Mechanochemical Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Novel Isoniazid Derivatives with Potent Antitubercular Activity
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Abstract: A series of isoniazid derivatives bearing a phenolic or heteroaromatic coupled frame were obtained by mechanochemical means. Their pH stability and their structural (conformer/isomer) analysis were checked. The activity of prepared derivatives against Mycobacterium tuberculosis cell growth was evaluated. Some compounds such as phenolic hydrazine 1a and almost all heteroaromatic ones, especially 2, 5 and 7, are more active than isoniazid, and their activity against some M. tuberculosis MDR clinical isolates was determined. Compounds 1a and 7 present a selectivity index >1400 evaluated on MRC5 human fibroblast cells. The mechanism of action of selected hydrazones was demonstrated to block mycolic acid synthesis due to InhA inhibition inside the mycobacterial cell.

Keywords: Mycobacterium tuberculosis; mechanochemistry; hydrazone

1. Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB), caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M.tb), represents an enduring, deadly infectious disease worldwide. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), one third of the global population is infected with M.tb. In comparison with other diseases caused by a single infectious agent, TB is the second leading cause of mortality. It is estimated that in 2015 TB killed 1.8 million people, mainly in underdeveloped countries [1]. New effective drugs for the treatment...
of TB are necessary firstly to reduce the duration of TB treatment and, secondly, for the treatment of *M. tb* multidrug-resistant (MDR) [2,3], extensively-drug resistant (XDR) [4], and totally-drug resistant (TDR) strains [5]. In the recent years, two molecules bedaquiline and delamanid (Figure 1) have been approved for the treatment of MDR-TB when an effective treatment regimen is not otherwise available [6,7].

![Diagram of antitubercular drug Isoniazid (1952), Bedaquiline, and Delamanid](image1)

**Figure 1.** Antitubercular drug Isoniazid (1952), and Bedaquiline and Delamanid, two new compounds approved for the treatment of MDR-TB.

One of the main known drug targets to fight *M. tb* growth is the enoyl-ACP reductase or InhA. This well-known enzyme is already the indirect target of Isoniazid (INH), a front-line prodrug used clinically to treat TB. INH remains a key component in all multiple drug treatment regiments recommended by the WHO even if *M. tb* resistant isolates have been rapidly generated during monotherapy or inappropriate treatment. Hence, improvement of INH molecule by introducing chemical modifications in its core structure in order to enhance biological response (prodrug, increase of bioavailability, and membrane permeability) continues to be an interesting scientific challenge. Recently, for example, compounds containing isonicotinoyl moiety with potential dual inhibition targeting FabG4 and HtdX, were successfully characterized [8].

Hydrazide-hydrazone derivatives have long attracted attention because of their wide range of applications in medicinal chemistry [9–12]. Hydrazide and hydrazone derivatives showed strong antioxidant and radical scavenging properties, while others ones displayed potent anticancer, antimicrobial, anticonvulsant or anti-inflammatory activities in vitro [9]. Recently, interesting anti-mycobacterial activities were reported about the following derivatives: guanylhydrazones [13], *trans*-cinnamic acid hydrazides derivatives [14], fluorine containing hydrazones [15], sulfonyl-hydrazone [16], and L-proline derived hydrazones [17].

Moreover, isoniazid-related hydrazones showed similar or better efficiency than the INH [18]. Isonicotinoyl hydrazone derivatives were also synthesized and evaluated as anti-mycobacterial agents [19,20]. Interestingly, vanillic acylhydrazones were reported as potential β-keto acyl carrier protein synthase III (FabH) inhibitors [21]. Schiff bases ofisoniazid, considered as chemical modification that can block N-acetylation of INH, showed good activity in vitro and in vivo and in some cases low toxicity [22,23]. In 2008, an extended study reported a quantitative structure activity relationships (QSAR) of a large hydrazide family for the developing of antitubercular compounds [24]. Finally, some researchers focused on establishing a predictive QSAR model for different INH derivatives including isonicotinoylhydrazones [25].
Our group is involved both in synthesizing new antitubercular compounds, including cinnamic acid derivatives [26–29], triazoles [30–33], pyrrolidines [34–36], semicarbazones and hydrazine/hydrazones [37], and in searching for new and innovative synthetic reactions. We have recently reported the solvent-free mechanosynthesis of a series of hydrazones [38,39]. Mechanochemistry has been used for a long time for the chemical and physicochemical transformations of inorganic materials to generate all states of aggregation produced by the effect of mechanical energy [40]. More recently, the mechanical energy has been used to synthesize organic molecules in milling devices [41–52].

Considering the pharmaceutical area [53], the mechanical action was used in particular to develop nitrogen-containing heterocycles, well represented in many therapeutic classes. For example, phthalazoles [54], phenazines [55], pyrazoles, pyridazinones [56], and pyrroles [57] were obtained by mechanosynthesis. Non-heterocyclic nitrogen-containing molecules were also synthesized under mechanical solid-state and solvent-free conditions, including imines, azomethines [58,59], azines [56], enamines and hydrazones [60–62].

In this work, this methodology was used in order to synthesize hydrazones under solvent-free conditions, in particular the isonicotinoyl ones. Thus, herein we report the synthesis of a series of phenol and hetero aryl isonicotinoylhydrazones through mechanochemistry and the evaluation of their anti-tuberculosis activities.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Chemistry

Mechanosynthesis of Isonicotinoyl Hydrazones

The classical methods to synthesize hydrazones are generally carried out at low concentration, and require times from 3 to 24 h or even 48 h under reflux of toluene or ethanol in order to obtain good yields. We previously employed the vibratory mill Pulverisette 0 (P0) (Fritsch, Germany) to synthesize phenolic hydrazones mechanochemically [38]. A comparative study has been therein reported with various hydrazides, among them the isoniazid and phenolic aldehydes leading to compounds already described 1a–d (Figure 2).

![Figure 2. Isonicotinoyl hydrazones synthesized by co-grinding of isoniazide and an aldehyde.](image_url)

We decided to synthesize a series of isonicotinoylhydrazones derivatives bearing various N-heterocyclic indole, indazole or imidazole moieties using the mechanochemical approach. The corresponding aldehydes were selected because of the importance of these N-heterocyclic
fragments in a large number of natural or synthetic biologically active molecules. Indeed, compounds bearing these frames may exhibit various activities, i.e., antibacterial, anticancer, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-diabetic, antiviral, anti-proliferative, antituberculosis, antispermatogenic or antipsychotic activities [63–67].

The reaction described for phenolic compounds was firstly used with the 4-methyl-5-imidazolocarboxaldehyde as model of heterocyclic aldehyde. However, in spite of the milling was carried out by up to eight hours, TLC and NMR analysis showed incomplete conversion. In that respect, we evaluated the efficiency of the reaction in acidic media. The reaction was thus carried out in the presence of AlCl₃ or of p-toluenesulfonic acid (p-TSA); the latter one showed the best result when using 50% mol of p-TSA. TLC showed the consumption of the reagents and the consequent appearance of the hydrazone, which was confirmed by ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR analysis.

The hydrazones listed in Table 1 were thus obtained with a high transformation ratio in grinding times of 2 h.

**Table 1.** Hydrazones 2–11 produced mechanochemically by reacting INH and imidazolic, indazolic or indolic aldehydes. The reaction was catalyzed by p-TSA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aldehydes</th>
<th>Heterocyclic Hydrazones (6) Derived From Isoniazid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imidazole derivatives</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Image of hydrazones" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (80%) [a]</td>
<td>3 (98%) [a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indazole derivatives</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Image of hydrazones" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (98%) [a]</td>
<td>5 (99%) [a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indole derivatives</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Image of hydrazones" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (99%) [a]</td>
<td>7 (94%) [a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (99%) [a]</td>
<td>9 (99%) [a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (91%) [a]</td>
<td>11 (99%) [a]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Yields after washing with NaHCO₃ aqueous solution to eliminate p-TSA. According to TLC, ¹H-NMR and MS, the conversions were quantitative.
2.2. Structural Analysis of Isonicotinoyl Hydrazones by DFT and NMR: Determination of the Free Activation Energy (ΔG°) between Conformers of Selected Compounds 1a and 5

The structures of all the hydrazones were identified and fully characterized by 1H- and 13C-NMR, MS and HRMS, FTIR and UV-vis (see Supplementary Materials).

Concerning the NMR data, all isonicotinoyl hydrazones showed the presence of conformers in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) solution. NMR spectral and theoretical studies previously demonstrated that acylhydrazones generally exist predominantly or solely as a mixture of isomers [69–71]. In theory, N-acylhydrazones may exist with four possible arrangements in respect to (E/Z)-configurational isomers relative to the C=N bond and (E'/Z')-rotamers caused by inversion of the amide bonds C(O)NH, here named cis/trans amide conformers (Figure 3) [69,72].

Although the four forms were considered, E/Z isomerization is generally not observed and the Z geometric isomers are absent or present only in poor part. An exception is for R’ = 2-pyridyl, in which strong intramolecular hydrogen bonds are present in the (Z)-form [70] mainly in less polar solvents.

While all isonicotinoyl hydrazones showed the presence of conformers in DMSO solution, for study purposes, two derivatives were chosen to be further investigated: phenolic hydrazone (1a) and indazole derivative (5). Both of them showed two sets of signals indicating the possibility of equilibrium between rotamers in solution. Theoretical assessment of the existence of the isomers was carried out.

The four structures of Z/E geometrical isomers and cis/trans amide conformers of 1a were modeled by Density Functional theory (DFT), using Gaussian 09, firstly at HF/STO-3G level. The Z conformers were found higher in energy than the E ones, around 6 kcal/mol (see Table S1 in the Supplementary Materials). Thus, only the cisE and transE-isomers were then modeled at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level and the frequencies calculations were performed on the optimized geometries at 298 K, showing all positive frequencies and allowing evaluation of the Gibbs free energy.

Following Boltzmann distribution, \( \frac{P_i}{P_j} = \exp\left(\frac{G_j - G_i}{kT}\right) \), cisE-isomer was present at 92% and transE at 8% in the gas phase, whereas in DMSO, using the polarizable solvent continuum model (SMD), the ratio was inverted: cis/trans: 6/94 (Table 2).
Table 2. Geometries and energies of minima and transition state for cis and trans E-isomers of 1a obtained at B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level in the gas phase and using the DMSO polarizable continuum model (SMD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>transE-1a</th>
<th>cisE-1a</th>
<th>TS-E-1a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the Gas Phase</td>
<td>E (ua)</td>
<td>G (ua)</td>
<td>ΔG (kcal/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transE_1a</td>
<td>-816.730569</td>
<td>-816.553707</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cisE_1a</td>
<td>-816.733307</td>
<td>-816.55605</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>transE_1a</th>
<th>cisE_1a</th>
<th>TS_1a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In DMSO</td>
<td>E (ua)</td>
<td>G (ua)</td>
<td>ΔG (kcal/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transE_1a</td>
<td>-816.763427</td>
<td>-816.588516</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cisE_1a</td>
<td>-816.761415</td>
<td>-816.583145</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS_1a</td>
<td>-816.765271</td>
<td>-816.557724</td>
<td>17.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A transition state (TS-1a) was found between transE and cisE, characterized by its imaginary frequency at −115.79 cm⁻¹. The difference between Gibbs free energy of the transition state and the energy of the cis-E-isomer (ΔG≠) was equal to 17.63 kcal·mol⁻¹.

The NMR chemical shift calculations were then performed at B3LYP/6-311+ (2d,p) level, using the DMSO polarizable continuum model (PCM). Isotropic shielding constants (σ) for 1H and 13C nuclei were transformed in chemical shift (δ) using linear regression procedure proposed by Lodewyk [73]. Calculated values are presented in the Supplementary Materials (Table S2). By comparing calculated values and experimental ones, we unambiguously concluded that the transE-isomer is the major one. This result is also in agreement with the calculated Gibbs free energy values in DMSO, at 298 K, as transE-isomer is −1.68 kcal/mol lower than the cis (ratio cis/trans around 6/94).

This activation energy can also be measured by 1H-NMR analysis, by determining the coalescence temperature Tc.

At the coalescence temperature Tc

\[ k_{\text{exch}} = \frac{\pi \Delta v}{\sqrt{2}} = 2.22 \Delta v \] (Hz)

\[ k_{\text{exch}} = (k_B T_c/h) \exp(-\Delta G/RT_c) \] (Eyring’s equation)

\[ \Delta G = -k_B T_c \ln(k_{\text{exch}}h/k_B T_c) \]

with \( k_B = 1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{ KJ/K}, h = 6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ Js}, \) and \( R = 8.314 \text{ KJmol}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}. \)

The 1H-NMR study at increasing temperatures (from 298 K to 388 K) allowed the determination of coalescence temperatures of the same signals of each isomer (Figure S1). Correlation of the coalescence temperatures \( T_c \) with the difference in chemical shift of the signals led to \( \Delta G≠ \) following the precedent equation described (Supplementary Materials, Table S3). The mean value obtained on several signals led to \( \Delta G≠ = 17.58 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1}. \) This experimental value is in agreement with the calculated one of 17.63 kcal·mol⁻¹ at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level.

The same study was performed on compound 5, which possesses eight isomers. As previously described, the optimization of geometries on the height isomers at the HF/STO-3G showed that the Z isomers are higher in energy (6–7.5 kcal/mol) (Supplementary Materials, Table S4). Tssus, the calculations were carried out at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level on the four isomers: cis and trans E-isomers and the two rotamers, in the gas phase and in the DMSO modeled by the SMD polarizable continuum model. Boltzmann analysis was used to determine the relative distribution of each conformer both in the gas phase and in the DMSO continuum model.
In the gas phase, cis compounds are the major ones, although, in the DMSO continuum, trans isomers are the major ones: transE: 80%, cisE: 20% (Table 3).

Table 3. Geometries, Gibbs free energies and Boltzmann distribution of the four major conformers of 5 at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) in the gas phase and in the DMSO continuum solvent model (SMD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isomer</th>
<th>Geometry (In Gas Phase)</th>
<th>G (In Gas Phase)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>G (In DMSO)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>transE_5_1</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>-888.90736</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-888.94471</td>
<td>28.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transE_5_2</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>-888.909926</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>-888.94528</td>
<td>51.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cisE_5_1</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>-888.910465</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>-888.941968</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cisE_5_2</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>-888.912591</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>-888.944326</td>
<td>18.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$H- and $^{13}$C-NMR chemical shifts were calculated as previously described at the B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p) using DMSO continuum model (PCM) and taking into account the Boltzmann distribution of the two conformers for each isomer, which means transE-1/transE-2: 35%/65%, and cisE-1/cisE-2: 8%/92%. The comparison of calculated chemical shifts and experimental ones of both $^1$H and $^{13}$C (Supplementary Materials, Figure S2 and Table S5), showed that trans isomer is the major compound in DMSO.

The activation energy was evaluated by $^1$H-NMR analysis at increasing temperatures (from 298 K to 388 K) and was evaluated at 17.8 kcal/mol (Supplementary Materials, Table S6) in the same order as for compound 1a.

These observations are important as restricted rotations might have an impact on pharmacological properties.

2.3. Physicochemical Studies of Some Isonicotinoyl Hydrazones

2.3.1. Hydrolytic Stability

Stability studies were carried out in order to confirm that biological activities evidenced for isonicotinoyl hydrazones arise from the tested compounds, and not from the hydrolysis of the imine bond. UV-vis spectrophotometry at the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of absorbance of the related molecule was used to monitor the stability of the most prominent synthesized compounds. The study was carried out for all compounds; here, we present the results for some of them while all other data are in the Supplementary Materials. Table 4 summarizes the conditions used and the stability results observed for 1a–1d, 5 and 7 compounds.

As expected, UV-vis spectroscopy demonstrated that all the tested compounds are stable for a prolonged time (up to 22 h–7 days) and no significant decomposition was observed. Only 5 showed a very small reduction (3%) of the absorbance after 20 h. It is known that hydrazones possess greater
intrinsic hydrolytic stability than that of imines. In addition to the contribution of the NH-N=C in electron delocalization, the resonance forms in acylhyrazones increase the negative-charge on the C=N and thus, reduce its electrophilicity and the affinity to the nucleophile attack from water [74]. Furthermore, the repulsion of the lone pairs of the NH-N can be relieved in the conjugates [75].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Conc. (mol/L)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Stability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>EtOH/5% H2O</td>
<td>6.0 x 10^-5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>22 h</td>
<td>stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>EtOH/1% H2O</td>
<td>6.4 x 10^-5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>15 h</td>
<td>stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>EtOH/0.5% H2O</td>
<td>6.4 x 10^-5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>21 h</td>
<td>stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d</td>
<td>EtOH/0% H2O</td>
<td>6.4 x 10^-5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>28 h</td>
<td>stable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Stability study of hydrazones.

Moreover, the stability of compound 1d was further assessed upon incubation in DMSO, the solvent used for biological assays, by mass spectrometry analysis; in addition, no relevant peaks corresponding to possibly released INH have been detected (Figure S3).

2.3.2. pKa Determination

The acid dissociation constant (K_a, or more commonly expressed by pK_a) is a very important physicochemical parameter in a wide range of research areas, including the development of active molecules due to solubility issues. The pK_a of the molecules studied for stability could also be determined by using UV-vis spectrometry based on the variation of the absorbance as function of the pH due to the presence of chromophores close to the ionization site of the molecules. The molar absorptivity varies according to the conjugation forms that dynamically change when and where the molecule is charged by the effect of pH and protonation/dissociation. As an example, the different protonation states for the hydrazone 1d are shown in Figure 4.

For experimental pK_a determination, the molecules were solubilized in hydroalcoholic solutions at ethanol 28% and the ionic strength was maintained by the addition of KCl (0.1 M). The pH was adjusted with concentrated solutions of KOH and HCl. The UV spectra were recorded at each pH value and, at least three wavelengths were monitored. The absorbance variation was plotted as function of the pH. As an example, the variations on the UV-vis spectra for compound 1d and the resulting plot for six wavelength values are shown in Figure 5a,b, respectively (all other spectra are present in Figures S4–S8). The protonation/dissociation of the molecule is accompanied by a variation in the
absorbance (Figure 5a) and is graphically represented for six wavelength values as function of pH in Figure 5b.

Figure 5. The variations on the UV-vis spectra for compound 1d and the resulting plot for six wavelength values. (a) UV-vis spectra obtained for compound 1d as function of pH variation; (b) Plots of absorbance for six wavelength values (λ/nm) as function of pH for compound 1d.

The inflection points correspond to the change in that protonated/dissociated state of the molecule, and, therefore, the pH at that point amounts to the pK\textsubscript{a}. Thus, by using this method, the pK\textsubscript{a} values of 1d were determined [76]. The same protocol was applied for the other molecules and the resulted pK\textsubscript{a} are presented in Table 5, as an average value from three different λ.

Table 5. pK\textsubscript{a} values determined for the isonicotinoylhydrazones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>1a</th>
<th>1b</th>
<th>1c</th>
<th>1d</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pK\textsubscript{a1}</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pK\textsubscript{a2}</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pK\textsubscript{a3}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^{\text{a}}\) nd for not determined.

An inflection point was always observed close to pH 3, which is related with the protonation of 4-pyridinic nitrogen of isoniazid moiety (pK\textsubscript{a2} of isoniazid = 3.5), and corresponds to pK\textsubscript{a1} of the
hydrazones. The \( pK_{a2} \) of the molecules ranges from 7.7 to 11. It means that they are in dissociated form from milder (1b) to stronger (7) basic conditions.

2.4. Biology of Mechanochemically Synthesized Hydrazones

2.4.1. InhA Inhibition Assay

InhA, the NADH-dependent fatty acid biosynthesis (FAS-II) enoylreductase from \( M. tuberculosis \), has emerged as a promising drug target due to its vital role in synthesis of mycolic acids. InhA is the main target of Isoniazid [77–80]. Recombinant \( M. tb \) InhA was expressed in \( E. coli \) and subsequently purified.

The selected synthetic compounds, corresponding to the phenolic isonicotinoyl hydrazones 1a–d and the corresponding heterocyclic ones 2–11 series, were evaluated in vitro for the inhibition of \( M. tb \) InhA activity at 50 \( \mu M \) by applying a previously described method [81] (Table 6).

Table 6. Enzyme inhibition values for the INH derivatives. Results are expressed as a percentage of InhA inhibition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>% Inhibition at 50 ( \mu M ) (Inhibitor)</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>% Inhibition at 50 ( \mu M ) (Inhibitor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>not soluble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCL</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Considering the four phenolic derivatives 1a–d, the tri-substituted one (1d) presents the better InhA inhibition activity with 64% value at 50 \( \mu M \). Derivatives 1a–c are less potent with 45–54% InhA inhibition range at 50 \( \mu M \). Under these conditions, 99% inhibition is obtained for Triclosan (TCL).

Concerning the heterocyclic isonicotinoyl hydrazones, compounds 2, 3 and 11 were difficult to evaluate due to solubility issues at high concentrations. Compound 5 is a very poor inhibitor (19% InhA inhibition), while compounds 4, 6 and 7 may be considered as poor inhibitors with 32%, 43% and 39% values, respectively. Compound 10 is the derivative exhibiting the highest inhibition of InhA enzyme with 79% at 50 \( \mu M \). In comparing isonicotinoyl derivatives derived from indoles (compounds 6–10), we can notice that those possessing an indol-3-yl frame have the same activities with inhibition values between 32% and 43%. Compounds 6 and 8 differing by one methyl group (position 2 of the indol-3-yl frame) have the same inhibition values. On the contrary, compound 10 possessing an indole-2-yl frame is two-fold more active (79% of inhibition). Concerning the imidazole and indazole derivatives, we might hypothesize that the striking differences in activities could arise by a better positioning of the compounds 2 and 4 guided by their nitrogen atoms on their heterocyclic parts.

2.4.2. Activity of Phenolic Isonicotinoyl Hydrazones (1a–d) against \( M. tb \) Cell Growth

The determination of the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was performed using \( M. tb \) H37Rv strain and INH as control (Table 7).

Table 7. Phenolic isonicotinoyl hydrazones tested as inhibitory agents against \( M. tb \) growth (H37Rv strain).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>MW (g/mol)</th>
<th>MIC (( \mu g/mL ))/(( \mu M ))</th>
<th>LogP</th>
<th>Cpd</th>
<th>MW (g/mol)</th>
<th>MIC (( \mu g/mL ))/(( \mu M ))</th>
<th>LogP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>241.25</td>
<td>0.0125/0.05</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>271.27</td>
<td>0.125/0.46</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>257.24</td>
<td>0.125/0.49</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>301.30</td>
<td>0.125/0.41</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH</td>
<td>137.14</td>
<td>0.025/0.18</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is noteworthy that a series of phenolic hydrazones bearing the four phenolic frames (a–d), previously synthetized by mechanochemical means were already tested against M. tb cell growth [38]. Among the different hydrazines (isoniazid, hydralazine, 2-hydrazino-benzothiazole, 3-aminorhodanine, benzyl carbazate and benzhydrazide), used to form the corresponding hydrazones, only the isonicotinoyl derivatives were active against M. tb growth, whilst the other compounds were not effective (MIC > 30 µM; data not shown, but reported in Reference [39]).

Isonicotinoyl derivatives 1a–d showed good anti-mycobacterial activity with 0.0125 or 0.125 µg/mL MIC values. Among these four, those bearing two or more substituents ortho to the phenolic function presented potent activities with a MIC value of 0.125 µg/mL, which is five times higher than that of INH. Compound 1a, synthesized from p-hydroxybenzaldehyde and INH, is the most potent derivative with a MIC value of 0.0125 µg/mL (0.05 µM), which is 2–4 times lower than that of INH (0.025 µg/mL, 0.18 µM).

2.4.3. M. tb H37Rv Growth Inhibition Assays of Nitrogen Heterocyclic Hydrazones (2–11)

The MIC values of the series of hydrazones, bearing the INH moiety coupled with different N-heterocyclic aldehydes, were also determined (Table 8).

Table 8. MICs of Isoniazid-Nitrogen heterocyclic hydrazones against M. tb H37Rv.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>MW (g/mol)</th>
<th>MIC (µg/mL)/µM</th>
<th>LogP</th>
<th>Cpd</th>
<th>MW (g/mol)</th>
<th>MIC (µg/mL)/µM</th>
<th>LogP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>215.21</td>
<td>0.03/0.14</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>265.27</td>
<td>0.015/0.056</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>229.24</td>
<td>0.03/0.13</td>
<td>−1.37</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>264.28</td>
<td>0.06/0.23</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>265.27</td>
<td>0.06/0.23</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>343.18</td>
<td>0.125/0.36</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>265.27</td>
<td>0.03/0.11</td>
<td>−0.52</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>264.28</td>
<td>0.06/0.23</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>278.31</td>
<td>0.25/0.90</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>309.28</td>
<td>0.25/0.81</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH</td>
<td>137.14</td>
<td>0.05/0.36</td>
<td>−0.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All four imidazole and indazole derivatives (2–5) were 1.5–3 times more effective than isoniazid, while their InhA inhibition activities were much lower to inexistent with activities against M. tb growth, in the range of 0.11–0.23 µM. For indole derivatives, nitro substitution on the aromatic ring (compound 11) or methyl substitution on the indole ring (compound 6), compromised the anti-TB activity. While the bromo derivative 9 had the same activity as INH (0.36 µM), compounds 7, 8 and 10 presented better MIC values than INH. Interestingly, the azaindole derivative 7 showed the highest anti-TB activity in this series and was found as active as the phenolic compound 1a (MIC = 0.015 µg/mL/0.056 µM).

Finally, we can notice that all active compounds here presented, can show different lipophilic values as given by their LogP values, probably supporting the inference that there is no clear relationship between lipophilicity and in vitro activity as pointed also by others [25].

The resistance to the current tested drugs (first- and second-line) remains a very serious problem, mostly resulting from inhA and katG mutations [82] and culminates in the occurrence of M. tb multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains. Owing the good results obtained for the herein studied INH-derived hydrazones, we tested them against a M. tb multidrug-resistant clinical isolate (IC2; resistant to streptomycin, INH, rifampicin, ethambutol, pyrazinamide, ethionamide, and capreomycin) (Table 9). The indazole and indole derivatives were not active against IC2 isolate (MICs > 10 µg/mL), except for the nitro derivative 11. The imidazole containing derivatives 2 and 3 were poor active (MIC = 5–10 µg/mL). By comparing results for compounds 10 and 11, it appears that the nitro substituent improves the activity against IC2 clinical isolate. Interestingly, the phenolic derivatives 1a and 1b presented the best activities against the MDR isolate, with MIC of 2.5 µg/mL (10.36 µM) and 1 µg/mL (3.89 µM), respectively.
Table 9. MIC of isoniazid derivatives against *M. tb* MDR isolate IC2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>MIC (µg/mL)/(µM)</th>
<th>H37Rv</th>
<th>IC2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>0.0125/0.05</td>
<td>2.5/10.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>0.125/0.49</td>
<td>1/3.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>0.125/0.46</td>
<td>&gt;2.5/&gt;9.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d</td>
<td>0.125/0.41</td>
<td>&gt;2.5/&gt;8.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.03/0.14</td>
<td>5/23.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.03/0.13</td>
<td>5–10/21.8–43.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.06/0.23</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.03/0.11</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.25/0.90</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.015/0.056</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.06/0.23</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.125/0.36</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.06/0.23</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.25/0.81</td>
<td>5–10/18.9–37.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH</td>
<td>0.025/0.18</td>
<td>&gt;2/&gt;14.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.4.4. Cytotoxicity and Selectivity Index Determination

Cytotoxicities of all compounds bearing the INH moiety were also evaluated on MRC5 human fibroblast cells. Almost all compounds tested presented LD\(_{50}\) values above 80 µM (Table 10), with the exception of 1b (LD\(_{50}\) = 36.3 µM). The LD\(_{50}\) evaluation is essential to determine the selectivity index (SI), which indicates the best candidates in terms of high biological activity against the target and low cytotoxicity. The SI presented in Table 10 are the ratio between LD\(_{50}\) and the in vitro MIC value against *M. tb* H37Rv previously obtained.

Table 10. Cytotoxicity (LD\(_{50}\)) and selectivity index (SI) for the most active hydrazones against H\(_{37}\)Rv *M. tb*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>LD(_{50}) (µM)</th>
<th>SI</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>LD(_{50}) (µM)</th>
<th>SI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>&gt;1600</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>&gt;727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>&gt;173</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>&gt;1429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>&gt;195</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>&gt;364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>&gt;571</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>&gt;222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>&gt;615</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>&gt;364</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apart from compound 1b, due to its high toxicity, the phenolic hydrazones presented good selectivities higher than 170. A great result was obtained for 1a which has the lowest MIC value (0.0125 µg/mL), LD\(_{50}\) > 80 µM and the highest SI (>1600).

The *N*-heterocyclic INH derivatives 2–11 presented good SI values, with the exception of 6 and 11, which possessed the highest MIC values. Compounds 4, 8, 9 and 10 conducted to comparable SI. Compound 10 must be considered (MIC = 0.06 µg/mL, LD\(_{50}\) = 71.4 µM and SI = 310), mainly due to its InhA inhibition of 78%. Great SI values closer to 600 are found for 2 and 3 but, nonetheless, some reservation must be taken due to the poor solubility of these molecules. Finally, the SI of compound 5 is higher than 727 and 7 is highlighted with MIC = 0.015 µg/mL and an SI higher than 1469.

### 2.4.5. In Search for the Molecular Target of Prepared Hydrazones in Mycobacteria

In order to confirm the enoylreductase InhA as a target of synthesized hydrazones, we analyzed the effect of selected compounds with the best MIC values and cytotoxicity scores on synthesis of
mycolic acids in avirulent strain \textit{M.\textit{tb}} H37Ra. Tested hydrazones, specifically 1a, 3, 5, 7 and 10, as well as INH as control InhA inhibitor, were added to \textit{M.\textit{tb}} H37Ra culture when it reached early mid-log phase of growth and, after subsequent 24 h cultivation, \textsuperscript{14}C acetate was added as a metabolic tracer. TLC analysis of lipid fractions extracted from harvested \textsuperscript{14}C labeled cells revealed that, similar to INH, all of the tested hydrazones abolish the synthesis of trehalose monomycolates and trehalose dimycolates (Figure 6).

\textbf{Figure 6}. TLC analysis of lipids extracted from \textsuperscript{14}C acetate labeled \textit{M.\textit{tb}} H37Ra cells treated with compounds 1a, 3, 5, 7 and 10, INH and DMSO as a control. Lipids were separated in chloroform:methanol:water (20:4:0.5) and detected by autoradiography (TDM: trehalose dimycolates; TMM: trehalose monomycolates; PE: phosphatidylethanolamine; CL: cardiolipin).

Analysis of fatty/mycolic acids isolated from whole \textsuperscript{14}C labeled cells proved that these compounds specifically inhibit synthesis of mycolic acids (Figure 7).

\textbf{Figure 7}. TLC analysis of methyl esters of fatty (FAME) and mycolic (MAME) acids isolated from \textsuperscript{14}C acetate labeled \textit{M.\textit{tb}} H37Ra cells treated with compounds 1a, 3, 5, 7 and 10, INH and DMSO as a control. Different forms of methyl esters were separated in \textit{n}-hexane:ethyl acetate (95:5; 3×) and detected by autoradiography. (α, methoxy, and keto refer to forms of MAMEs).
Next, we overproduced InhA protein in *M. tb* H37Ra and tested sensitivity of overproducer, as well as control strain carrying empty vector against synthesized hydrazones by drop dilution method. Clearly, this testing showed, that MICs of all of tested compounds against *M. tb* H37Ra pMV261-InhA are 5–10× higher comparing to control strain confirming InhA as molecular target of these inhibitors inside mycobacterial cells (Figure 8).

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 8.** Determination of sensitivity of *M. tb* H37Ra pMV261 and *M. tb* H37Ra pMV261-InhA against 1a (Left panel) and 3, 5, 7 and 10 (Right panel) by drop dilution method.

### 3. Materials and Methods

#### 3.1. Material

All chemicals were obtained from Maybridge, TCI, Aldrich or Alfa Aesar, 97–99% and used without further purification. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (1H- and 13C-NMR) were recorded on Bruker AC 300, Avance-400 MHz and Avance-500 spectrometers with DMSO-6 as solvent. Chemical shifts δ were expressed in parts per million (ppm) relative to TMS. Solvent residue signals were used for calibration of spectral data. Mass spectrometry (MS) data were obtained from the “Service Commun de Spectrométrie de masse” of the Plateforme Technique, Institut de Chimie de Toulouse (Toulouse, France). MS were performed using a Waters Quadrupole Time-of-flight mass spectrometer XEVO G2-S QTof. The samples were dissolved in methanol and Electrospray ionization method was used. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a ThermoFinnigan MAT 95 XL spectrometer using electrospray ionization (ESI) methods. Melting points were measured using a Kofler heating bench system Heizbank Type WME (Wagner & Munz GmbH, Munich, Germany), with measuring accuracy of ±1 °C in the range of 50–260 °C. If the melting point was higher than 260 °C or if it could not be exactly determined because of an apparent degradation, the DSC analysis was employed. The analysis was performed in a ATG-DSC 111 (Sertaram). The temperature programming was from 20 °C to 200 or 260 °C according to the sample with a constant rate of 5 °C/min under nitrogen atmosphere.

Fourier Transformed Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis for identification was performed using KBr pellets on a Thermo Nicolet 5700 spectrometer (Thermo-Nicolet, Madison, WI, USA). The main peaks/bands were identified, especially the –C=N– that is attributed to the hydrazone. FTIR studies
with the solid hydrazines as function of temperature were recorded in IN10MX Thermo Scientific FTIR microscope equipped with THMS600 (Linkam Scientific Instruments, Tadworth, Surrey, UK) heating and freezing stage.

UV-vis spectroscopy was performed using a HP (Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, CA, USA) 8452A diode array spectrophotometer from 200 to 400 nm, with ethanol as a solvent at 20 °C and using quartz cells. The molar absorptivity was determined for the wavelength with the highest absorbance through Lambert–Beer’s law with the molar absorptivity $\varepsilon$ in (dm$^3$·mol$^{-1}$·cm$^{-1}$) expressed for the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of the molecule.

3.2. Chemistry

3.2.1. General Procedure for Phenolic Isonicotinoyl Hydrazones Synthesis

The derivatives 1a-d were synthesized as previously described by us [38]. Compounds 1a-1d have also a CAS number: 1a (840-81-3); 1b (13838-18-1); 1c (149-17-7); 1d (315230-80-9).

3.2.2. General Procedure for Isoniazid Nitrogen-Containing Heterocycles Derivatives 2-11

A mixture the solid reactants, INH (1 equivalent), the aldehyde (1 equivalent) and the catalyst (p-TSA, 0.5 equivalent) were placed in milling device and the reaction proceeded between 1 h–2 h, depending on the aldehyde. The Cryomill (Retch) was used for the screening of catalysts (milling started at the room temperature) at 25 Hz during 1 h. After the choice of the catalyst (p-TSA), all the reactions were carried out in the vibratory ball-mill Pulverisette 0 (Fritsch, Germany) equipped with a single stainless steel ball of 50 mm of diameter and 500 g, in a semi-spherical vessel of 9.5 cm of diameter. The plate vibrates with a frequency of 50 Hz and amplitude of 2.0 mm. The amounts of reactant powder were stoichiometric conditions for reactants totalizing 1 g + the amount of catalyst. The transformation was monitored by TLC. After the reaction time, the powder mixture was washed with a NaHCO$_3$ solution to eliminate the catalyst and the powder was dried under vacuum.

1H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) $\delta$ ppm: 8.15 (d, $J = 1.4$ Hz, 1H, H$_{7'}$), 8.36 (dd, $J = 6.6$, 1.5 Hz, 2H, H$_{2,6}$), 8.52 (s, 1H, H-C=N), 9.10 (dd, $J = 6.6$, 1.5 Hz, 2H, H$_{3,5}$), 9.21 (d, $J = 1.3$ Hz, 1H, H$_{5'}$), 15.67 (s, 2H, N-H).

13C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ ppm: 122.02 (1C, C$_{5'}$), 125.10 (2C, C$_{2,6}$), 125.10 (1C, C$_{3'}$), 130.87 (1C, C=N), 136.80 (1C, C$_{3'}$), 141.77 (2C, C$_{3,5}$), 146.91 (1C, C$_{1}$), 160.14 (1C, C=O). 126.78 (2C, C$_{2,6}$), 134.36 (1C, C$_{5'}$), 143.08 (2C, C$_{3,5}$).

FTIR (KBr) $\nu$ cm$^{-1}$: 3195.69 (N-H), 3038.08 (C-H$_{ar}$), 1648.96 (C=O), 1626.02 (C-N-N), 1596.86 (C=Car), 1551.04 (C$_{ar}$-N), 1506.46 (C=N).

UV (EtOH, 182 $\mu$M, 25 °C): $\lambda = 309$ nm, $\varepsilon = 5495.05$ dm$^3$·mol$^{-1}$·cm$^{-1}$ (very poorly soluble).

MS (ES, TOF, MeOH) $m/z$: 238.0708 [M + Na$^+$]; 216.0887 [M + H$^+$].

HRMS (ES, TOF) $m/z$: M + H$^+$ calc. for C$_{10}$H$_{10}$N$_5$O: 216.0885. Found: 216.0887.
(E)-N’-((4-methyl-1H-imidazol-5-yl)methylene)isonicotinohydrazide (3)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{m.p.:} & \quad 299 ^\circ\text{C (dec.)}. \quad R_f: 0.1 \text{ EtOAc/MeOH (4:1 v/v)}. \\
1H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-\text{d}_6) \delta \text{ ppm:} & \quad 7.63 (d, J = 8.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H, H_7), 7.84 (dd, J = 4.4, 1.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H, H_{2,6}), 7.90 (dd, J = 8.8, 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1H, H_8), 8.03–8.10 (m, 1H, H_7'), 8.17 (t, J = 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H, H_6'), 8.57 (s, 1H, H-C=N), 8.79 (s, 2H, H_{3,5}), 12.01 (s, 1H, N-H), 13.31 (s, 1H, N-H_{ind}). \\
13C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO) \delta \text{ ppm:} & \quad 111.39 (1C, C_3), 121.98 (2C, C_{2,6}), 122.73 (1C, C_7'), 123.35 (1C, C_\gamma'), 124.19 (1C, C_2'), 127.23 (1C, C_7), 134.99 (1C, C_\gamma), 141.07 (1C, C_1), 141.14 (1C, C_\gamma), 150.31 (1C, C=N), 150.76 (2C, C_{3,5}), 161.87 (1C, C-O). \\
\text{FTIR (KBr) } \nu \text{ cm}^{-1}: & \quad 3188.96 (\text{C=Car}), 3027.37 (\text{C=Car}), 1652 (\text{C=O}), 1622.47 (\text{C=N-N}), 1607.84 (\text{C=O}). \\
\text{UV (EtOH, 316 nm):} & \quad \lambda = 316 \text{ nm}, \varepsilon = 5551.73 \text{ dm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-1} \text{(very poorly soluble).} \\
\text{HRMS (ES, TOF) } m/z: & \quad 252.0866 [\text{M} + \text{Na}^+]; 230.1049 [\text{M} + \text{H}^+]. \\
\end{align*}
\]

(E)-N’-((3a,7a-dihydro-1H-indazol-5-yl)methylene)isonicotinohydrazide (4)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{m.p.:} & \quad 302.5 ^\circ\text{C}. \quad R_f: 0.45 \text{ PE/EtOAc/MeOH (5:5:3 v/v/v)}. \\
1H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-\text{d}_6) \delta \text{ ppm:} & \quad 7.61 (d, J = 8.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H, H_7), 7.84 (dd, J = 4.4, 1.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H, H_{2,6}), 7.90 (dd, J = 8.8, 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1H, H_8), 8.03–8.10 (m, 1H, H_7'), 8.17 (t, J = 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H, H_6'), 8.57 (s, 1H, H-C=N), 8.79 (s, 2H, H_{3,5}), 12.01 (s, 1H, N-H), 13.31 (s, 1H, N-H_{ind}). \\
13C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO) \delta \text{ ppm:} & \quad 111.39 (1C, C_3), 121.98 (2C, C_{2,6}), 122.73 (1C, C_7'), 123.35 (1C, C_\gamma'), 124.19 (1C, C_2'), 127.23 (1C, C_7), 134.99 (1C, C_\gamma), 141.07 (1C, C_1), 141.14 (1C, C_\gamma), 150.31 (1C, C=N), 150.76 (2C, C_{3,5}), 161.87 (1C, C-O). \\
\text{FTIR (KBr) } \nu \text{ cm}^{-1}: & \quad 3188.96 (\text{C=Car}), 3027.37 (\text{C=Car}), 1652 (\text{C=O}), 1622.47 (\text{C=N-N}), 1607.84 (\text{C=O}). \\
\text{UV (EtOH, 38.37 } \mu\text{M, 25 } ^\circ\text{C):} & \quad \lambda = 234 \text{ nm}, \varepsilon = 22,666.92 \text{ dm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-1}. \\
\text{HRMS (ES, TOF) } m/z: & \quad 266.1046 [\text{M} + \text{H}^+]. \\
\text{HRMS (ES, TOF) } m/z: & \quad [\text{M} + \text{H}^+] \text{ calc. for C}_{14}\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_3\text{O}: 266.1042. \text{ Found: 266.1046.}
\end{align*}
\]
(E)-N′-((2H-indazol-6-yl)methylene)isonicotinohydrazide (5)

```
\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{diagram5.png}
\caption{(E)-N′-((2H-indazol-6-yl)methylene)isonicotinohydrazide (5)}
\end{figure}
```

m.p.: 295.2 °C. Rf: 0.45 PE/EtOAc/MeOH (5:5:3 v/v/v).

1H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ ppm: 7.61 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H, H9), 7.84 (m, 4H, H2,6, H2′, H6′), 8.13 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H, H5′), 8.60 (s, 1H, H-C=N), 8.80 (br, 2H, H3,5), 12.12 (s, 1H, N-H), 13.28 (s, 1H, N-Hind).

13C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 110.75 (1C, C2), 119.03 (1C, C9), 121.51 (2C, C2,6), 122.01 (1C, C6′), 124.39 (1C, C8), 132.43 (1C, C7′), 134.21 (1C, C9′), 140.33 (1C, C1), 140.95 (1C, C1′), 150.03 (1C, C=N), 157.79 (2C, C3,5), 162.08 (1C, C=O).

FTIR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3193.59 (N-H), 3038.08 (C-H ar), 1648.96 (C=O), 1626.02 (C=N-N), 1596.86 (C=N). UV (EtOH, 37.39 μM, 25 °C): λ = 313 nm, ε = 25,055.1 dm³·mol⁻¹·cm⁻¹.

MS (ES, TOF, MeOH) m/z: 266.1047 [M + H⁺].

HRMS (ES, TOF) m/z: [M + H⁺] calc. for C14H12N5O: 266.1042. Found: 266.1046.

Compound 6 can also be found (commercial source; CAS No.: 113143-57-0).

(E)-N′-((2-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)isonicotinohydrazide (6)

```
\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{diagram6.png}
\caption{(E)-N′-((2-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)isonicotinohydrazide (6)}
\end{figure}
```

m.p.: 281.1 °C. Rf: 0.55 PE/EtOAc/MeOH (5:5:3 v/v/v).

1H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ ppm: 2.54 (s, 3H, CH3), 7.12 (ddt, J = 24.4, 9.3, 1.9 Hz, 2H, H5′, H6′), 7.35 (tt, J = 2.4, 1.7, 0.9, 0.8 Hz, 1H, H4′), 7.85 (dd, J = 4.5, 1.8 Hz, 2H, H2,6), 8.16–8.32 (m, 1H, H9), 8.71 (s, 1H, H-C=N), 8.78 (dd, J = 4.4, 1.6 Hz, 2H, H3,5), 11.55 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H, N-H), 11.67 (s, 1H, N-Hind).

13C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 11.97 (1C, C3), 107.84 (1C, C7), 111.34 (1C, C4), 120.82 (1C, C9), 121.63 (1C, C5′), 121.87 (2C, C2,6), 122.34 (1C, C6′), 125.85 (1C, C9′), 136.19 (1C, C1′), 141.05 (1C, C2′), 141.56 (1C, C1), 146.10 (1C, C=N), 150.69 (2C, C3,5), 161.00 (1C, C=O).

FTIR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3385.07 (N-H), 3209.03 (N-H), 3049.08 (Car-H), 1655.09 (C=O), 1626.02 (C=N-N), 1599.50 (C=N), 1550.60 (C=O), 1506.46 (C=N). UV (EtOH, 57.29 μM, 25 °C): λ = 224 nm, ε = 21,909.58 dm³·mol⁻¹·cm⁻¹.

MS (ES, TOF, MeOH) m/z: 279.1246 [M + H⁺].


Compound 6 can also be found (commercial source; CAS No.: 113143-57-0).
(E)-N’-((1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-3-yl)methylene)isonicotinohydrazide (7)

\[ \text{m.p.: } 323.2 \, ^\circ \text{C (dec.)}. \text{ Rf: 0.34 PE/EtAc/MeOH (5:5:3 v/v/v).} \]

\[ ^{1}\text{H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d}_6 \] δ ppm: 7.74 (tt, \( J = 7.8, 4.7, 4.7 \) Hz, 1H, H\(_g\)), 7.84 (dd, \( J = 4.2, 1.7 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_2,6\)), 8.03 (d, \( J = 2.3 \) Hz, 1H, H\(_{6'}\)), 8.33 (dd, \( J = 4.7, 1.7 \) Hz, 1H, H\(_{7'}\)), 8.58 (d, \( J = 1.6 \) Hz, 1H, H\(_{7}\)), 8.62 (s, 1H, H-C=N), 8.78 (dd, \( J = 4.4, 1.7 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_{3,5}\)), 11.86 (s, 1H, N-H), 12.17 (s, 1H, N-H\(_{\text{Ind}}\)).

\[ ^{13}\text{C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d}_6 \] δ ppm: 110.85 (1C, C\(_1\)), 117.13 (1C, C\(_{\text{ar}}\)), 117.44 (1C, C\(_{\text{C}}\)), 121.95 (2C, C\(_{2,6}\)), 130.56 (1C, C\(_{\text{C}}\)), 131.51 (1C, C\(_{\text{C}}\)), 143.08 (2C, C\(_{3,5}\)), 144.56 (1C, C\(_{\text{C}}\)), 146.09 (1C, C=N), 149.84 (1C, C\(_{\text{C}}\)), 150.71 (2C, C\(_{3,5}\)), 151.49 (1C, C=O).

FTIR (KBr) ν cm\(^{-1}\): 3454.03 (N-H), 3199.51 (N-H), 3031.09 (Car-H), 1662.68 (C=O), 1611.72 (C=N-N), 1607.84 (N=O).

MS (ES, TOF, MeOH) \( m/z \): 266.1045 \([\text{M} + \text{H}]^+\). HRMS (ES, TOF) \( m/z \): \([\text{M} + \text{H}]^+\) calc. for C\(_{15}\)H\(_{13}\)N\(_5\)O: 265.1089. Found: 265.1087.

3-(2,5-Dimethyl-3-furyl)isonicotinohydrazide (8)

\[ \text{m.p.: } 242 ^\circ \text{C.} \text{ Rf: 0.55 PE/EtAc/MeOH (5:5:3 v/v/v).} \]

\[ ^{1}\text{H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d}_6 \] δ ppm: 7.11–7.28 (m, 2H, H\(_{5',6'}\)), 7.47 (dt, \( J = 7.9, 0.9 \) Hz, 1H, H\(_{4'}\)), 7.85 (dd, \( J = 4.4, 1.6 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_{2,6}\)), 7.88 (d, \( J = 2.8 \) Hz, 1H, H\(_{2'}\)), 8.31 (dd, \( J = 6.8, 1.5 \) Hz, 1H, H\(_{7'}\)), 8.65 (s, 1H, H-C=N), 8.78 (dd, \( J = 4.4, 1.6 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_{3,5}\)), 11.65 (s, 1H, N-H), 11.76 (s, 1H, N-H\(_{\text{Ind}}\)).

\[ ^{13}\text{C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d}_6 \] δ ppm: 111.96 (1C, C\(_1\)), 112.34 (1C, C\(_{\text{C}}\)), 121.00 (1C, C\(_{\text{C}}\)), 121.95 (2C, C\(_{2,6}\)), 122.44 (1C, C\(_{\text{C}}\)), 123.17 (1C, C\(_{\text{C}}\)), 123.35 (1C, C\(_{\text{C}}\)), 124.77 (1C, C\(_{\text{C}}\)), 131.36 (1C, C\(_{\text{C}}\)), 137.53 (1C, C\(_{\text{C}}\)), 141.54 (1C, C\(_1\)), 146.63 (1C, C=N), 150.69 (2C, C\(_{3,5}\)), 161.33 (1C, C=O).

FTIR (KBr) ν cm\(^{-1}\): 3543.66 (N-H), 3395.82 (N-H), 2886.55 (C-Har), 1656.52 (C=O), 1626.02 (C=N-N), 1598.83 (C=O), 1550.54 (Car−, N−N), 1496.83 (C=N).

UV (EtOH, 40.01 μM, 25 °C): λ = 221 nm, ε = 22,519 dm\(^3\)·mol\(^{-1}\)·cm\(^{-1}\).

MS (ES, TOF, MeOH) \( m/z \): 265.1092 \([\text{M} + \text{H}]^+\). HRMS (ES, TOF) \( m/z \): \([\text{M} + \text{H}]^+\) calc. for C\(_{15}\)H\(_{13}\)N\(_4\)O: 265.1089. Found: 265.1092.

Compound 8 can also be found (commercial source; CAS No.: 10245-44-0).
(E)-N’-((5-bromo-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)isonicotinohydrazide (9)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{m.p.:} & \quad 309.3^\circ\text{C (dec.). } R_f: 0.61 \text{ PE/EtAc/MeOH (5:5:3 v/v).} \\
\text{1H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d}_6\text{) \(\delta \text{ ppm:} & \quad 7.35 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H_\beta), 7.44 (d, J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, 1H, H_4'), \\
& \quad 7.84 (dd, J = 4.5, 1.9 \text{ Hz}, 2H, H_2,6), 7.95 (d, J = 2.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H, H_3), 8.48 (s, 1H, H_2), 8.62 (s, 1H, H-C=N), \\
& \quad 8.73-8.89 \text{ (m, 2H, H}_3,5\text{), 11.83 (d, J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H, N-H).} \\
\text{13C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO)} \text{) \(\delta \text{ ppm:} & \quad 111.59 (1C, C_1), 121.98 (2C, C_2,6), 122.73 (1C, C_7), 125.71 (1C, C_5'), 132.71 (1C, C_7'), 133.28 (1C, C_1), 141.38 (1C, C_1), \\
& \quad 144.03 (2C, C_3,5), 147.78 (1C, C_1), 159.52 (1C, C=O). \\
\text{FTIR (KBr) \(\nu \text{ cm}^{-1}:} & \quad 3250.19 (N-H), 3032.16 (C-H ar), 1648.96 (C=O), 1626.02 (C=N-N), 1596.86 \\
& \quad 1551.49 (C=O), 1552.13 (C=O). \\
\text{UV (EtOH, 52.65 \mu M, 25 ^\circ\text{C):} & \quad \lambda = 206 \text{ nm, } \epsilon = 27,480 \text{ dm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}, \lambda = 350 \text{ nm,} \\
& \quad \epsilon = 33,825 \text{ dm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}.} \\
\text{MS (ES, TOF, MeOH) \(m/z:} & \quad 343.0194 \text{ [M + H+] calc. for C}_{15}\text{H}_{13}\text{N}_4\text{O: 343.0193. Found: 343.0194.} \\
\text{X-Ray structure of this compound has been recently reported [83].}
\end{align*}
\]

(E)-N’-((1H-indol-2-yl)methylene)isonicotinohydrazide (10)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{m.p.:} & \quad 231^\circ\text{C (dec.). } R_f: 0.82 \text{ PE/EtAc/MeOH (5:5:3 v/v).} \\
\text{1H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d}_6\text{) \(\delta \text{ ppm:} & \quad 7.91 (d, J = 2.1, 0.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.02 (dd, J = 8.0, 7.0, 1.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.18 (dd, J = 8.3, 7.0, 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), \\
& \quad 7.47 (dq, J = 8.2, 0.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.58 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.86 \\
& \quad (dd, J = 4.4, 1.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 8.51 (s, 1H), 8.81 (dd, J = 4.4, 1.7 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 11.65 (s, 1H), 12.05 (s, 1H). \\
\text{13C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO)} \text{) \(\delta \text{ ppm:} & \quad 107.98 (1C, C_2'), 112.57 (1C, C_3'), 120.06 (1C, C_4'), 121.31 (1C, C_6'), \\
& \quad 122.01 (2C, C_2,6), 128.05 (1C, C_7'), 133.28 (1C, C_1'), 138.47 (1C, C_8'), 142.16 (1C, C=N), 150.79 (2C, C_3,5), \\
& \quad 161.84 (1C, C=O). \\
\text{FTIR (KBr) \(\nu \text{ cm}^{-1}:} & \quad 3250.19 (N-H), 3032.16 (C-H ar), 1648.96 (C=O), 1626.02 (C=N-N), 1596.86 \\
& \quad 1551.49 (C=O), 1552.13 (C=O). \\
\text{UV (EtOH, 52.65 \mu M, 25 ^\circ\text{C):} & \quad \lambda = 206 \text{ nm, } \epsilon = 27,480 \text{ dm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}, \lambda = 350 \text{ nm,} \\
& \quad \epsilon = 33,825 \text{ dm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}.} \\
\text{MS (ES, TOF, MeOH) \(m/z:} & \quad 265.1090 \text{ [M + H+] calc. for C}_{15}\text{H}_{13}\text{N}_4\text{O: 265.1089. Found: 265.1090.} \\
\text{X-Ray structure of this compound has been recently reported [83].}
\end{align*}
\]
(E)-N′-((4-nitro-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)isonicotinohydrazide (11)

m.p.: 317.1 °C (dec.). Rf: 0.70 PE/EtOAc/MeOH (5:5:3 v/v/v).

**1H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ ppm:** 7.36 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, H2′), 7.84 (dd, J = 4.4, 1.7 Hz, 2H, H2,6), 7.94 (dd, J = 11.8, 7.9 Hz, 2H, H4′,6′), 8.28 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H, H2′), 8.79 (s, 2H, H3,5), 12.01 (s, 1H, N-H), 13.31 (s, 1H, N-Hind).

**13C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ ppm:** 146.43 (1C, C=N), 150.66 (2C, C3,5), 161.56 (1C, C=O).

**UV spectra** were recorded with HP8453 (Agilent) temperature controlled spectrophotometer.

**Physicochemical studies:** hydrolytic stability and pK\textsubscript{a} determination:

UV spectra were recorded with HP8453 (Agilent) temperature controlled spectrophotometer.

The pHs of the solutions were measured at room temperature (temperature probe) with a combined pH electrode with Seven Multi (Mettler Toledo) pHmeter.

### 3.3.1. Hydrolytic Stability

In the case of compounds 1a, 1b, 1c and 1d, a small quantity (respectively, 1.45 mg, 1.63 mg, 1.87 mg, and 1.79 mg) of each product was weighted and dissolved in 1 mL of EtOH. The aliquots were then placed in 100 mL standard flask with a concentration of 28% EtOH/H\textsubscript{2}O. Final concentrations of compounds were respectively 1a: 6.0 × 10\textsuperscript{-5} mol/L, 1b: 6.3 × 10\textsuperscript{-5} mol/L, 1c: 6.0 × 10\textsuperscript{-5} mol/L and 1d: 5.9 × 10\textsuperscript{-5} mol/L.

In the case of compounds 5 and 7, a small quantity (respectively, 1.65 mg and 1.20 mg) of each product was weighted and dissolved in 4 mL of EtOH. A fraction of this solution (respectively 1.30 mL and 1.36 mL) were placed in 50 mL standard flask and mixed with PIPES buffer (50 mM) with a final concentration of 5% EtOH/PIPES.

The solution was stirred at room temperature; pH values and \( \lambda \max \) of absorbance of the related compounds were measured for longer than 15 h.

### 3.3.2. pK\textsubscript{a} Determination

A small quantity (1–2 mg) of each product was weighted and dissolved in a 1 mL of EtOH. The aliquots were then placed in 100 mL standard flask with a final concentration of 28% EtOH/H\textsubscript{2}O. The ionic strength was fixed at 0.1 M with potassium chloride. The pH was adjusted to the required value by adding concentrated 0.1 M KOH or 0.1 M HCl. The solution was stirred at room temperature.

The absorbance at three different wavelengths was measured. Absorbance vs. pH curves were plotted and the pK\textsubscript{a} values were evaluated graphically by geometric method [84].
3.3.3. DMSO stability of Compound 1d

The stability of compound 1a in DMSO was assessed at 25 °C. To this purpose, the compound was dissolved in DMSO, at a final concentration of 10 mM, and incubated for 16 h at 25 °C. The compound was then diluted in methanol, and analysed. The mass spectra were recorded in negative ESI resolution mode with a Thermo LTQ-XL mass spectrometer, and compared with those of compound 1a and of INH freshly dissolved in DMSO (10 mM) and diluted in methanol.

3.4. Biological Assays

3.4.1. Inhibition Kinetics in the Presence of InhA

Inhibition kinetic was performed as described [81,85].

3.4.2. MIC Determination in M.tb

H37Rv strain was used as the reference strain. M.tb H37Rv and IC2 clinical isolate [31] were grown at 37 °C in Middlebrook 7H9 broth (Difco), supplemented with 0.05% Tween 80, or on solid Middlebrook 7H11 medium (Difco) supplemented with oleic acid-albumin-dextrose-catalase (OADC). MICs for the compounds were determined by means of the micro-broth dilution method. Dilutions of M.tb wild-type or mutant strain (about 10^5–10^6 cfu/mL) were streaked onto 7H11 solid medium containing a range of drug concentrations (0.125 µg/mL to 40 µg/mL). Plates were incubated at 37 °C for about 21 days and the growth was visually evaluated. The lowest drug dilution at which visible growth failed to occur was taken as the MIC value. Results were expressed as the average of at least three independent determinations.

3.4.3. Determination of LC_{50}

Human primary fibroblast (MRC5 ATCC CCL171) were seeded at 5 k/well in a corning Cell bind 96-well plate and treated with growing concentration of compounds. Seventy-two hours post-treatment, cells were directly stained with Hoechst 33342 and imaged under a Cellomics Array scan HCS microscope using the cell cycle algorithm. Total number of cells were assessed in each condition and normalized over untreated wells. LC_{50} were determined as concentration inducing 50% reduction in cell number.

3.4.4. Analysis of the Effect of Hydrazones on Lipids and Mycolic Acids of M.tb H37Ra

The modes of actions of selected hydrazones were analyzed by metabolic labeling of M.tb H37Ra strain with ^{14}C acetate as already described [34]. Briefly, M.tb H37Ra culture was grown in Middlebrook 7H9 broth (Difco) supplemented with albumin-dextrose-catalase (Difco) and 0.05% Tween 80 (MP Biomedicals) at 37 °C till OD_{600} reached 0.24. The culture was then divided into 20 mL aliquots and tested compounds dissolved in DMSO were added in 0.44 µM (0.1 µg/mL) and 2.05 µM (0.5 µg/mL) final concentrations for 1a, 0.44 µM (0.1 µg/mL) and 2.2 µM (0.5 µg/mL) for 3, 0.38 µM (0.1 µg/mL) and 1.9 µM (0.5 µg/mL) for 5, 0.38 µM (0.1 µg/mL) and 1.9 µM (0.5 µg/mL) for 7, 0.38 µM (0.1 µg/mL) and 1.9 µM (0.5 µg/mL) for 10 and 36.5 µM (5 µg/mL) for INH. The final concentration of DMSO was kept at 1% in each experiment. ^{14}C acetate (specific activity 106 mCi/mmol, ARC) in the final concentration of 0.5 µCi/mL was added to each of the cultures after 24 h of cultivation with shaking (120 rpm) and the cells were cultivated for next 24 h.

Lipids were extracted from whole cells harvested from 10 mL culture aliquots as described earlier [34,86], dissolved in chloroform:methanol (2:1)—350 µL per 1 unit of OD_{600} of harvested cells. Five microliters were loaded on thin-layer chromatography (TLC) silica gel plates F254 (Merck) and the lipids were separated in chloroform:methanol:water (20:4:0.5) and detected by autoradiography. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) and mycolic acids methyl esters (MAME) were prepared from whole cells harvested from 10 mL culture aliquots as previously described [34,87]. Dried extracts were dissolved
in chloroform:methanol (2:1) and loaded on TLC plates as described for lipid extracts. Different forms of methyl esters were separated by running three times in n-hexane: ethyl acetate (95:5) and detected by autoradiography.

3.4.5. Determination of Sensitivity of \textit{M.\,tb} H37Ra Strain Overproducing InhA to Hydrazones

InhA protein was overproduced in \textit{M.\,tb} H37Ra using pMV261-InhA construct as already described [88]. Sensitivity of InhA overproducing strain, as well as control strain carrying empty vector to compounds 1a, 3, 5, 7 and 10 was analysed by drop dilution methods. Both cultures grown in 7H9 broth supplemented with albumin-dextrose-catalase and 0.05% Tween 80 were adjusted to OD$_{600}$ 0.5 and 4 µL aliquots of $10^0$, $10^{-1}$, $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-3}$ dilutions were dropped on 7H11 agar supplemented with oleic acid-albumin-dextrose-catalase and incubated 25 days at 37°C.

4. Conclusions

A series of hydrazones were synthesized through mechanochemistry and evaluated for their ability to inhibit \textit{M.\,tb} H37Rv strain growth, the most active being 1a and 7. Imidazole derivative 5 and indazole one 10 are also active against H37Rv with their nitro substituent. Compounds 1a and 1b were the most effective against both \textit{M.\,tb} H37Rv strain and drug-resistant IC2 isolate.

The mechanism of anti-mycobacterial activities of selected hydrazones with the best scores regarding the MICs and toxicities was confirmed to be through the cessation of mycolic acid synthesis due to InhA inhibition inside the mycobacterial cell.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary Materials are available online.

Acknowledgments: We thank the CNRS and University Paul Sabatier for financial support. We thank the Minister of Industry for Ph.D. grant (P.F.M.O.); William R. Jacobs for pMV261-InhA construct and grant VEGA 1/0284/15. We thank F. Gaillardo (NeoVirTech, ITAV, Centre P. Potier, Toulouse) for the cytotoxicity evaluation of the hydrazone compounds.

Author Contributions: P.F.M.O.: Ph.D. student synthetic experiments; B.G., A.C. and M.Baron (co-director of P.F.M.O. thesis): supervised the synthetic part; C.A.B.: NMR and DFT studies; G.M. and B.S.O. performed the MIC experiments; L.R.C. performed MS; M.R.P. directed this part; J.M., J.K.: analysis on lipids and mycolic acids, J.K. directed this part; C.L.: InhA inhibition experiments; C.C.: hydrolytic stability and pH$_{50}$ determination; S.M.: NMR experiments; M.Baltas: conceive and direct the project, co-direct the P.F.M.O. thesis. All authors contributed in writing the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References


32. Menendez, C.; Mori, G.; Maillot, M.; Fabing, I. Synthesis and evaluation of β-hydroxytriazoles and related compounds as antitubercular agents. French-Ukrainian J. Chem. 2015, 3, 82–96. [CrossRef]


42. Watanabe, H.; Hiraoka, R.; Senna, M. A Diels-Alder reaction catalyzed by eutectic complexes autogenously formed from solid state phenols and quinones. Tetrhedron Lett. 2006, 47, 4481–4484. [CrossRef]

44. Zhang, Z.; Dong, Y.-W.; Wang, G.-W.; Komatsu, K. Highly efficient mechanochemical reactions of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds with chalcones and azachalcones catalyzed by potassium carbonate. *Synlett* 2004, 61–64. [CrossRef]


54. Mikhailenko, M.A.; Shakhtsneider, T.P.; Boldyrev, V.V. Acylation of sulfa-thiazole with maleic anhydride under mechanochemical activation. *Mendelev Commun.* 2007, 17, 315–317. [CrossRef]


67. Sharma, V.; Kumar, P.; Pathak, D. Biological importance of the indole nucleus in recent years: A comprehensive review. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2010, 47, 491–502. [CrossRef]
72. Patorski, P.; Wyrzykiewicz, E.; Bartkowiak, G. Synthesis and conformational assignment of N-(E)-stilbenyloxymethyleneacarbonyl-substituted hydrazones of acetone and o- (m-and p-) chloro- (nitro-) benzaldehydes by means of and NMR spectroscopy. J. Spectrosc. 2013, 1–12. [CrossRef]
84. Mochon, M. Salicylaldehyde-1-phthalazinohydrazone as an analytical reagent. Talanta 1986, 33, 627–630. [CrossRef]


**Sample Availability:** Samples of the compounds 1a–d, 2–11 are available from the authors.