium sulfides containing T3 supertetrahedral clusters [13], as well as in those containing terminal $-\text{Ga}-\text{S}-\text{H}$ groups [11]. In the crystal structure of the title compound, the T3 supertetrahedra are packed in layers parallel to the (110) planes (Figure 1b). The N–S distances between the DBUH⁺ cations and the T3 clusters, which range between 3.216(7) and 3.458(11) Å, are consistent with hydrogen-bonding interactions. In addition, there are also a number of short contacts of the type C–H . . . S, which result in the formation of a three-dimensional supramolecular network.

2.2. Characterisation

The powder X-ray diffraction pattern collected on ground crystals of the title compound is in good agreement with the simulated powder pattern generated from the crystal structure determined by single-crystal diffraction (Figure 2a). The FT-IR data (Figure 2b), which are comparable to those reported for the [DBUH][SH] salt [19], are consistent with the presence of protonated DBUH⁺ cations. For instance, there are C–H stretches around 2900 cm^{-1} , as well as C–H bends around 1400 cm^{-1} . Vibrational bands found between 3200 and 3000 cm^{-1} can be assigned to N–H stretches, while those found at 1630 and 1570 cm^{-1} are in the C=N ring stretching vibration range. A very weak feature at 2550 cm^{-1} can be attributed to the stretch of the S–H groups in the supertetrahedral cluster.

The thermogravimetric analysis (Figure 2c), carried out by heating this material in air, can be interpreted as follows. The first weight change corresponds to the loss of four protonated DBUH⁺ cations, $[\text{C}_9\text{H}_{17}\text{N}_2]^+$. The second weight-loss step corresponds to the removal of the remaining two DBUH⁺ cations, together with five S atoms per formula unit, to form Ga_2S_3 . Due to the presence of air, this then decomposes further into Ga_2O_3 . This accounts for the final weight loss of 7% and leaves a final weight of ca. 42%.




Figure 2. (a) Powder X-ray diffraction data collected for ground crystals of $[\text{C}_9\text{H}_{17}\text{N}_2]_6[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{16}(\text{SH})_4]$. (b) FT-IR data. (c) Thermogravimetric analysis data, collected under air. The temperature is given by the blue line. (d) UV-Vis diffuse reflectance data.

UV-Vis diffuse reflectance data (Figure 2d) indicates that the absorption edge for the colourless crystals of the title compound is 4.10(1) eV. This band gap is in the region where the material would be considered to be an insulator and confirms the colourless nature of the crystals. This optical band gap is comparable to those previously reported for open-framework structures of the type $[\text{amineH}^+]_6[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{18}]$ [13], in which the T3 clusters are linked by their corners.

3. Discussion

Traditionally, chalcogenides containing supertetrahedral clusters have been synthesized by high-temperature methods (for purely inorganic solids) or by solvothermal synthesis, in which water or amines are often used as solvents. More recently, the ionothermal method, in which an ionic liquid acts as both the solvent and the structure-directing agent [20], has been successfully exploited for the preparation of chalcogenides [4,21]. Benefits of the ionothermal approach include the ability to carry the synthesis at ambient pressures and the absence of competition between the solvent and the structure directing agent. Ionothermal synthesis has been found to be advantageous for the synthesis of discrete supertetrahedral clusters [4]. It has been suggested that anion- π interactions between chalcogen ions and the organic cations in the ionic liquid may increase the stability of discrete clusters and, under ionothermal conditions, the chalcogens normally at the four corners of the clusters may be easily replaced by the halogens or organic ligands, which reduce the local negative charge and prevent the formation of extended structures [4]. The use of surfactants in the “surfactant-thermal” method [22] offers a potential alternative for the synthesis of supertetrahedra-based clusters, which we have explored in the work presented here. In contrast to the ionothermal method, in the “surfactant-thermal” method reaction mixtures usually contain an amine, which can act as the template, as well as a surfactant,

which acts as the solvent. Research to date indicates that surfactants like PEG-400 can also sometimes act as structure-directing agents [22], which are incorporated into the crystal structure of the chalcogenide [23]. While Kanatzidis and co-workers have exploited surfactants in solutions for the preparation of mesostructured phases from T2 $[\text{Ge}_4\text{Q}_{10}]^{4-}$ clusters (where Q = S, Se) [24], for crystalline chalcogenides the “surfactant-thermal” approach has primarily been applied to thioarsenates [25,26] and thioantimonates [27,28]. Reports of crystalline supertetrahedra-based chalcogenides prepared by the “surfactant-thermal” method appear to be limited to $[\text{Mn}(\text{en})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})][\text{Mn}(\text{en})_2\text{MnGe}_3\text{Se}_9]$ (where en = ethylenediamine), which contains chains of T2 clusters [29]. To the best of our knowledge, this methodology has not previously been applied to gallium sulfides. The results we present here demonstrate that the “surfactant-thermal” synthetic approach can be exploited for the preparation of discrete supertetrahedral clusters. We speculate that the significantly higher viscosity of PEG-400, when compared to commonly used solvents in solvothermal reactions, might hinder the linkage of discrete supertetrahedral clusters into larger building blocks, and hence favour the crystallisation of discrete units. The cluster described here is the first example of a T3 gallium sulfide supertetrahedral cluster that exists as a discrete unit, with no organic ligands coordinated to the corners, in contrast to many of those produced using ionothermal methods [4]. Previous work on ligand-free supertetrahedral clusters, including $[\text{Ga}_4\text{S}_8]^{8-}$, $[\text{In}_4\text{S}_8]^{8-}$ and $[\text{Ge}_4\text{S}_{10}]^{4-}$ [8,24], suggests that the cluster reported here is likely to be soluble in highly polar solvents such as water or formamide. Discrete T3 indium sulfide clusters have been previously prepared under solvothermal conditions, by using transition-metal complexes containing π systems, as large counteranions [30,31]. By contrast, the approach presented here does not require the use of bulky cations or anion- π interactions to stabilize the clusters. The surfactant, PEG-400, appears to play a key role. Reactions in the absence of PEG-400, where DBU was instead used as the solvent, did not result in the crystallization of the compound reported here.

4. Materials and Methods

Synthesis of $[\text{C}_9\text{H}_{17}\text{N}_2]_6[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{16}(\text{SH})_4]$: This compound was prepared using a mixture of Ga metal (133 mg, 2 mmol), thioacetamide (389 mg, 5.2 mmol), 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU, 0.5 mL, 3.35 mmol), and PEG-400 (4 mL). The reaction was performed in a 23 mL Teflon-lined autoclave, which was heated at 140 °C for 6 days. The resulting product was a mixture of colorless crystals of the title compound and unreacted Ga metal.

Characterization: As crystals of the title compound degrade during single-crystal data collection, data for this compound were collected at 100 K on a Rigaku FR-E+ Diffractometer, using a rotating anode Mo source. Single-crystal data were collected at the UK National Crystallography Service (Southampton, UK) [32]. The structure was solved using Superflip [33] and refined using the program CRYSTALS [34]. Solvent molecules were located in the Fourier difference maps. The organic DBUH^+ cations were refined isotropically due to the presence of heavier Ga and S atoms. All hydrogen atoms were added geometrically. Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as CCDC 2099600, and is also available as Supplementary Materials.

Powder diffraction data were collected using a Bruker D8 Advance powder diffractometer (Cu-K α 1 radiation, $\lambda = 1.54056 \text{ \AA}$) for 1 h, over the range $5 \leq 2\theta/^\circ \leq 60$. The sample was fixed to a zero-background holder using a small amount of Vaseline.

Elemental analysis was carried out by MEDAC Ltd. using approximately 3 mg of crystals.

Thermogravimetric analysis was performed using a TA Instruments Q600 TGA on a ground sample, under air.

Diffuse reflectance measurements were carried out using a Perkin Elmer Lambda 35 UV-Vis spectrometer equipped with a diffuse reflectance integrating sphere. BaSO_4 powder was used as the reference for 100% reflectance.

5. Conclusions

We have demonstrated that the use of a surfactant as the solvent facilitates the crystallization of discrete ligand-free T3 clusters of gallium sulfide, which may be attractive as precursors for the synthesis of open-framework sulfides. Further exploratory work on the synthesis of supertetrahedra-based chalcogenides using surfactants as solvents is required to evaluate fully the potential of this synthetic approach.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online: crystal structure of $[\text{C}_9\text{H}_{17}\text{N}_2]_6[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{16}(\text{SH})_4]$ as a CIF file.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.V.; Formal analysis, S.M.; Investigation, S.M.; Project administration, P.V.; Supervision, P.V.; Validation, S.M.; Writing—original draft, P.V.; Writing—review & editing, P.V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors thank the University of Reading for a PhD studentship for Sarah Makin.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article or Supplementary Materials.

Acknowledgments: We thank the EPSRC UK National Crystallography Service at the University of Southampton for the collection of the crystallographic data. We acknowledge the Chemical Analysis Facility at the University of Reading for access to powder X-ray diffraction, FT-IR, and thermogravimetric analysis.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Feng, P.; Bu, X.; Zheng, N. The interface chemistry between chalcogenide clusters and open framework chalcogenides. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2005**, *38*, 293–303. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Zhang, J.; Bu, X.; Feng, P.; Wu, T. Metal chalcogenide supertetrahedral clusters: Synthetic control over assembly, dispersibility, and their functional applications. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2020**, *53*, 2261–2272. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Levchenko, T.I.; Huang, Y.; Corrigan, J.F. Large metal chalcogenide clusters and their ordered superstructures via solvothermal and ionothermal syntheses. In *Clusters—Contemporary Insight in Structure and Bonding*; Dehnen, S., Ed.; Springer Structure and Bonding: Cham, Switzerland, 2016; Volume 174, pp. 269–319. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Peng, Y.; Hu, Q.; Liu, Y.; Li, J.; Huang, X. Discrete supertetrahedral Tn chalcogenido clusters synthesized in ionic liquids: Crystal structures and photocatalytic activity. *ChemPlusChem* **2020**, *85*, 2487–2498. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Zhang, J.; Feng, P.; Bu, X.; Wu, T. Atomically precise metal chalcogenide supertetrahedral clusters: Frameworks to molecules, and structure to function. *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **2021**. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Bu, X.; Zheng, N.; Feng, P. Tetrahedral chalcogenide clusters and open frameworks. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2004**, *10*, 3356–3362. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Vaqueiro, P. Hybrid materials through linkage of chalcogenide tetrahedral clusters. *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, *39*, 5965–5972. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Krebs, B.; Voelker, D.; Stiller, K.-O. Novel adamantane-like thio- and selenoanions from aqueous solution: $\text{Ga}_4\text{S}_{10}^{8-}$, $\text{In}_4\text{S}_{10}^{8-}$, $\text{In}_4\text{Se}_{10}^{8-}$. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1982**, *65*, L101–L102. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Sun, M.; Zhang, S.; Wang, K.-Y.; Wang, J.; Cheng, L.; Zhu, J.-Y.; Zhao, Y.-M.; Wang, C. Mixed solvothermal synthesis of Tn cluster-based indium and gallium sulfides using versatile ammonia or amine structure-directing agents. *Inorg. Chem.* **2021**, *60*, 7115–7127. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Vaqueiro, P.; Romero, M.L. $[\text{Ga}_{10}\text{S}_{16}(\text{NC}_7\text{H}_9)_4]^{2-}$: A hybrid supertetrahedral nanocluster. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 3282–3284. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Xiong, W.-W.; Li, J.-R.; Hu, B.; Tan, B.; Lia, R.-F.; Huang, X.-Y. Largest discrete supertetrahedral clusters synthesized in ionic liquids. *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 1200–1204. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Wu, T.; Wang, L.; Bu, X.; Chau, V.; Feng, P. Largest molecular clusters in the supertetrahedral Tn series. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 10823–10831. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Vaqueiro, P.; Romero, M.L. Three-dimensional gallium sulphide open frameworks. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **2007**, *68*, 1239–1243. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Zheng, N.; Bu, X.; Feng, P. Nonaqueous synthesis and selective crystallization of gallium sulfide clusters into three-dimensional photoluminescent superlattices. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 1138–1139. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Vaqueiro, P.; Makin, S.; Tong, Y.; Ewing, S.J. A new class of hybrid super-supertetrahedral cluster and its assembly into a five-fold interpenetrating network. *Dalton Trans.* **2017**, *46*, 3816–3819. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

16. Vaqueiro, P.; Romero, M.L.; Rowan, B.C.; Richards, B.S. Arrays of chiral nanotubes and a layered coordination polymer containing gallium-sulphide supertetrahedral clusters. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 4462–4465. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Vaqueiro, P.; Romero, M.L. Gallium-sulfide supertetrahedral clusters as building blocks of covalent organic-inorganic networks. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 9630–9631. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Peters, B.; Stuhmann, G.; Mack, F.; Weigend, F.; Dehnen, S. Highly soluble supertetrahedra upon selective partial butylation of chalcogenido metalate clusters in ionic liquids. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, in press. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Khokarale, S.G.; Mikkola, J.-P. Hydrogen sulfide gas capture by organic superbases 1,8-diazabicyclo-[5.4.0]-undec-7-ene through salt formation: Salt synthesis, characterization and application for CO₂ capture. *RSC Adv.* **2018**, *8*, 18531–18541. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Cooper, E.R.; Andrews, C.D.; Wheatley, P.S.; Webb, P.B.; Wormald, P.; Morris, R.E. Ionic liquids and eutectic mixtures as solvent and template in synthesis of zeolite analogues. *Nature* **2004**, *430*, 1012–1016. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Santner, S.; Heine, J.; Dehnen, S. Synthesis of crystalline chalcogenides in ionic liquids. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 876–893. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Xiong, W.-W.; Zhang, Q. Surfactants as promising media for the preparation of crystalline inorganic materials. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 11616–11623. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Xiong, W.-W.; Miao, J.; Ye, K.; Wang, Y.; Liu, B.; Zhang, Q. Threading chalcogenide layers with polymer chains. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 546–550. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Trikalitis, P.N.; Bakas, T.; Papaefthymiou, V.; Kanatzidis, M.G. Supramolecular assembly of hexagonal mesostructured germanium sulfide and selenide nanocomposites incorporating the biologically relevant Fe₄S₄ cluster. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 4558–4562. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Ye, R.; Liu, B.-W.; Jiang, X.-M.; Lu, J.; Zeng, H.-Y.; Guo, G.-C. AMnAs₃S₆ (A = Cs, Rb): Phase-matchable infrared nonlinear optical functional motif [As₃S₆]³⁻ obtained via surfactant-thermal method. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12*, 53950–53956. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Yang, D.-D.; Song, Y.; Zhang, B.; Shen, N.-N.; Xu, G.-L.; Xiong, W.-W.; Huang, X.-Y. Exploring the surfactant-thermal synthesis of crystalline functional thioarsenates. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2018**, *18*, 3255–3262. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Nie, L.; Liu, G.; Xie, J.; Lim, T.-L.; Armatas, G.S.; Xu, R.; Zhang, Q. Syntheses, crystal structures, and photocatalytic properties of two ammonium-directed Ag–Sb–S complexes. *Inorg. Chem. Front.* **2017**, *4*, 954–959. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Shen, Y.; Liu, C.; Hou, P.; Zhi, M.; Zhou, C.; Chai, W.; Cheng, J.-W.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, Q. Preparation of porous three-dimensional quaternary thioantimonates(III) ACuSb₂S₄ (A = Rb, Cs) through a surfactant-thermal method. *Chem. Asian J.* **2015**, *10*, 2604–2608. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
29. Zhang, G.; Li, P.; Ding, J.; Liu, Y.; Xiong, W.-W.; Nie, L.; Wu, T.; Zhao, Y.; Tok, A.I.Y.; Zhang, Q. Surfactant-thermal syntheses, structures, and magnetic properties of Mn–Ge–sulfides/selenides. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 10248–10256. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. Zhang, Y.-P.; Zhang, X.; Mu, W.-Q.; Luo, W.; Bian, G.-Q.; Zhu, Q.-Y.; Dai, J. Indium sulfide clusters integrated with 2,2'-bipyridine complexes. *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, *40*, 9746–9751. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
31. Lei, Z.-X.; Zhu, Q.-Y.; Zhang, X.; Luo, W.; Mu, W.-Q.; Dai, J. Indium-sulfur supertetrahedral clusters integrated with a metal complex of 1,10-phenanthroline. *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 4385–4387. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Coles, S.J.; Gale, P.A. Changing and challenging times for service crystallography. *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 683–689. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Palatinus, L.; Chapuis, G. SUPERFLIP—A computer program for the solution of crystal structures by charge flipping in arbitrary dimensions. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2007**, *40*, 786–790. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Betteridge, P.W.; Carruthers, J.R.; Cooper, R.I.; Prout, K.; Watkin, D.J. CRYSTALS Version 12: Software for guided crystal structure analysis. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2003**, *36*, 1487. [[CrossRef](#)]