## Applicability domain of active learning in chemical probe identification: convergence in learning from non-specific compounds and decision rule clarification

## Ahsan Habib Polash <sup>1,2</sup>, Takumi Nakano <sup>1</sup>, Shunichi Takeda <sup>2</sup> and J.B. Brown <sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Department of Molecular Biosciences, Life Science Informatics Research Unit; Kyoto, Sakyo, Yoshida, Konoemachi, 606-8501, Kyoto Japan
- <sup>2</sup> Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Department of Radiation Genetics; Kyoto, Sakyo, Yoshida, Konoemachi, 606-8501, Kyoto Japan
- \* Correspondence: jbbrown@kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp; Tel.: +81-75-753-9515 (J.B.)

Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

**Figure S1a.** (*a*,*b*) Experiments with physicochemical properties and either amino acid or tripeptide frequency protein descriptors. (c) Experiments with combination physicochemical and ECFP descriptors, using dipeptide frequency.









**Figure S1b.** Dipeptide frequency (b) with ECFPr2-1024 results in higher performance compared to the identity protein descriptor (a).

**Figure S1c.** ECFPr2-4096 bits (**b**) in combination with dipeptide frequency results in equal or slightly better performance compared to the ECFPr2-512 bit fingerprints (**a**), and a notable improvement over ECFPr2-1024 bit fingerprints.



**Figure S1d**. CATS2D descriptors with dipeptide frequency (**b**) display better performance compared to identity protein descriptors (**a**) and physicochemical-based descriptors.



**Figure S1e**. CATS2D descriptors with tripeptide frequency and tetrapeptide frequency display better performance on the external set compared to dipeptide frequency, amino acid frequency and identity protein descriptors.



**Figure S2. (a)** Active projection for pChem-dipeptide description of ligand-target interactions; **(b)** pChem-tripeptide frequency descriptor-based model evolution.



Figure S3a. Feature weight time series shows the evolving relative weights of each CATS2D-dipeptide descriptor used during model construction. Compound descriptors are the highest weighted features, whereas protein dipeptide frequencies are less weighted yet still non-trivial.



Figure S3b. Feature weight analysis of pChem-identity (a) and pChem-dipeptide experiments (b).

(a) Feature weights in curious learning with Physicochemical properties and Identity labels (z-scale)





Figure S4a. A diagram showing how the protein tripeptide descriptors unique to a protein appeared in a specific decision tree. Many tripeptide rules could be found in multiple trees.





Figure S4b. A decision tree built on 20% of the training data with a predictive ability of MCC=0.50, F1=0.73 on the external probe dataset.