## **Supporting Information**

## Are alkynyl spacers in ancillary ligands in heteroleptic bis(diimine)copper(I) dyes beneficial for dye performance in dye-sensitized solar cells?

Guglielmo Risi<sup>1</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Mariia Becker<sup>1</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Catherine E. Housecroft<sup>1</sup>, and Edwin C. Constable<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Basel, BPR 1096, Mattenstrasse 24a, CH-4058 Basel, Switzerland; guglielmo.risi@unibas.ch (G.R.); mariia.karpacheva@unibas.ch (M.B.); catherine.housecroft@unibas.ch (C.E.H.); edwin.constable@unibas.ch (E.C.C.)



Figure S1. FT-IR spectrum of 1 (solid state).





Figure S3. FT-IR spectrum of **3** (solid state).



Figure S4. FT-IR spectrum of 4 (solid state).



Figure S5. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K) of compound 1. \* = residual CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm.



Figure S6. NOESY spectrum (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K) of compound 1. \* = residual CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm.



Figure S7. HMQC spectrum (500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 126 MHz <sup>13</sup>C, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K) of compound 1. \* = CDCl<sub>3</sub> or residual CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm.



Figure S8. HMBC spectrum (500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 126 MHz <sup>13</sup>C, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K) of compound **1**. \* = CDCl<sub>3</sub> or residual CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm.



8.5 8.0 7.5 7.0 6.5 6.0 5.5 5.0 4.5 4.0 3.5 3.0 2.5 Figure S9. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K) of compound **3** with inset of the aromatic region. \* = residual CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm.



= CDCl<sub>3</sub> or residual CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm.



<sup>8.4</sup> <sup>8.2</sup> <sup>8.0</sup> <sup>7.8</sup> <sup>7.6</sup> <sup>7.4</sup> <sup>7.2</sup> <sup>7.0</sup> <sup>6.8</sup> <sup>6.6</sup> <sup>6.4</sup> <sup>6.2</sup> <sup>6.0</sup> <sup>5.8</sup> <sup>5.6</sup> <sup>5.4</sup> <sup>5.2</sup> <sup>5.0</sup> <sup>4.8</sup> <sup>4.6</sup> <sup>4.4</sup> <sup>4.2</sup> <sup>4.0</sup> <sup>3.8</sup> <sup>3.6</sup> <sup>3.4</sup> <sup>3.2</sup> <sup>3.0</sup> <sup>2.8</sup> <sup>2.6</sup> <sup>2.7</sup> <sup>7.5</sup> <sup>7.6</sup> <sup>7.4</sup> <sup>7.2</sup> <sup>7.0</sup> <sup>6.8</sup> <sup>6.6</sup> <sup>6.4</sup> <sup>6.2</sup> <sup>6.0</sup> <sup>5.8</sup> <sup>5.6</sup> <sup>5.4</sup> <sup>5.2</sup> <sup>5.0</sup> <sup>4.8</sup> <sup>4.6</sup> <sup>4.4</sup> <sup>4.2</sup> <sup>4.0</sup> <sup>3.8</sup> <sup>3.6</sup> <sup>3.4</sup> <sup>3.2</sup> <sup>3.0</sup> <sup>2.8</sup> <sup>2.6</sup> <sup>2.7</sup> <sup>7.6</sup> <sup>7.4</sup> <sup>7.2</sup> <sup>7.0</sup> <sup>6.8</sup> <sup>6.6</sup> <sup>6.4</sup> <sup>6.2</sup> <sup>6.0</sup> <sup>5.8</sup> <sup>5.6</sup> <sup>5.4</sup> <sup>5.2</sup> <sup>5.0</sup> <sup>4.8</sup> <sup>4.6</sup> <sup>4.4</sup> <sup>4.2</sup> <sup>4.0</sup> <sup>3.8</sup> <sup>3.6</sup> <sup>3.4</sup> <sup>3.2</sup> <sup>3.0</sup> <sup>2.8</sup> <sup>2.6</sup> <sup>2.7</sup> <sup>7.0</sup> <sup>7.6</sup> <sup>7.4</sup> <sup>7.2</sup> <sup>7.0</sup> <sup>6.8</sup> <sup>6.6</sup> <sup>6.4</sup> <sup>6.2</sup> <sup>6.0</sup> <sup>5.8</sup> <sup>5.6</sup> <sup>5.4</sup> <sup>5.2</sup> <sup>5.0</sup> <sup>4.8</sup> <sup>4.6</sup> <sup>4.4</sup> <sup>4.2</sup> <sup>4.0</sup> <sup>3.8</sup> <sup>3.6</sup> <sup>3.4</sup> <sup>3.2</sup> <sup>3.0</sup> <sup>2.8</sup> <sup>2.6</sup> <sup>2.7</sup> <sup>7.6</sup> <sup>7.4</sup> <sup>7.2</sup> <sup>7.0</sup> <sup>7.6</sup> <sup>7.4</sup> <sup>7.2</sup> <sup>7.6</sup> <sup>7.4</sup> <sup>7.4</sup> <sup>7.2</sup> <sup>7.6</sup> <sup>7.4</sup> <sup>7.4</sup> <sup>7.2</sup> <sup>7.5</sup> <sup>7.4</sup> <sup>7.4</sup> <sup>7.2</sup> <sup>7.5</sup> <sup>7.4</sup> <sup>7.4</sup>



Figure S12. HMQC spectrum (500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 126 MHz <sup>13</sup>C, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K) of compound **2**.  $* = CDCl_3$  or residual CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Scale:  $\delta$ /ppm.



Figure S13. HMBC spectrum (500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 126 MHz <sup>13</sup>C, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K) of compound **2**. \* = CDCl<sub>3</sub> or residual CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm.



88 86 84 82 80 78 76 74 72 70 68 66 64 62 60 58 56 54 52 50 48 46 44 42 40 38 36 34 32 30 28 26 24

Figure S14. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K) of compound **4** and inset, expansion of the aromatic region.  $* = \text{residual CHCl}_3$ . Scale:  $\delta / \text{ppm}$ .



Figure S15. HMQC spectrum (500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 126 MHz <sup>13</sup>C, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K) of compound **4**. \* = CDCl<sub>3</sub> or residual CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm.



Figure S16. HMBC spectrum (500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 126 MHz <sup>13</sup>C, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K) of compound **4**. \* = CDCl<sub>3</sub> or residual CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm.



Figure S17. High-resolution electrospray mass spectrum of  $[Cu(1)_2][PF_6]$  showing the  $[M-PF_6]^+$  ion and predicted isotope pattern.



Figure S18. High-resolution electrospray mass spectrum of  $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$  showing the  $[M-PF_6]^+$  ion and predicted isotope pattern.



Figure S19. High-resolution electrospray mass spectrum of  $[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$  showing the  $[M-PF_6]^+$  ion and predicted isotope pattern.



Figure S20. High-resolution electrospray mass spectrum of  $[Cu(4)_2][PF_6]$  showing the  $[M-PF_6]^+$  ion and predicted isotope pattern.



Figure S22. IR spectrum of solid [Cu(2)<sub>2</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>].



Figure S23. IR spectrum of solid [Cu(3)<sub>2</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>].



Figure S24. IR spectrum of solid  $[Cu(4)_2][PF_6]$ .



8.8 8.6 8.4 8.2 8.0 7.8 7.6 7.4 7.2 7.0 6.8 6.6 6.4 6.2 6.0 5.8 5.6 5.4 5.2 5.0 4.8 4.6 4.4 4.2 4.0 3.8 3.6 3.4 3.2 3.0 2.8 2.6 2.4 2.2 2.0

Figure S25. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K) of  $[Cu(1)_2][PF_6]$  with inset of the aromatic region. \* = residual CHD<sub>2</sub>CN; \*\* = H<sub>2</sub>O. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm



Figure S26. HMQC spectrum (500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 126 MHz <sup>13</sup>C, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K) of  $[Cu(1)_2][PF_6]$ . \* = CD<sub>3</sub>CN or residual CHD<sub>2</sub>CN; \*\* = H<sub>2</sub>O. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm



Figure S27. HMBC spectrum (500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 126 MHz <sup>13</sup>C, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K) of  $[Cu(1)_2][PF_6]$ . \* = CD<sub>3</sub>CN or residual CHD<sub>2</sub>CN; \*\* = H<sub>2</sub>O. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm



9.0 8.5 8.0 7.5 7.0 6.5 6.0 5.5 5.0 4.5 4.0 3.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 Figure S28. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, acetone-d<sub>6</sub>, 298 K) of  $[Cu(2)_2][PF_6]$  with inset of the aromatic region. \* = residual acetone-d<sub>5</sub>; \*\* = H<sub>2</sub>O. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm



[Cu(2)<sub>2</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>]. \* acetone- $d_6$ ; \*\* = H<sub>2</sub>O. Scale:  $\delta$  / ppm



Figure S31. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K) of  $[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$  with inset of the aromatic region. \* = residual CHD<sub>2</sub>CN; \*\* = H<sub>2</sub>O. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm



Figure S32. HMQC spectrum (500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 126 MHz <sup>13</sup>C, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K) of  $[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$ . \* = CD<sub>3</sub>CN or residual CHD<sub>2</sub>CN; \*\* = H<sub>2</sub>O. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm



Figure S33. Part of the HMBC spectrum (500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 126 MHz <sup>13</sup>C, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K) of  $[Cu(3)_2][PF_6]$ . \* = CD<sub>3</sub>CN or residual CHD<sub>2</sub>CN. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm



84 82 80 78 76 74 72 70 68 66 64 62 60 58 56 54 52 50 48 46 44 42 40 38 36 34 32 30 28 26 24 22 20

Figure S34. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K) of  $[Cu(4)_2][PF_6]$  with inset of the aromatic region. \* = residual CHD<sub>2</sub>CN; \*\* = H<sub>2</sub>O. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm



Figure S35. The aromatic region of the HMQC spectrum (500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 126 MHz <sup>13</sup>C, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K) of [Cu(4)<sub>2</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>]. \* = CD<sub>3</sub>CN. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm



Figure S36. The aromatic region of the HMBC spectrum (500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 126 MHz <sup>13</sup>C, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K) of [Cu(4)<sub>2</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>]. \* = CD<sub>3</sub>CN. Scale:  $\delta$ / ppm



Figure S37. Orbital compositions of the highest occupied and lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals in  $[Cu(2)_2]^+$  using a polarizable continuum solvation model (CHCl<sub>3</sub>).



Figure S38. Orbital compositions of the highest occupied and lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals in  $[Cu(5)(1)]^+$  calculated using DFT (B3LYP level, 6-31G\* basis set, in vacuum). Geometry optimization was at the same level of calculation.



Figure S39. J-V curves for sets of four DSCs sensitized with  $[Cu(5)(1)]^+$  (blue curves) and  $[Cu(5)(2)]^+$  (red curves) measured on the day of sealing the cells and compared to the reference cell with N719.



Figure S40. EQE spectra for sets of four DSCs sensitized with  $[Cu(5)(1)]^+$  (blue curves) and  $[Cu(5)(2)]^+$  (red curves) measured on the day of sealing the cells and compared to the reference cell with N719.

Table S1. EIS parameters obtained from fitting the experimental data for multiple DSCs, sets of four cells containing the dyes  $[Cu(5)(1)]^+$  and  $[Cu(5)(2)]^+$ , and  $[Cu(5)(1)]^+$  with the co-adsorbant *n*-decylphosphonic acid (DPA).

Dye	Cell	Rrec	Сµ/	R <sub>tr</sub> /	τ/	$ au_t$ /	Ld /	R <sub>s</sub> /	R <sub>Pt</sub>	C <sub>Pt</sub>	<b>J</b> <sub>SC</sub> /	Voc	ff/	η /
	number	/Ω	μF	Ω	ms	ms	μm	Ω	/Ω	/ μF	mA cm <sup>-</sup>	/	%	%
											2	mV		
[Cu( <b>5</b> )( <b>1</b> )] <sup>+</sup>	1	114	375	33	43	13	22	13	24	6	4.54	541	67.6	1.66
[Cu( <b>5</b> )( <b>1</b> )] <sup>+</sup>	2	125	386	28	48	11	25	20	24	5	4.69	539	68.1	1.72
[Cu( <b>5</b> )( <b>1</b> )] <sup>+</sup>	3	133	372	23	49	9	29	10	18	6	4.74	539	70.1	1.79
[Cu( <b>5</b> )( <b>1</b> )] <sup>+ a</sup>	4	120	391	19	47	7	30	10	18	5	4.72	528	69.0	1.72
[Cu( <b>5</b> )( <b>2</b> )] <sup>+</sup>	1	181	317	39	57	12	26	9	19	6	3.59	514	70.7	1.30
[Cu( <b>5</b> )( <b>2</b> )] <sup>+</sup>	2	192	315	37	60	12	27	9	20	6	3.64	508	69.6	1.29
[Cu( <b>5</b> )( <b>2</b> )] <sup>+</sup>	3	200	328	30	66	10	31	10	16	6	3.54	513	71.2	1.29
[Cu( <b>5</b> )( <b>2</b> )] <sup>+</sup>	4	159	284	44	45	12	23	11	24	6	3.57	514	68.4	1.25
$[Cu(5)(1)]^+ + DPA$	1	320	247	35	79	9	36	11	14	5	4 75	534	65.1	1.65
$[Cu(5)(1)]^+ + DPA$	2	384	2.52	39	97	10	38	10	21	6	4 75	548	68.9	1 79
$[Cu(5)(1)]^+ + DPA$	3	293	313	30	92	10	37	10	20	6	4 4 2	545	65.9	1.59
$[Cu(5)(1)]^+ + DPA$	4	382	259	53	99	14	32	10	29	5	4.73	543	68.8	1.77

<sup>a</sup>For the EIS measurements, a new cell 4 containing  $[Cu(5)(1)]^+$  was prepared and values of  $J_{SC}$ ,  $V_{OC}$ , ff and  $\eta$  do not correspond to the values in Table 2.