Inositol Derivatives and Phenolic Compounds from the Roots of *Taraxacum coreanum*
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Abstract: In this study, the characterization of chemical constituents and biological activity of the roots of *Taraxacum coreanum* (Asteraceae) was attempted. Phytochemical investigation of the roots of *T. coreanum* led to the isolation of two new inositol derivatives, taraxinositols A (1) and B (2), and a new phenolic compound, taraxinol (16), together with twenty known compounds including four inositol derivatives, neo-inositol-1,4-bis (4-hydroxybenzeneacetate) (3), chiro-inositol-1,5-bis(4-hydroxybenzeneacetate) (4), chiro-inositol-2,3-bis (4-hydroxybenzeneacetate) (5) and chiro-inositol-1,2,3-tris (4-hydroxybenzeneacetate) (6), nine phenolic compounds: *p*-hydroxybenzaldehyde (7), vanillin (8), syringaldehyde (9), vanillic acid (10), 4-methoxyphenylacetic acid (11), 4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid methyl ester (12), optivanin (13), isofurulic acid (14) and dihydroconiferyl alcohol (15), four coumarins: nodakenetin (17), decursinol (18), prangol (19) and isobyakangelicin (20), and three lignans: syringaresinol-4′-O-β-D-glucoside (21), syringaresinol (22), and pinoresinol (23). The structures of isolated compounds were determined on the basis of spectroscopic analysis. Among the isolated compounds, vanillic acid, isofurulic acid and syringaresinol showed radical scavenging activity with IC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from 30.4 to 75.2 µM.
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1. Introduction

Plants of the genus *Taraxacum* are perennial herbs of the Asteraceae family. They are commonly called as dandelions and are widespread throughout the temperate climate regions. They are regarded as non-toxic edible herbs, and thus consumed as foods and food products. Recently they are also being for nutrition and medicinal purposes due to their diverse health-promoting effects [1]. Traditionally, they have been used to relieve inflammation and rheumatism [2]. Investigation of these species also revealed other potential beneficial effects such as antioxidant, neuroprotective, and hepatoprotective activities [3–6]. Phytochemical studies have reported the presence of diverse constituents from leaves, roots and flowers of *Taraxacum* species. Sesquiterpene lactones together with phenylpropanoids and terpenoids are known to be responsible for the diverse biological activities of dandelion [7–11].

Oxidative stress is well known for its harmful effects on health. It contributes to diverse diseases such as cancer, inflammation, neurodegenerative diseases and diabetes, as well as fatigue and senescence [12–14]. Although our body has defense systems against oxidative stress, overproduction of reactive oxygen species causes detrimental effects. Therefore, many investigators have been focused on the development of potent antioxidant materials. Plants are suggested as good candidates due to the diversity of compounds they contain [15–17].

In the present study, EtOAc and CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> fractions of *T. coreanum* showed antioxidant activity in a DPPH radical scavenging assay. In a continuation of our research on bioactive natural
products, extensive chromatographic separation was conducted for the isolation of constituents from *T. coreanum* roots. As a result, two new inositol derivatives, taraxinositols A (1) and B (2), and a new phenolic compound, taraxinol (16), were isolated from the roots of *T. coreanum*, together with twenty known compounds, including four inositol derivatives 3–6, nine phenolic compounds 7–15, four coumarins 17–20 and three lignans 21–23. The antioxidant activity of the isolated compounds was also investigated.

2. Results

2.1. Structure Elucidation of the New Compounds

2.1.1. Taraxinositol A (1)

Compound 1 was obtained as a brown syrup and its molecular formula 
C_{22}H_{24}O_{10} was determined by an HRESIMS ion at m/z 471.1261 ([M + Na]^+), calcd. 471.1267. Its IR spectrum showed absorption bands at 1685 and 3321 cm\(^{-1}\), indicating the presence of carbonyl and hydroxyl groups, respectively. Considering the HRESIMS molecular formula, the \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectrum only showed 11 carbon resonances, which suggested 1 as a symmetric structure. The presence of \(p\)-hydroxyphenylacetic acid was deduced from the signals for 1,4-disubstituted aromatic rings at \([\delta_H 6.73 (4H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-3', 5', 3''', 5''''), 7.03 (4H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-2', 6', 2'', 6'''); \delta_C 124.9 (C-1', 1''), 130.1 (C-2', 6', 2'', 6''), 114.8 (C-3', 5', 3''', 5'''), 156.1 (C-4', 4'')]\) methylene signals at \([\delta_H 3.29 (2H, d, J = 15.5 Hz, H-7'a, 7''a)), 3.41 (2H, d, J = 15.5 Hz, H-7'b, 7''b); \delta_C 39.6 (C-7', 7'')]\) and carbonyl signal at \([\delta_C 172.3 (C-8', 8'')] in the \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectrum, which was confirmed by HMBC correlations between H-7'/7'' and C-2'/2'', 8'/8'' and H-3'/3'' and C-4'/4''. Additionally, six hydroxymethine signals were observed at \([\delta_H 3.93 (2H, dt, J = 7.0. 2.5 Hz, H-1, 4), 3.95 (2H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-2, 5), 5.22 (2H, dd, J = 7.0. 2.5 Hz, H-3, 6); \delta_C 69.1 (C-1, 4), 72.1 (C-2, 5), 73.3 (C-3, 6)]\), which suggested 1 was an inositol derivative [7]. The relatively large coupling constant of 7.0 Hz \((J_{1,6', J_{3,4}}) suggested two pairs of \(trans\)-axial protons (H-1, 6 and H-2, 5). On the other hand, the vicinal axial-equatorial protons (H-1, 2, H-2, 3, H-4, 5 and H-5, 6) showed small coupling constants of less than 3 Hz. Based on the multiplicities and coupling constants, the stereochemistry of 1 has been deduced as a neo-inositol [3]. Linkages of the \(p\)-hydroxyphenylacetic acid moieties to the inositol unit were determined from HMBC correlations between H-3/6 and C-8. In addition, chemical shift of H-3/6 (\(\delta_H = 8.5 Hz, H-3, 6), 130.1 (C-2, 6, 2'', 6'')\) showed downfield shift compared to H-1/4 and H-2/5, which is the characteristic of ester bond of alcohol moiety. Taken together, the structure of 1 was determined to be as shown in Figure 1 and the new compound was named taraxinositol A.

2.1.2. Taraxinositol B (2)

Compound 2 was purified as a brown syrup with a molecular formula 
C_{22}H_{24}O_{10} from the HRESIMS ion at m/z 471.1261 ([M + Na]^+), calcd. 471.1267) and \(^{13}\)C-NMR data. The \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR patterns were quite similar to those of 1, but the \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectrum now showed 22 carbon resonances which suggested 2 as an asymmetric inositol derivative. The presence of the inositol moiety was shown by peaks at \([\delta_H 5.09 (1H, dt, J = 7.0, 3.5 Hz, H-1), 5.29 (1H, t, J = 3.5 Hz, H-2), 3.55 (1H, dd, J = 9.5, 3.0 Hz, H-3), 3.66 (1H, dd, J = 9.5, 2.5 Hz, H-4), 3.84 (1H, t, J = 3.5 Hz, H-5), 3.66 (1H, dd, J = 7.0, 2.5 Hz, H-6); \delta_C 72.2 (C-1), 70.9 (C-2), 71.0 (C-3), 71.1 (C-4), 69.5 (C-5), 72.9 (C-6)]\). The stereochemistry of the inositol moiety has been also determined based on the multiplicities and coupling constants. Two pairs of \(trans\)-axial protons (H-1, 6 and H-3, 4) with large coupling constants of >7.0 Hz \((J_{1,6, J_{3,4}}) and additional vicinal axial-equatorial protons (H-1, 2, H-2, 3, H-4, 5 and H-5, 6) with relatively small coupling constants of less than 3 Hz suggested 2 is also a neo-inositol [3]. Besides the abovementioned inositol moiety signals, the two \(p\)-hydroxyphenylacetic acids were deduced from the signals of 1,4-disubstituted aromatic rings at \([\delta_H 7.02 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-2', 6'), 6.72 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-3', 5'); 7.12 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-2'', 6''); 6.77 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-3'', 5''); \delta_C 124.7 (C-1', 1''), 130.1 (C-2', 6', 2'', 6'')\), 115.0
(C-3′, 5′, 3″, 5″), 156.0 (C-4′), 156.3 (C-4″)], methylene signals at [δH 3.55 (1H, s, H-7′a), 3.41 (1H, s, H-7″b), 3.50 (2H, d, J = 2.5 Hz, H-7″b); δC 39.4 (C-7′), 39.9 (C-7″)] and carbonyl signal at [δC 172.1 (C-8′), 171.1 (C-8″)] in the 1H and 13C-NMR spectrum together with HMBC correlations. Linkages of the p-hydroxyphenylacetic acid moieties to C-1 and C-2 of the inositol unit were determined from HMBC correlations of H-1 to C-8′ and H-2 to C-8″, which was confirmed by the chemical shift of H-1 (δH 5.09) and H-2 (δH 5.29). Taken together, the structure of 2 was determined as shown in Figure 1 and the compound was named taraxinositol B.

Figure 1. Chemical structures of compounds 1–23 from T. coreanum.

2.1.3. Taraxinol (16)

Compound 16 was purified as a colorless syrup and showed an HRESIMS ion at m/z 249.0733 ([M + Na]+, calcd. 249.0739) for C11H14O5Na. The 1H- and 13C-NMR spectra of 16 showed resonances for 1,4-disubstituted aromatic rings at [δH 7.12 (2H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-2, 6) and 6.74 (2H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-3, 5); δC 124.9 (C-1), 130.0 (C-2, 6), 114.9 (C-3, 5), and 156.2 (C-4)], one methylene at [δH 3.58 (2H, s, H-7); δC 39.6 (C-7)] and a carbonyl signal at δC 172.6 (C-8), which suggested the presence of a p-hydroxyphenylacetic acid. Additionally two hydroxymethylenes at [δH 4.09 (1H, dd, J = 11.2, 6.0 Hz, H-1′a), 4.17 (1H, dd, J = 11.6, 4.4 Hz, H-1′b); δC 65.4 (C-1′)] and [δH 3.54 (2H, dd, J = 5.2, 2.4 Hz, H-3′); δC 62.6 (C-1′)], and one hydroxymethine at [δH 3.83 (1H, m, H-2′); δC 69.7 (C-2′)] were
observed in the $^1$H- and $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum. The HMBC correlations of H-1′ to C-2′ and H-3′ to C-1′ and C-2′, suggested the presence of partial structure of -CH$_2$-CH(OH)-CH$_2$OH. Further additional HMBC correlation of H-1′ to C-8 confirmed the connection of -CH$_2$-CH(OH)-CH$_2$OH to the C-8 of $p$-hydroxyphenylacetic acid. Thus, the structure of compound 16 was elucidated as shown in Figure 2 and the compound was given the common name taraxinol.

Figure 2. Key HMBC correlations of compounds 1, 2 and 16.

2.2. Identification of Known Compounds

Twenty known compounds were identified as neo-inositol-1,4-bis (4-hydroxybenzeneacetate) (3) [7], chiro-inositol-1,5-bis (4-hydroxybenzeneacetate) (4) [8], chiro-inositol-2,3-bis (4-hydroxy-benzeneacetate) (5) [6], chiro-inositol-1,2,3-tris (4-hydroxybenzeneacetate) (6) [6], $p$-hydroxy-benzaldehyde (7) [17], vanillin (8) [18], syringaldehyde (9) [17], vanillic acid (10) [18], 4-methoxophenylacetic acid (11) [19], 4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid methyl ester (12) [20], optivanin (13) [21], isofurulic acid (14) [22], dihydroconiferyl alcohol (15) [23], nodakenetin (17) [24], decursinol (18) [25], prangol (19) [26], isobyakangelicin (20) [27], syringaresinol-4′-O-$\beta$-D-glucoside (21) [28], syringaresinol (22) [29], pinoresinol (23) [30] by spectroscopic analysis and comparison with reported data.

2.3. Antioxidative Activity of Isolated Compounds

Oxidative stress is known as a major contributor to diverse diseases and age-related symptoms [11–13], therefore, development of natural products with high antioxidant potential has been conducted for reducing harmful oxidative stress and further health promoting effect [14–16].

In our present study, the EtOAc and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ fraction of T. coreanum roots showed antioxidant activity in DPPH radical scavenging assay (36.9% and 56.8% inhibition, respectively, at 100 $\mu$g/mL). Further assessment of antioxidant activity of isolated compounds from T. coreanum demonstrated antioxidant activity of compounds 10, 14 and 21–23, whereas other compounds showed little effects. Compounds 10, 14 and 22 showed IC$_{50}$ values of 30.3, 34.6 and 75.4 $\mu$M, respectively.

Taraxacum species have been reported to have diverse biological activity. Consistent with our present study, the antioxidant activity of the extract has been reported [3,31]. Besides, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, hepatoprotective and neuroprotective activities also have been demonstrated [4–6,32]. As active constituents, sesquiterpenoids and phenolic compounds were suggested as active principles of Taraxacum species [33–35].

Our present study showed the presence of diverse phenolic constituents in the roots of dandelions. Interestingly, phenolic compounds were found as inositol esters in the roots of Taraxacum species [36–38]. Inositols are cyclohexane-based carbocyclic polyols with six hydroxyl groups and nine isomers of inositol including are distributed in nature. Anti-inflammatory and anti-diabetic activity of inositol derivatives were also reported [39,40]. In our present study, we report six characteristic inositol derivatives including two new ones. Our present study also showed that lignans and phenolic compounds were antioxidant principles of T. coreanum. Inositols isolated in our present study, however, exerted weak activity in DPPH radical scavenging activity. Taken together, new constituents were characterized from T. coreanum roots and further investigation is needed for evaluation of the biological activity of these constituents.
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Information

NMR spectra were recorded on a DRX 400 or 500 MHz NMR spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany). ESI-mass spectra were obtained on a VG Autospec Ultima mass spectrometer (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Semipreparative HPLC was performed on a HPLC system equipped with Waters 600 Q-pumps, a 996 photodiode array detector, and Waters Empower software (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) using a Gemini-NX ODS-column (5 µm, 10 × 150 mm). Silica gel (70–230 mesh, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and Sephadex LH-20 (25–100 µm, Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) were used for open column chromatography. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated silica gel 60 F254 plates (0.25 mm, Merck). All other chemicals and reagents were analytical grade.

3.2. Isolation of Compounds

The roots of *T. coreanum* were obtained from the local herbal market in Chungbuk (Korea) in April 2015 and were identified by the herbarium staff of the College of Pharmacy at Chungbuk National University, where a voucher specimen was deposited (CBNU201504-TC). The dried roots of *T. coreanum* (5.0 kg) were extracted twice with 80% MeOH (64 L), which yielded after solvent removal the total extract (1.2 kg). The total extract was then suspended in H2O (2.4 L). Further successive partitioning with *n*-hexane, CH2Cl2, EtOAc and *n*-BuOH (2.0 L each) yielded the *n*-hexane (27.8 g), CH2Cl2 (8.5 g), EtOAc (8.8 g) and *n*-BuOH (54.7 g) soluble fractions, respectively.

The CH2Cl2 fraction (8.5 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography eluting with a mixture of CH2Cl2–MeOH to give 12 fractions (M1–M12). M5 was subjected to silica gel column chromatography with a mixture of *n*-hexane–EtOAc to give 14 fractions (M5A–M5N). Compounds 7 (5.1 mg) and 8 (2.4 mg) were obtained from M5E and compounds 9 (3.1 mg) and 15 (1.6 mg) from M5I by semipreparative HPLC eluting with CH3CN–H2O. M5K was subjected to column chromatography over Sephadex LH-20 eluting with CH2Cl2–MeOH (1:1) to give six fractions (M5K1–M5K6). Compounds 17 (2.4 mg), 18 (2.0 mg) and 23 (2.7 mg) were purified from M5K3 by semipreparative HPLC eluting with CH3CN–H2O. Compounds 19 (8.3 mg) and 20 (11.6 mg) were purified from M5L by semipreparative HPLC eluting with CH3CN–H2O. Compound 21 (2.1 mg) and 22 (1.5 mg) were purified from M7 and M5M, respectively, by Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography with MeOH, followed by semipreparative HPLC eluting with CH3CN–H2O.

The EtOAc fraction (8.8 g) was subjected to silica column chromatography with a mixture of *n*-hexane–EtOAc–MeOH as eluent to give 11 fractions (E1–E11). Semipreparative HPLC of E4 eluting with CH3CN–H2O yielded compounds 10 (8.0 mg) and 14 (9.0 mg). E9 was subjected to RP-silica column chromatography with the mixture of MeOH–H2O to give 8 fractions (E9A–E9H). Compound 16 (14.1 mg) was obtained from E9B by semipreparative HPLC eluting with CH3CN–H2O. E9D was further subjected to column chromatography over silica gel eluting with CH2Cl2–MeOH to afford four subfractions (E9D1–E9D4). Compound 12 (19.9 mg) was obtained from E9D1 by semipreparative HPLC eluting with CH3CN–H2O. Compounds 1 (1.4 mg) and 6 (1.8 mg) were purified from E9D3 by semipreparative HPLC eluting with CH3CN–MeOH–H2O. E9F was subjected to RP-silica column chromatography with MeOH–H2O to give 6 fractions (E9F1–E9F6). Compounds 3 (1.3 mg) and 4 (4.2 mg) were purified from E9F5 by semipreparative HPLC eluting with CH3CN–H2O. E9G was subjected to RP-silica column chromatography with MeOH–H2O to give 5 fractions (E9G1–E9G5). Compounds 2 (6.7 mg) and 5 (4.5 mg) were purified from E9G2 by semipreparative HPLC eluting with CH3CN–H2O.

Taraxinositol A (1) brown syrup; [α]D25 +9.3 (c 0.03, MeOH); IRmax 1729, 3321 cm−1; 1H-NMR (500 MHz, CD3OD) and 13C-NMR (125 MHz, CD3OD) see Table 1; ESI-MS (positive mode) *m/z*: 471 [M + Na]+, HRESIMS (positive mode) *m/z*: 471.1261 [M + Na]+ (Calcd. for C22H24NaO5, 471.1267).
Taraxinositol B (2) brown syrup; [α]$_{D}^{25}$ = −13.5 (c 0.03, MeOH); IR$_{max}$ 1763, 3367 cm$^{-1}$; $^{1}$H-NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$OD) and $^{13}$C-NMR (125 MHz, CD$_3$OD) see Table 1; ESI-MS (positive mode) m/z: 471 [M + Na]$^+$, HRESIMS (positive mode) m/z: 471.1261 [M + Na]$^+$ (Calcd. for C$_{22}$H$_{24}$NaO$_5$, 471.1267).

Taraxinol (16): brown syrup; [α]$_{D}^{25}$ = −28.0 (c 0.01, MeOH); IR$_{max}$ 1716, 3285 cm$^{-1}$; $^{1}$H-NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OD) and $^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CD$_3$OD) see Table 2; ESI-MS (positive mode) m/z: 249 [M + Na]$^+$, HREIMS (positive mode) m/z: 249.0733 [M + Na]$^+$ (Calcd. for C$_{11}$H$_{14}$NaO$_5$, 249.0739).

### Table 1. $^{1}$H- and $^{13}$C-NMR spectroscopic data for compounds 1 and 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon No.</th>
<th>$^{1}$H</th>
<th>$^{13}$C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 4</td>
<td>3.93 (2H, dt, $J$ = 7.0, 2.5 Hz)</td>
<td>69.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 5</td>
<td>3.95 (2H, d, $J$ = 2.0 Hz)</td>
<td>72.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 6</td>
<td>5.22 (2H, dd, $J$ = 7.0, 2.5 Hz)</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1′, 1′′</td>
<td></td>
<td>124.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2′, 6′, 2′′, 6′′</td>
<td>7.03 (4H, d, $J$ = 8.5 Hz)</td>
<td>130.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3′, 5′, 3′′, 5′′</td>
<td>6.73 (4H, d, $J$ = 8.5 Hz)</td>
<td>114.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4′, 4′′</td>
<td></td>
<td>156.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5′, 7′, 7′′</td>
<td>3.29 (2H, d, $J$ = 15.5 Hz)</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8′, 8′′</td>
<td>3.41 (2H, d, $J$ = 15.5 Hz)</td>
<td>172.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. $^{1}$H- and $^{13}$C-NMR spectroscopic data for compound 16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon No.</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 6</td>
<td>7.12 (2H, d, $J$ = 8.8 Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 5</td>
<td>6.74 (2H, d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.58 (2H, s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1′</td>
<td>4.09 (1H, dd, $J$ = 11.2, 6.0 Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2′</td>
<td>3.83 (1H, m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3′</td>
<td>3.54 (2H, dd, $J$ = 5.2, 2.4 Hz)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.3. Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant activity was evaluated by measuring the free radical scavenging activity using a DPPH assay. Briefly, samples were mixed with freshly prepared DPPH solution. After shaking, the reaction mixtures were stand for 30 min at room temperature in dark places. The radical scavenging activity was determined by measuring the absorbance at 517 nm. The relative radical scavenging activity (%) was calculated as $[1 - \frac{\text{absorbance of solution with sample and DPPH}}{\text{absorbance of solution with DPPH}}] \times 100$.

### Supplementary Materials

Supplementary materials are available online.
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