Reactivity of Amine/E(C₆F₅)₃ (E = B, Al) Lewis Pairs toward Linear and Cyclic Acrylic Monomers: Hydrogenation vs. Polymerization

Jiawei Chen and Eugene X.-Y. Chen *

Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1872, USA

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: eugene.chen@colostate.edu; Tel.: +1-970-491-5609; Fax: +1-970-491-1801.

Abstract: This work reveals the contrasting reactivity of amine/E(C₆F₅)₃ (E = B, Al) Lewis pairs toward linear and cyclic acrylic monomers, methyl methacrylate (MMA) and biorenewable γ-methyl-α-methylene-γ-butyrolactone (γ-MMBL). While mixing of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (TMP) and B(C₆F₅)₃ leads to a frustrated Lewis pair (FLP), Et₃N reacts with B(C₆F₅)₃ to form disproportionation products, ammonium hydridoborate ionic pair and iminium zwitterion. On the other hand, the stoichiometric reaction of either TMP or Et₃N with Al(C₆F₅)₃ leads to clean formation of a classic Lewis adduct (CLA). Neither TMP nor Et₃N, when paired with E(C₆F₅)₃, polymerizes MMA, but the Et₃N/2B(C₆F₅)₃ pair promotes transfer hydrogenation of MMA to form methyl isobutyrate. In contrast, the amine/E(C₆F₅)₃ pairs promote rapid polymerization of γ-MMBL carrying the more reactive exocyclic methylene moiety, achieving full conversion in less than 3 min even at a low catalyst loading of 0.0625 mol %. TMP is more effective than Et₃N for the polymerization when paired with either the borane or the alane, while the alane exhibits higher polymerization activity than the borane when paired with Et₃N. Overall, the TMP/Al(C₆F₅)₃ system exhibits the highest polymerization activity, achieving a maximum turn-over frequency of 96,000 h⁻¹ at 0.125 mol % of catalyst loading, producing high molecular weight P₆γ-MMBL with $M_n = 1.29 \times 10^5$ g·mol⁻¹.
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1. Introduction

The combination of various highly acidic Lewis acids (LAs) and sterically hindered Lewis bases (LBs) provides opportunities to construct different types of “frustrated Lewis pairs” (FLPs) [1–9], in which the direct LA-LB interaction is either precluded or significantly weakened due to the steric crowdedness. More significantly, this unquenched, orthogonal reactivity allows the cooperative activation of small molecules [10–25], catalytic hydrogenation [26–32] and new reactivity/reactivity development [33–45]. While the original FLP systems pioneered by Stephan and Erker focused mostly on boron-based LAs and phosphine-based LBs, the scopes of the suitable candidates for the FLP chemistry have recently been extended to other group 13 and 14 elements as LAs (e.g., organoaluminum species, silylium cations, and borenium cations), and group 14 and 15 elements as LBs (e.g., amines, pyridines, and carbenes). Furthermore, a considerable amount of efforts has been directed to the design of unimolecular FLPs and chiral versions of FLPs for asymmetric transformations [46–55].

We have been interested in developing new polymerization methods enabled by Lewis pairs, namely Lewis Pair Polymerization (LPP) [56], to synthesize different classes of polymers. In this type of polymerization, Lewis pairs are involved in monomer substrate activation, chain initiation, as well as chain propagation and termination/transfer steps (Scheme 1). We have demonstrated, for example, FLPs or classic Lewis adducts (CLAs) consisting of bulky phosphine or N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) LBs and the highly acidic Al(C_{6}F_{5})_{3} LA are capable of promoting rapid polymerization of conjugated polar alkenes such as linear acrylic monomer methyl methacrylate (MMA) and cyclic biorenewable γ-methyl-α-methylene-γ-butyrolactone (γMMBL) into high molecular weight polymers [57–59]. Such LPP system is also effective for the polymerization of monomers featuring the C=C-C=N functionality, including 2-vinyl pyridine and 2-isopropenyl-2-oxazoline [60,61]. Controlled Ring-opening (co)polymerization of other monomers such as lactide and lactones into cyclic polymers has been achieved by employing Zn(C_{6}F_{5})_{2}-based Lewis pairs [62], while radical polymerization of styrene is successfully mediated by a persistent FLP-NO aminoxyl radical generated by N,N-cycloaddition of a cyclohexylene-linked intramolecular P/B system to nitric oxide [63]. More recently, we found that the boron-based LA, in combination with various NHC or phosphine LBs, can be highly effective for polymerization of MMA and γMMBL: while the B/P FLP systems exhibit no or negligible polymerization reactivity, the P→B and NHC→B CLAs have been found to exhibit unexpectedly high activity for the polymerization of γMMBL [64]. This unique polymerization method has now been employed to the regio-controlled polymerization of disymmetric divinyl polar monomers [57,65].

A search of the current array of LPP systems reveals that the combination of LAs and amines is scarce and not well-established [58]. In contrast, the B/N system has been studied extensively in the area of the FLP chemistry with respect to the LA-LB interaction, small molecule activation [25], catalysis [28,44,66,67] as well as mechanistic investigations [68]. In this context, we set out to examine the polymerization reactivity of two widely used amines, triethylamine (Et_{3}N) and 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (TMP), coupled with two strong organo-LAs, E(C_{6}F_{5})_{3} (E = B, Al). We envisioned that the introduction of such sterically encumbered amines with different structural and electronic properties will present an opportunity to probe the polymerization activity of the amine/E(C_{6}F_{5})_{3} (E = B or Al) system toward two representative acrylic monomers, linear MMA and cyclic γMMBL (Scheme 1).
Scheme 1. Generalized chain initiation and propagation mechanism for polymerization of conjugated polar alkenes carrying a functional group (FG) by Lewis pairs through zwitterionic active species or intermediates [56], and the structure of LAs, LBs and monomers examined in this study.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Reaction of Amines and E(C6F5)3 in the Absence of Monomer

A survey of the recent literatures indicates that the coordination chemistry and structural characterizations of several amine/E(C6F5)3 pairs have been reported. Preceding the discovery of the FLP system, earlier studies focused on systems featuring direct B-N and Al-N bond formation with less sterically hindered amines. For instance, Lancaster and co-workers [69] reported that both the borane and alane E(C6F5)3 form stable adducts with a variety of primary and secondary amines. In addition, in all of the cases, the two Lewis acids show invariable reactivity towards these amines. On the other hand, we observed markedly different polymerization activity between the borane and the alane, when used as (co)catalysts and monomer activators for both transition-metal-catalyzed and LPP processes [59,70–73]. In general, the alane is more effective than borane due to its higher Lewis acidity, oxophilicity and larger radius. Our recent work showed that the alane displays clear advantages over the borane in several different types of FLP-type catalysis [74]. In this LPP work, we chose two sterically congested amines, Et3N and TMP, as the LB to pair with E(C6F5)3 (Scheme 1).

These two amines exhibit FLP-type reactivity when coupled with the borane [25,75], but their reaction with the alane has not yet been examined. An earlier study showed that, mixing of B(C6F5)3 and Et3N results in a disproportionation reaction of the Lewis pair to form ammonium hydridoborate (C6F5)3B-H⋯H-N(C2H5)3, concomitant with equimolar iminium zwitterion (C6F5)3B-CH2-CH=N(C2H5)2 [75] (Scheme 2). B(C6F5)3 is known to abstract a hydride from the α-position of a bulky amine with α-hydrogens and form an FLP with the one without α-hydrogens such as TMP. The latter system was utilized for dihydrogen activation and CO2 reduction [25,44]. We speculated that switching from B(C6F5)3 to its congener Al(C6F5)3 could achieve different coordination reactivity. As expected, the alane, considered possessing higher Lewis acidity and less steric hindrance, forms a dative bond with both Et3N and TMP. In the stoichiometric reaction between Al(C6F5)3 and Et3N, the crystalline adduct Et3N·Al(C6F5)3 was isolated in a yield of 81%. Only one set of ethyl and one set of C6F5 resonances were presented in the 1H- and 19F-NMR spectra, respectively (Figure 1). Apparently, the direct Al-N bonding prevents other reactions such as hydride abstraction, which stands in contrast to
the borane. Similarly, the TMP·Al(C₆F₅)₃ adduct was isolated as colorless crystals in a yield of 85\%, in which the complex formation was confirmed by both ¹H- and ¹⁹F-NMR spectroscopy (Figure 2). In the ¹H-NMR spectrum, the N-H signal is significantly downfield shifted to 3.71 ppm (cf. 0.64 ppm for the free TMP), as a result of the enhanced acidity after coordination to the electron-deficient alane. This adduct is stable in solution up to 2 days, which precludes the possibility of catalyst decomposition (e.g., protonolytic cleavage of the Al-C bond by the N-H proton) during the course of polymerization (vide infra). In addition, an intramolecular FLP (5) [67] was also included for the LPP study.

**Scheme 2.** Different amine/E(C₆F₅)₃ (E = B or Al) systems employed for this LPP study and their corresponding reactivity in the absence of monomer.
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**Figure 1.** ¹H- and ¹⁹F- (inset) spectra (C₆D₆) of adduct Et₃N·Al(C₆F₅)₃.
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**Figure 2.** ¹H- and ¹⁹F- (inset) spectra (C₆D₆) of adduct TMP·Al(C₆F₅)₃.
2.2. Stoichiometric Hydrogenation of MMA to Methyl Isobutyrate by Et₃N/B(C₆F₅)₃

We first examined the activity of the current amine/LA pairs toward polymerization of the linear methacrylate, MMA, but found no polymerization activity under our standard polymerization conditions for all five amine/LA systems. To gain further insight into this observation, we carried out NMR scale reactions for the amine/LA pairs with equimolar MMA (Figure 3). Accordingly, upon mixing stoichiometric amounts of Et₃N/B(C₆F₅)₃/MMA, only the signals of MMA and the products of the disproportionation reaction of Et₃N/B(C₆F₅)₃ were observed, regardless of the mixing sequence. Interestingly, addition of more B(C₆F₅)₃ into the above mixture resulted in the consumption of MMA and formation of a new species, which was identified as methyl isobutyrate, Me₂CHC(=O)OMe, a product of C=C double bond hydrogenation of MMA (Scheme 3). This transfer hydrogenation was fast and clean, and all of the MMA was consumed in the presence of an additional equivalent of B(C₆F₅)₃. Noteworthy is the inability to generate the ammonium enolborate initiation species, which was further supported by the presence of the geminal protons (=CH₂) NMR signals derived from a 1:1:1 mixture of TMP/B(C₆F₅)₃/MMA (see Supporting Information). Similarly, mixing of a preformed Et₃N·Al(C₆F₅)₃ adduct with MMA only resulted in the replacement of Et₃N with MMA, as indicated by the generation of free Et₃N and the remaining geminal protons (=CH₂) signals. Overall, these NMR experiments showed that the combination of Et₃N or TMP with E(C₆F₅)₃ provides insufficient activation for the polymerization of MMA, either due to the reduction of MMA into the inactive methyl isobutyrate, or the steric bulkiness around the amine, which impairs the effective formation of the active species for polymerization.

Figure 3. ¹H-NMR spectra (CD₂Cl₂) of a mixture of Et₃N/B(C₆F₅)₃/MMA in the ratio of 1:1:1 (top), 1:1.5:1 (middle) and 1:2:1 (bottom, some amount of Et₃N-H⋯H-B(C₆F₅)₃ remains due to a slight deficiency of MMA).
Scheme 3. Stoichiometric hydrogenation of MMA to methyl isobutyrate by Et$_3$N/B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$.

2.3. Characteristic of $\gamma$MMBL Polymerization by Amine/E(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$

Next, we set out to investigate activity of the amine/E(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ systems toward cyclic $\gamma$MMBL, the results of which were summarized in Table 1. The intramolecular FLP system 5 yielded no polymer formation up to 24 h, under the current standard conditions and with or without another equivalent of B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (entries 17 and 18). Mixing of equimolar $\gamma$MMBL and 5 in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ led to formation of adduct $\gamma$MMBL $\cdot$ 5 via the Lewis acidic borane site in 5, but no active species or polymer formation. This result implies that the inability of 5 to polymerize $\gamma$MMBL is attributed to the lack of initiation by the bulky amine site in 5. On the other hand, the intermolecular Lewis pair systems 1 to 4 initiated rapid and quantitative polymerization of $\gamma$MMBL into P$_\gamma$MMBL. Under our current standard polymerization conditions ([$\gamma$MMBL]:[LA]:[LB] = 200:2:1, 0.5 mL $\gamma$MMBL, 2.0 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$, RT), Et$_3$N/LA system 1 (B) or 3 (Al) polymerized $\gamma$MMBL with full conversion in 1 or 0.5 min, giving P$_\gamma$MMBL with $M_n = 3.12$ or $1.92 \times 10^4$ g·mol$^{-1}$ ($D = 2.31$ or 2.02), thus achieving an averaged turn-over frequency (TOF) of 12,000 or 24,000 h$^{-1}$ for the borane or alane, respectively (entries 1 and 3). Increasing the ratio to 800:2:1 resulted in an increase of $M_n$ to 5.13 or $3.30 \times 10^4$ g·mol$^{-1}$ for the B and Al LA, respectively, although a significantly prolonged polymerization period of 60 or 30 min was needed to achieve full monomer conversion (entries 2 and 4). Overall, the alane showed two-fold higher activity over the borane when coupled with Et$_3$N. Moreover, the reverse addition sequence, in which equimolar Et$_3$N and LA were premixed, followed by addition to a CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution of $\gamma$MMBL, was also effective, albeit a slightly slower rate compared to the standard procedure, with or without an additional equivalent of LA. A stoichiometric reaction of Et$_3$N/B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$/$\gamma$MMBL revealed that all of the monomer was rapidly consumed, with generation of P$_\gamma$MMBL (see Supporting Information). We reasoned that ammonium hydridoborate, formed by premixing of Et$_3$N and B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$, can initiate the polymerization via the nucleophilic hydride, whereas the Et$_3$N·Al(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ adduct formed via premixing can dissociate in the presence of $\gamma$MMBL and thus promote the subsequent initiation and bimolecular, activated monomer propagation.

As mentioned above, the combination of the alane or the borane with TMP offered more well-defined coordination chemistry (i.e., either CLA or FLP). Hence, the TMP/LA pairs were investigated for LPP with 6 different [monomer]:[LA]:[TMP] ratios, ranging from 50:2:1 to 1600:2:1, as well as different
addition sequences. Impressively, the polymerizations were finished within 1 min for a ratio up to of 800:2:1 (entries 5–9 and 11–15), and even under lower catalyst loading (0.0625 mol % relative to TMP) conditions (1600:2:1), the polymerizations were completed in 3 min (entries 10 and 16). Thus, the polymerization by the B/TMP and Al/TMP Lewis pairs was very rapid with TOF up to 96,000 h$^{-1}$ (for Al), providing polymers with $M_n$ ranging from medium 6.75 × 10$^4$ to high 2.55 × 10$^5$ g·mol$^{-1}$ ($D = 1.54$ to 1.92) and medium 6.96 × 10$^4$ to high 1.38 × 10$^5$ g·mol$^{-1}$ ($D = 2.16$ to 2.42) for the borane and alane, respectively. Interestingly, under the current standard conditions, if the LAs were premixed with TMP and added to a CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution of the monomer, the polymerization proceeded rapidly as well. This result confirmed that the TMP·Al(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ adduct formed via premixing can rapidly dissociate in the presence of $\gamma$MMBL monomer and promote the subsequent initiation and bimolecular, activated monomer propagation [58,59], while the B/TMP FLP should be active regardless of the addition sequence.

Table 1. Selected results of polymerization of $\gamma$MMBL by LA/amine LPs$^a$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>LP</th>
<th>[M]/[Base]</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Conv. $^b$ (%)</th>
<th>TOF (h$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$M_n$ $^c$ (kg·mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D$ $^d$ $(M_w/M_n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>B/Et$_3$N (1)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Al/Et$_3$N (3)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>B/TMP (2)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>67.5 $^d$</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>100 $^d$</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>145 $^d$</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>156 $^d$</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>253 $^d$</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>255 $^d$</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Al/TMP (4)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96,000</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>B-TMP (5)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 $^e$</td>
<td>5 + B</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Conditions: carried out at RT (ca. 25 °C) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ with 2.5 mL of the total solution; $^b$ Monomer conversion measured by $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy; $^c$ Number-average molecular weight ($M_n$) and molecular weight distribution ($D$) determined by GPC in DMF relative to PMMA standards; $^d$ Bimodal distribution, with an additional small peak (5.0% to 18.3%) on the higher molecular weight region; $^e$ Carried out with an additional equivalent of B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$. 
3. Experimental Section

3.1. Materials, Reagents, and Methods

All syntheses and manipulations of air- and moisture-sensitive materials were carried out in flamed Schlenk-type glassware on a dual-manifold Schlenk line, on a high-vacuum line, or in an inert gas-filled glovebox. NMR-scale reactions were conducted in Teflon-valve-sealed J. Young-type NMR tubes. HPLC-grade organic solvents were first sparged extensively with nitrogen during filling 20 L solvent reservoirs and then dried by passage through activated alumina (for Et₂O, THF, and CH₂Cl₂) followed by passage through Q-5 supported copper catalyst (for toluene and hexanes) stainless steel columns. Benzene-d₆ and toluene-d₈ were dried over sodium/potassium alloy and vacuum-distilled or filtered, whereas CD₂Cl₂ and CDCl₃ were dried over activated Davison 4 Å molecular sieves. HPLC-grade dimethyl formamide (DMF) was degassed and dried over CaH₂ overnight, followed by vacuum distillation (CaH₂ was removed before distillation). NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Inova 300 (300 MHz, ¹H; 75 MHz, ¹³C; 282 MHz, ¹⁹F) or a Varian 400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts for ¹H, ¹⁹F- and ¹³C- spectra were referenced to internal solvent resonances and are reported as parts per million relative to SiMe₄.

Methyl methacrylate (MMA) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA), while γ-methyl-α-methylene-γ-butyrolactone (γMMBL) was purchased from TCI America (Portland, OR, USA). These monomers were first degassed and dried over CaH₂ overnight, followed by vacuum distillation. Further purification of MMA involved titration with neat tri(n-octyl)aluminum to a yellow end point [76], followed by distillation under reduced pressure. All purified monomers were stored in brown bottles and stored inside a glovebox prior to use. BHT-H was recrystallized from hexanes prior to use. Tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane, obtained as a research gifts from Boulder Scientific Company (Mead, CO, USA), was further purified by sublimation under vacuum. Tris(pentafluorophenyl)alane·(toluene)₀.₅ [77–79] and 1-(2-[bis(pentafluorophenyl)boryl]benzyl)-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine [67] were synthesized according to literature procedures.

3.2. Isolation of Adduct Et₃N·Al(C₆F₅)₃

Al(C₆F₅)₃·(toluene)₀.₅ (135 mg, 0.235 mmol) and Et₃N (32.6 µL, 0.235 mmol, 1.0 equiv) were dissolved in toluene (1 mL) in a glovebox. The mixture was allowed to react for 10 min, then layered with hexanes (4 mL), and placed in a freezer and recrystallized at −35 °C. The titled product was isolated as colorless crystals. Yield: 120 mg (81%). ¹H-NMR (C₆D₆, 23 °C): δ 2.60 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H, CH₂CH₃), 0.55 (t, 9H, CH₂C₆H₃). ¹³C-NMR (C₆D₆, 23 °C): δ 150.1, 141.9, 137.4 (C₆F₅, ipso-C₆F₅-Al not observed), 47.4 (CH₂), 8.8 (CH₃). ¹⁹F-NMR (C₆D₆, 23 °C): δ −119.0 (d, J = 19.4 Hz, 6F, o-F), −152.0 (t, J = 19.9 Hz, 3F, p-F), −160.9 (m, 6F, m-F) ppm.
3.3. Isolation of Adduct TMP·Al(C₆F₅)₃

Al(C₆F₅)₃·(toluene)₀.₅ (141 mg, 0.246 mmol) and TMP (42 μL, 0.246 mmol, 1.0 equiv) were dissolved in toluene (1 mL) in a glovebox. The mixture was allowed to react for 10 min, then layered with hexanes (3 mL), and placed in a freezer and recrystallized at −35 °C. The titled product was isolated as colorless crystals and kept in the freezer to avoid decomposition. Yield: 140 mg (85%).

¹H-NMR (C₆D₆, 23 °C): δ 3.72 (br, 1H, NH), 1.09 (br, 6H, C₆H₃), 0.93 (br, 6H, CH₃), 0.90 (br, 4H, CH₂), 0.75 (m, 2H, CH₂). ¹³C-NMR (C₆D₆, 23 °C): δ 150.2, 142.1, 137.5 (C₆F₅, ipso-C₆F₅-Al not observed), 60.4, 40.6, 32.7, 24.7, 16.2 (TMP).

¹⁹F-NMR (C₆D₆, 23 °C): δ −119.1 (d, 3J = 16.1 Hz, 6F, o-F), −151.7 (t, 3J = 20.3 Hz, 3F, p-F), −161.2 (p, 3J = 18.3 Hz, 6F, m-F) ppm.

3.4. Stoichiometric Hydrogenation of MMA by Et₃N/2B(C₆F₅)₃ to Methyl Isobutyrate

A CD₂Cl₂ (0.7 mL) solution of MMA (5.0 L, 0.0469 mmol) in a J. Young NMR tube was added B(C₆F₅)₃ (24 mg, 0.0469 mmol), followed by addition of Et₃N (6.54 L, 0.0469 mmol). The mixture was allowed to react for 10 min before ¹H-NMR measurement, which indicated formation of ammonium hydridoborate (C₆F₅)₃B-H···H-N(C₂H₅)₃ and iminium zwitterion (C₆F₅)₃B-CH₂-CH=N(C₂H₅)₂ but without consuming any MMA (Scheme 3, Figure 3). In the next step, a second equivalent of B(C₆F₅)₃ was transferred to the same NMR tube and the reaction progress was monitored by ¹H-NMR. The transfer hydrogenation went to completion yielding methyl isobutyrate.

3.5. Typical Procedure for the NMR Scale Reactions (TMP/B(C₆F₅)₃/MMA as an Example)

A CD₂Cl₂ (0.7 mL) solution of MMA (5.0 L, 0.0469 mmol) in a J. Young NMR tube was added B(C₆F₅)₃ (24 mg, 0.0469 mmol), followed by addition of TMP (7.98 L, 0.0469 mmol). The mixture was allowed to react for 10 min before ¹H-NMR measurement, which indicated the retention of the geminal protons of MMA.

In the case of the alane, a different addition sequence was adopted, in which the preformed amine/Al adduct was transferred to the corresponding monomer in specific deuterated solvents as the solvent will decompose the alane upon mixing directly.

3.6. General Polymerization Procedures

Polymerizations were performed either in 25 mL flame-dried Schlenk flasks interfaced to the dual-manifold Schlenk line for runs using external temperature bath, or in 20 mL glass reactors inside the glovebox for ambient temperature (ca. 25 °C) runs. In a typical polymerization procedure, a predetermined amount of B(C₆F₅)₃ or Al(C₆F₅)₃ was first dissolved in a monomer (0.5 mL of MMA or γMMBL, 200 equiv relative to the LB) and 2.0 mL of solvent (CH₂Cl₂) inside a glovebox. The polymerization was started by rapid addition of a solution of a LB (1 equiv of an amine) in 0.5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ via a gastight syringe to the above mixture containing the LA and monomer under vigorous stirring. The amount of the monomer was fixed for the varied [M]/[LB] ratio runs. In a second procedure of polymerization, the premixed LA/LB system (or the intramolecular Lewis pair) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of toluene (for the alane system since the alane is known to decompose in CH₂Cl₂ in the absence of a monomer) or CH₂Cl₂ (for the borane system), followed by addition to a CH₂Cl₂
(2.0 mL) solution of monomer (0.5 mL) to initiate the polymerization. After the measured time interval, a 0.2 mL aliquot was taken from the reaction mixture via syringe and quickly quenched into a 4-mL vial containing 0.6 mL of undried “wet” CDCl₃ stabilized by 250 ppm of BHT-H; the quenched aliquots were later analyzed by ¹H-NMR to obtain the percent monomer conversion data. After the polymerization was stirred for the stated reaction time and then the polymer was immediately precipitated into 200 mL of methanol, stirred for 1 h, filtered, washed with methanol, and dried in a vacuum oven at 50 °C overnight to a constant weight.

3.7. Polymer Characterizations

Polymer number-average molecular weights (Mₙ) and molecular weight distributions (D = Mₘ/Mₙ) were measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) analyses carried out at 40 °C and a flow rate of 1.0 mL·min⁻¹, with DMF as the eluent, on a Waters University 1500 GPC instrument equipped with one PLgel 5 μm guard and three PLgel 5 μm mixed-C columns (Polymer Laboratories; linear range of molecular weight = 200–2,000,000). The instrument was calibrated with 10 PMMA standards, and chromatograms were processed with Waters Empower software (version 2002).

4. Conclusions

In summary, Lewis pairs consisting of bulky amine Et₃N and TMP as LBs and bulky E(C₆F₅)₃ as strong organo-LAs were employed for investigation into their reactivity toward two acrylic monomers, including linear MMA and cyclic γMMBL. While TMP and B(C₆F₅)₃ form an FLP, Et₃N reacts with B(C₆F₅)₃ to form disproportionation products ammonium hydridoborate ionic pair and iminium zwitterion. On the other hand, the stoichiometric reaction of Et₃N and TMP with Al(C₆F₅)₃ leads to clean formation CLAs.

In the case of linear MMA, the Et₃N/2B(C₆F₅)₃ pair promotes transfer hydrogenation of MMA to form methyl isobutyrate. The TMP/B(C₆F₅)₃ FLP is also incapable of polymerizing MMA, attributable to the steric hindrance and low nucleophilicity at N in TMP, which resulted in no formation of the active propagating species. In contrast, the amine/LA pairs promote rapid polymerization of γMMBL carrying the more reactive exocyclic methylene moiety, achieving full conversion in less than 3 min even at a low catalyst loading of 0.0625 mol %. The Al/Et₃N pair displays higher activity when compared with the B/Et₃N pair. Meanwhile, TMP is more efficient than Et₃N when paired with either the borane or the alane. Of the four LA/LB pairs investigated in this study, the TMP/Al(C₆F₅)₃ pair exhibits the highest activity, achieving a maximum TOF of 96,000 h⁻¹ at 0.125 mol % of catalyst loading or 32,000 h⁻¹ at 0.0625 mol %, producing high molecular weight P₇MMBL with Mₙ = 1.29 × 10⁵ or 1.38 × 10⁵ g·mol⁻¹, respectively. As compared with the previous LBs employed for LPP, such as phosphines and NHCs, the current LPP system utilizing the inexpensive, readily available and more stable and environmentally friendly amine LBs will provide a greener alternative approach toward bio-renewable polymers based on γMMBL and other monomers.
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Supplementary materials can be accessed at: http://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/20/06/9575/s1.
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