Artemisinin and Its Derivatives as a Repurposing Anticancer Agent: What Else Do We Need to Do?
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Abstract: Preclinical investigation and clinical experience have provided evidence on the potential anticancer effect of artemisinin and its derivatives (ARTs) in the recent two decades. The major mechanisms of action of ARTs may be due to toxic-free radicals generated by an endoperoxide moiety, cell cycle arrest, induction of apoptosis, and inhibition of tumor angiogenesis. It is very promising that ARTs are expected to be a new class of antitumor drugs of wide spectrum due to their detailed information regarding efficacy and safety. For developing repurposed drugs, many other characteristics of ARTs should be studied, including through further investigations on possible new pathways of anticancer effects, exploration on efficient and specific drug delivery systems—especially crossing biological barriers, and obtaining sufficient data in clinical trials. The aim of this review is to highlight these achievements and propose the potential strategies to develop ARTs as a new class of cancer therapeutic agents.
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1. Introduction

Only one of every 5000–10,000 prospective anticancer agents are approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and only 5% of oncology drugs that enter Phase I clinical trials are ultimately approved. These failure rates underscore the need for alternative efforts for drug development. Some old approved drugs, whose detailed information about the drug formulation and safety are usually available, may hold promise to have much faster clinical trials than a brand-new drug [1]. Aspirin, a famous nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), was proved to not only be useful in reducing short-term and long-term cancer incidence and mortality both in men and women, but also be effective in reducing the growth and metastasis of malignancy as well [2–5]. Metformin, an antidiabetic drug, is a potent 5′ AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)-independent antiproliferative agent and associated with the decreased risk of the occurrence of cancers [6,7]. Other good examples are also included thalidomide and cimetidine [8–11]. Beyond western medicines mentioned above, a few traditional Chinese medicines are also repurposed as a treatment for cancers; for instance, arsenic. Arsenic trioxide, a highly toxic medicine that is used to treat lung diseases and psoriasis at very low doses, showed outstanding curative effects in clinical cases with acute promyelocytic leukemia [12,13] (Table 1).
Table 1. Some representative repurposing agents for cancer therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agents</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Repurposing Cancer Types</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>Relieve pain, reduce fever, prevent blood from clotting</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal oncology, estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer</td>
<td>[2–5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metformin</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>Colorectal, breast, prostate colon, brain and non-small cell lung cancer</td>
<td>[6,7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalidomide</td>
<td>Leprosy</td>
<td>Multiple myeloma, hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>[8,9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cimitidine</td>
<td>Peptic ulcer</td>
<td>Colorectal cancer, melanoma, renal cell carcinoma, pancreatic carcinoma, Gastric carcinoma</td>
<td>[10,11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>Lung diseases and psoriasis</td>
<td>Leukemia</td>
<td>[12,13]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Beyond those mentioned above, another promising repurposing agent for anticancer activity are artemisinin and its derivatives (ARTs). Artemisinin, primarily used for the treatment of malaria cases since 1973 [14], is a most effective weapon against malarial parasites, especially for falciparum malaria and cerebral malaria, clinically [15,16]. In addition, recent studies also demonstrated that artemisinin not only has antiparasitic effects, including against plasmodium and schistosome, but also has significant anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory actions [17]. Besides all that, another very impressive attention of ART is the potential anticancer effect that was revealed in the recent two decades. In the 1990s, Woerdenbag et al. firstly reported the anticancer effects of artemisinin [18]. The experiments in vitro and in vivo showed that artemisinin and its derivatives can inhibit and kill a variety of tumor cells. The results of the experiment in vitro conducted by the U.S. National Cancer Institute (NCI) in 55 kinds of tumor cell lines showed that artesunate had inhibitory effect on the cells of leukemia, colorectal cancer, melanoma, breast cancer, ovarian cancer, prostate cancer, kidney cancer, etc. [19]. In the previous study, we also found that artemisinin and dihydroartemisinin exhibit significant anticancer effects against human hepatoma cells with minimal effects on normal cells, regardless of p53 status, and that the latter was also effective in ovarian cancer when administered alone or in combination with carboplatin [20,21]. Similarly, it was proven that artemether and arteether had antitumor activities in some malignant cells, such as gastric cancer, glioma, and breast cancer [22–24]. Artemisinins have been brought by NCI into a program of antitumor drug screening and activity research. More concerning, however, is that artemisinin was being considered for transformation from research study to clinic use. A clinical trial in Germany was conducted to observe artesunate and dihydroartemisinin when they were orally administrated with artemisinin and artesunate to patients with metastatic breast cancer [25].

There is growing evidence that ARTs are expected to be a class of new antitumor drugs of wide spectrum. This review highlights achievements in artemisinin and its derivatives, initially well-characterized antimalarials, mainly for their investigations and uses in oncology. In addition to outlining the advantages of repurposing, the potential and strategies to develop ART analogs as cancer therapeutic agents are also discussed.

2. Foregoing Researches on the Anticancer Effect of Artemisinin and Its Derivates

2.1. Chemistry of Artemisinin and Its Derivates

Artemisinin (ART), isolated and extracted from dried leaves and flower buds of *Haplopappus gracilis Artemisia annua* L., is a sesquiterpene lactone compound containing an endoperoxide radical without nitrogen atoms in its structure [14,26]. *Artemisia annua* L. is a complex compound containing more than 200 components that have been identified to date. Its chemical composition can be divided into the volatile and nonvolatile classes. ART only exists in the *Artemisia annua* L., and its content is greatly associated with its origin. There is a lower level of ART in the *Artemisia annua* L. that is produced in Europe, America, and northern and eastern China. Even in the *Artemisia annua* L. that is produced in southwest China, the content of ART is only 0.6%–1.0%. Other nonvolatile components in *Artemisia annua* L. also include arteannuin A, arteannuin B, arteannuin C, artemisinic acid, artemisia methyl ester, artemisinol, artemisilactone, etc., all of which are sesquiterpene lactones [15,27]. Since
the advent of ART, its derivatives such as dihydroartemisinin, artesunate, artemether, and arteether have been investigated and successively obtained around the world. Among them, the last two are rapidly metabolized to dihydroartemisinin after entering the body. These compounds have proved to have significant antitumor activities as well. Other artemisinin-derivate monomers that were developed for antimalarials—artemisone, artemolane, artemiside, artelin acid, and artesunic acid—had almost no effects against cancer cells, except for artemisone [28] (Figure 1). The main active anticancer sites of ART are the same as their antimalarial sites. Although all anticancer abilities cannot be removed completely due to a lack of peroxide bridges, the inhibitory effect of tumor cells is greatly reduced [29]. Therefore, all structural modification is conducted on the basis of keeping the peroxide bridge structure, focusing mainly on the 9,10-portion of modification, especially the most common 10-portion of modification. In addition to the basic monomeric compounds, new classes of artemisinin-like dimers with antitumor activity have been developed [30].

![Artemisinin and its derivatives with anticancer activity.](image)

2.2. Known Anticancer Activity and Mechanism of Action

How do ARTs kill tumor cells? It has been found that cell membranes were the principal target sites. ARTs can not only induce apoptosis, but also play a role in cell swelling. Once cytomembranes are destroyed, membrane permeability is altered, thus resulting in the death of cells. Furthermore, ARTs can also induce apoptosis; inhibit angiogenesis, proliferation, and migration of vascular endothelial cells; and improve chemotherapy or radiotherapy sensitization.

2.2.1. Toxic-Free Radicals Generated by Endoperoxide Moiety

Although the exact mechanisms of action of ART derivatives has not been fully elucidated yet, the C-radical hypothesis has become one of the most widely accepted theories. Throughout the whole process, the toxic-free radicals generated by the endoperoxide moiety of ART via a ferrous iron-mediated reaction are essential to killing or attenuating tumor cells (Figure 2). The peroxide bridge of ART analogs can react with the ferrous atom and produce free radicals or reactive oxygen species (ROS) using the carbon as the core. ROS play a very important role in killing specific tumor cells, for example, by inducing apoptosis and oxidative DNA damage [31–33]. Due to the lack of
antioxidant enzymes, the tumor cells are more susceptible to damage caused by ROS, and strong oxidative stress is the universal mechanism of anticancer drugs. A recent study shows that HeLa cells, after treatment with artesunate, can generate ROS earlier than when the cytotoxic effects occur, thus indicating that the role of ROS may be one of initiating factors in triggering cell damage [34]. Compared with normal cells, cancer cells need a lot of iron involved inactive nucleic acid metabolism, while the majority of the surface of the tumor cells has a high density of transferrin receptor (TfR). Tumor cells with a high expression of TfR are more susceptible to ART-based drugs [35]; the iron-rich cancer cells can stimulate cytotoxic effects of ARTs. After coincubation with the amino acetic ferrous sulfate, these ART derivatives can significantly improve the sensitivity of leukemia CCRF-CEM cells and human astrocytoma U373 cells to ART [36]. Moreover, after pretreatment with the iron complexant deferoxamine mesylate, leukemia cells can antagonize dihydroartemisinin-induced apoptosis [37].

Figure 2. Speculated mechanisms of artemisinin generating oxidative damage via ferrous ion-mediated reactions: the β-cracking and 1,5-hydrogen migration are primary pathways, and the Lewis is a secondary pathway.

2.2.2. Cell Cycle Arrest

ART derivatives can inhibit the proliferation of tumor cells by affecting the cell cycle at any stage, with the G0/G1 to S phase inhibition most commonly seen, and its mechanism of action is achieved by changing expression and activity of regulatory enzymes in the cell cycle [38,39]. Dihydroartemisinin-induced cell cycle arrest at the G0/G1 phase can occur through the down regulation of cyclins and the transcriptional activity of cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) by inhibiting the activity of the CDK promoter or enhancing the activity of CDK inhibitors [20]. For example, ART can directly inhibit CDK-4 gene expression, leading to cell cycle arrest [40]. Artesunate induces cell cycle arrest in the G2/M phase by up regulating the expression of Beclin1, which is an initiator of autophagy [41]. In addition, artesunate may interfere with some genes such as Bub3, Mad3, and Mad2, which are regulators of the mitotic spindle checkpoint in the G2/M phase [42]. A study also found that the sensitivity of ART compounds was highly correlated to the cell division cycle 25 homolog A (CDC25A) proteins. CDC25A genes that are transferred into rat embryonic R12 cells may improve their sensitivity to artesunate [43]. Dihydroartemisinin can prevent the
proliferation of pancreatic cancer cells by inhibiting the activity of nuclear transcription factor NF-κB, and inhibit the proliferation of lung cancer cells by down regulating the surviving protein (a protein which can regulate apoptosis and cell cycle G2/M phase) [43].

2.2.3. Induction of Apoptosis

The apoptosis processes can be regulated through the proapoptotic genes and antiapoptotic genes, and the effects of these two types of genes on the mitochondrion. The role of ARTs is to inhibit proliferation and induce apoptosis rather than promoting necrocytosis, and it has more efficiency with the help of iron transporters [44–47]. Generally, ART compounds can induce apoptosis through mitochondria-mediated pathways [48,49]. ART derivates can play their roles in proapoptosis by not only promoting the release of cytochrome 3 and the overexpression of Bax, but also by increasing the Bax/Bcl-2 ratio and activating caspase-3 and caspase-9 in osteosarcoma cells [50,51]. Dihydroartemisinin can effectively improve the cell proapoptotic protein Bax and down regulate the antiapoptotic protein Bcl-2 while increasing Fas and activating caspase-8, and inhibit translocation and DNA-binding activity of nuclear factor-kappa gene binding (NF-κB) as well [43,52,53]. Dihydroartemisinin sensitivity was positively correlated with the expression levels of c-Myc oncoprotein, and the tumor cells with high expression of c-Myc were more sensitive to the compound [54]. It is also worth mentioning that the apoptosis-induction effects of dihydroartemisinin were independent of p53 status (either wild-type or mutant-type) [20]. Another probable mechanism of action of ARTs on tumor cells is due to their direct interaction with DNA replication [55]. Some researchers confirm that artesinisin and its derivatives display the ability of inhibiting certain viral propagation such as cytomegalovirus, herpesvirus, and hepatitis virus [56,57]. The antiviral mechanism of ARTs is precisely because of DNA interference. ART analogs that also stimulated increases in intracellular calcium levels and triggered oxidative damage may also be involved in apoptosis in tumor cells [58–60]. In addition, the latest research demonstrates that dihydroartemisinin in participates in the process of autophagy, which is closely related to programmed cell death [61].

2.2.4. Inhibition of Tumor Angiogenesis

Neovascularization plays a key role in progression and metastasis of malignant tumors and are regulated by a variety of active substances named proangiogenic and antiangiogenesis factors. The former includes a large class of growth factors or cytokines such as Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), Basic Fibroblast Growth Factor (bFGF), angiopoietin, Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), interleukin-1 (IL-1), IL-8, and the latter includes endostain, angiotenin, Tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinases (TIMPs), etc. ARTs can inhibit the formation of tumor blood vessels by downregulating the production of growth factors as well as upregulating the inhibitory factors[62]. Among artemisinin derivatives, dihydroartemisinin and artesunate, especially the latter, are the most desired. Data suggested that dihydroartemisinin in inhibited angiogenesis largely relying on the transcription factor NF-κB pathway. It can repress the binding of NF-κB to DNA and down regulate the proangiogenic factors such as VEGF, Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor 2 (VEGFR2), IL-8, Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and MMP9 [63–66]. In recent decades, more attention was paid gradually to the inhibitory effects of artesunate on angiogenesis [67]. The target molecules for the response of tumor cells to artesunate consist of EGF, VEGF, VEGFR, and so on [68,69]. Moreover, inhibitory effects of artesunate on VEGF expression are correlated closely with the level secreted by cells. In addition to the above mechanism, other targets are also found such as β-catenin, E-cadherin, tumor growth factor β2, c-Src tyrosine kinase, and breast cancer susceptibility gene 2 (BRCA2) [70]. With further research, it was verified that artesunate had a synergistic inhibition of angiogenesis [71].

2.3. Safety Investigation

Although the effective dose is three orders of magnitude higher than antimalarial treatment dose, ARTs remains relatively much safer than other chemotherapy drugs used in clinics. However, it seems that ART derivatives can arouse potential embryotoxicity in experimental animals. For
example, dihydroartemisinin can bring about abnormal embryonic phenotypes and promote early embryonic angiogenesis in zebrafish [72]. Preclinical studies have demonstrated that artesunate can induce congenital malformations in rodents [73,74]. In addition, in vitro experiments found that artemisinin, dihydroartemisinin, and artemether can lead to the death of neuronal cells, glial cells, and transformation neuronal cells by inducing the release of lactate dehydrogenase. In a study on the safety of artesunate conducted in healthy dogs, neither neurological nor cardiac toxicity was observed [75]; only hematological/gastrointestinal toxicity occurred, most of which were transient [76]. Nevertheless, what needs to be emphasized is that the toxicity of ARTs is its long-term effects, and unrelated to the short-term drug plasma concentration [23].

2.4. Clinical Experience

Although their excellent anticancer activities in vitro and in vivo are well documented, clinical data on artemisinin derivates are very limited. Several clinical observations for monomer analyzers have been conducted. Studies have been conducted to explore artesunate and dihydroartemisinin for patients with metastatic breast cancer [25]. In small-scale clinical trials, the therapeutic effects of artesunate for colorectal cancer and uveal melanoma have been demonstrated. Recently, a united study group consisting of investigators from U.K., Germany, and Belgium completed a small clinical trial of oral artesunate for treatment of colorectal cancer, and they found that only one patient in the artesunate group (n = 9), but six patients in the placebo group (n = 11) developed recurrent colorectal cancer during a median follow up of 42 months [77]. Berger et al. treated two patients with uncontrollable advanced metastatic uveal melanoma with artesunate as the auxiliary standard chemotherapy, and found that one patient with stage IV survived more than 47 months, greatly exceeding the median survival of 2–5 months [78]. The treatment by the ultrasound-guided injection of artesunate into the tumor body in 18 cases of domestic primary advanced stage of liver cancer has been reported, and the results showed that, on the basis of comparison before and after treatment, the Alpha Fetal Protein (AFP) values, tumor size and echo, liver blood supply, etc., were improved significantly [79]. In the randomized controlled clinical trial on the combination of artesunate with vinorelbine and cisplatin for the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), it was found that the combination therapy group showed a significant improvement in the patient’s disease control rate and prolonged the time to progress [80]. In the Chinese folk, artemether injection was used for curing advanced breast cancer successfully. Artemether can also be used for treatment of pituitary macroadenoma, and the clinical symptoms of patients have been improved significantly after 12 months, and the impaired vision and hearing were gradually recovered [81].

3. Future Direction

3.1. Further Investigations on Mechanism of Action

The precise molecular targets of ARTs are still controversial and not fully elucidated yet, although its anticancer efficacy has been proved. Besides several previously revealed mechanisms, including increased oxidative stress, decreased proliferation, induction of apoptosis, and inhibition of angiogenesis, other pathways that ARTs may interfere with should be followed, such as more details on the iron-dependent hypothesis, anti-inflammatory effects on preventing tumor formation, synergistic or sensitizing mechanism of chemotherapy and radiotherapy, and ways to reverse multidrug resistance of tumor cells [82].

The hypothesis of iron-dependent activation of artemisinin has been proposed and accepted, generally due to the fact that a high intracellular iron concentration was essential for continuous proliferation of tumor cells. More recently, a new mechanism has been identified that the excess iron polyporphyrin heme can bind to the p53 protein and trigger both nuclear export and cytosolic degradation of p53 [83]. Apparently, the major metabolite of ATRs, dihydroartemisinin, is a “dual-function” compound that inhibits ubiquitination of p53 through down regulating levels of cell surface transferrin receptor and binding to nuclear protein Murine Double Minute 2 (MDM2) [20,84] (Figure 3). The relevance of ROS-independent mechanisms, such as the anti-inflammatory
mechanism, should be another area of great concern for ARTs. Numerous studies prove that ART and its derivatives have certain therapeutic effects on some acute and chronic inflammatory diseases. Although further explorations are necessary, anti-inflammatory effectiveness of ARTs probably plays an important role in the process of occurrence and progression of malignant tumors. Thirdly, it is necessary to carry out sensitive and/or resistant candidate genes screening and analysis of direct DNA damage induced by ART and the role of p53 status in genotoxicity. For instance, the analysis of gene expression profiling has identified artesunate as a novel topoisomerase inhibitor, which could lead to DNA damage [85]. It was also found that artesunate is resistant to genes related to antioxidation stress and EGFR, but not involved in multidrug resistance. Besides NF-κB, BCL-2, VEGF, survivin, and caspase-3/8/9, ARTs were proposed to possibly participate in other multiple pathways including PI3K-Akt, T cell receptor, Toll-like receptor, transforming growth factor (TGF)-β, and insulin signaling pathways [86]. The research focusing on unveiling these supposed mechanisms will be greatly helpful to the development of targeted ART as well as its derivatives.

3.2. Exploration of an Efficient and Specific Drug Delivery System

Despite its promise as a broad-spectrum anticancer agent, ARTs still face some extra challenges in the design of formulations due to their poor solubility in water and oil and physicochemical instability [87]. Nanotargeted drug delivery systems (10–200 nm) have become the preferred orientation of antitumor therapy and could be developed for ART and its analogs. Drug-loaded nanostructured lipid carriers—such as lipid nanospheres or nanoliposomes—have been attempted [88–90]. It was demonstrated that artesunate nanoliposomes produced stronger efficacy on hepatocellular carcinoma as compared with free compound at the same concentration. The modified nanoparticles such as lactic acid-modified magnetic, lymphatics-homing peptide, or transferrin-modified nanoparticles maybe preferred because of ARTs’ characteristic anticancer mechanism. The submicron emulsion, which has also been investigated by Huang et al., possesses approximately 3-fold drug-loading capacity of dihydroartemisinin compared with the conventional oil-and-water
(O/W) emulsion, and can be stored for up to 6 months stably, as well [91]. Another effective choice is the transferrin-ART graft-loaded functional nanoparticle due to the fact that its onset of endoperoxide bridge is iron-dependent. The graft copolymer can be peptides or proteins with excellent water-solubility and lipophilic properties. It was demonstrated that the uptake rates and antitumor effects of the graft were significantly higher than ARTs alone [92,93]. The researches on functional and especially multifunctional nanoparticles have increasingly become a hotspot in the field of nanotargeted drug delivery systems. The ART-loaded Fe3O4@C/Ag@mSiO2 mesopore can not only load a high capacity but can also reside in acidic compartments and demonstrate pH-responsive Fe2+ release to enhance cell killing as well [94,95] (Table 2). In addition, it should lay emphasis on development of oral formulations if ART is targeted to be an adjuvant agent in treatment of malignancies.

Table 2. Developed nanodrug delivery system for artemisinin and its derivatives (ARTs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Developed Formulations</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Artemisinin</td>
<td>Magnetic nanoliposomes, adducts with human serum transferring, LyP-1 modification to polymeric micelles, multifunctional mesoporous nanoparticles</td>
<td>[89,92–94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemether</td>
<td>Lipid nanospheres</td>
<td>[88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artesunate</td>
<td>Nanoliposomes, PLGA nanoparticles</td>
<td>[90,95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihydroartemisinin</td>
<td>Nanoparticles-in-oil-in-water submicron emulsion</td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While the development of manufacturing techniques and application of nanoparticle drug carriers are becoming increasingly exciting and hopeful, there are many challenges we have to face today, for instance biological barriers. As is known to all, the malignancies being developed within several biological barriers such as the blood–brain barrier, blood-pancreatic barrier, and blood-testis barrier are refractory irreversible and have generally resulted in poor prognosis. To date, there is very little knowledge about what and how nanoparticles pass through these biological barriers effectively [96]. It seems that ARTs are very promising chemotherapeutic agents in treatment of metastatic brain cancers due to their high effectiveness and low toxicity. Therefore, the innovative formulations being capable of effectively crossing the blood-brain barrier will be the main focus of the next step. There are some preclinical trials concerning nanoformulations for brain delivery, for example, multifunctional polymeric nanotheranostic system and resveratrol-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles [97,98]. Besides, the blood–pancreatic barrier also deserves equal attention, as it contributes to the high mortality rate of pancreas cancer.

3.3. Strategy in Clinical Application

Biomedical researches on ARTs have experienced revelation and innovation two periods [1] after the discovery of their anticancer activity. In the first period, ARTs should be prepared for application before entering the innovative period [99]. ART and its derivates satisfy all criteria for good chemotherapeutic agents, such as high specificity, high therapeutic index, and broad-spectrum effects against cancer cells but relatively low toxicity for normal cells. Thus, it is very promising that ARTs can eventually be developed into a new class of anticancer drugs in clinics. However, there are several aspects of concern that need further study, of which the clinical safety and effectiveness of the compound are indispensable.

Except the sporadic short-term neurotoxicity and embryotoxicity in animals, no evidence of toxicity has been found in patients with malaria, clinically. Even so, close attention should be paid to potential toxicity caused by multiple doses. So far, there is no long-term effect of administration, except for the data about continuous administration at a dose of 8 mg/kg/day for 40 weeks [100]. Thus, at higher doses, long-term toxicity to human organs such as hepatotoxicity, renal toxicity, and neurotoxicity should be investigated fully before entering clinical trials. Clinical trials of high quality are of crucial importance to a new drug being approved. The sporadic case reports or small-scale randomized controlled trials are well documented but remain unconvincing. The goal of clinical
trials is to make a full evaluation on the safety and efficacy through choosing the surrogate endpoints (biomarkers). In order to decrease the late-stage attrition rate, it was the preference of the FDA in 2007 to undergo Phase 0 rather than Phase I, because the former greatly reduces the risks in human subjects [101]. In the specific protocols, the subjects in clinical trials of ARTs are the population of patients with tumors, and the surrogate endpoints with acceptable predictive values such as progression-Free-Survival (PFS)/time-to-progression (TTP)/risk ratio (RR) are usually used as the primary efficacy endpoints to predict the survival value. For those refractory patients with advanced cancer who had no response to the existing treatments or means, the single-arm trial design may be considered using the historical background data as the contrast.

Owing to its synergistic effects with other anticancer drugs and sensitizing action on tumor cells to chemotherapy and radiotherapy, there is likelihood that ARTs could be developed as chemotherapy synergists or radiotherapy sensitizers in the future [102]. Nevertheless, more work is needed to be done in clinic before that. For example, what kind of malignancy and chemotherapy agent can get the optimal results for the condition and with what drug combination? What is the optimal dose for the effect of enhanced sensitivity to radiotherapy?

4. Conclusions

In summary, ARTs are now the focus in the field of the study on anticancer therapy due to the strong antitumor effects that have been proved to date, and they are expected to represent a new class of anticancer agents. However, further studies are needed to investigate their unknown mechanisms of action and an optimal drug delivery system, as well as determine sufficient safety and efficacy information from clinical trials.
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