Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Triterpene Saponins from Tea Seed Pomace (Camellia oleifera Abel) and Their Activities against Bacteria and Fungi
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Abstract: A method using LC-ESI-IT-TOF/MS and LC/UV-ELSD was established to qualitatively analyze triterpene saponins obtained from the tea seed pomace (Camellia oleifera Abel). In addition, the quantitative analysis of oleiferasaponin A1 using LC/UV was developed. The purified total saponins did not exhibit any inhibitory effects at concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 10 mg/mL against the tested bacteria, except for Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli. By contrast, higher inhibitory activity was seen against the tested fungi, especially against Bipolaris maydis. Following treatment with an MIC value of 250 μg/mL for 24 h, the mycelial morphology was markedly shriveled in appearance or showed flattened and empty hyphae, with fractured cell walls, ruptured plasmalemma and cytoplasmic coagulation or leakage. These structural changes hindered the growth of mycelia.
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1. Introduction

Tea seed pomace is the byproduct of oil manufacture with the seeds of *Camellia (C.) oleifera* Abel, that includes many saponins. Zhang *et al.*, isolated, purified and identified a new saponin called oleiferasaponin A1 (mw C_{59}H_{92}O_{26} determined from the [M−H]⁻ ion at m/z 1215.57975) [1]. Huang *et al.*, identified a new compound called sasanquasaoponin (C_{58}H_{92}O_{26}, SQS) [2]. Also, the major component of the saponin mixture established as camelliasaponin B1 (C_{58}H_{89}O_{26}) was reported by Kuo [3]. All the saponins found from tea seed pomace (*C. oleifera*) are oleanane-type triterpenoid saponins. However, few qualitative and quantitative analytical reports exist with regard to triterpenoids in tea seed pomace.

Since natural compounds, and especially triterpenoids, have shown potential novel biological activities, we have taken increasing interest in their effects and mechanisms of action. For example, studies have demonstrated that triterpenoids in *Camellia* plants showed anti-hypercholesterolemic activity [4], antioxidant activity [5], anti-hyperlipidemic activities [6], protective effects on injury of endothelial cells [7], radical scavenging effects [8], antiallergic activity [9], inhibitory effects on gastric emptying [10] and cardioprotective effects [11]. It has also been demonstrated that triterpenoids extracted from tea seed pomace (*C. oleifera*) were widely used as emulsifying agents [12]. Thus, the efficacy could be increased when they were used in pesticides and bactericides. If they have activities against bacteria and fungal species, the triterpenoids extracted from tea seed pomace could be potentially materials for biopesticide manufacture.

Our group has recently extracted and isolated total saponins from tea seed pomace. In this study, an HPLC-UV/ELSD/MS method was developed for the simultaneous qualitative analysis of the main saponins, and the quantitative analysis of oleiferasaponin A1 as has been reported previously [1]. Their activities against bacteria and fungal species were screened. The alteration of mycelial morphology and ultrastructure was further studied, and the inhibitory effects of triterpene saponins from tea seed pomace against fungi was proved.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. TLC and HPLC Analysis of the Crude and Purified Saponins

There were four clearly identified spots on the TLC plate after the crude saponins was visualized. Saponins were detected at an approximate Rₓ 0.45 and as the third spot, which was displayed as a purple-black zone, suggesting that these solids possessed the triterpenoid basic skeleton [3]. The first and second spots were inferred as flavonoids, and the fourth spot was considered sugars, which were also the major components of tea seed pomace (*C. oleifera*) [3,13]. The purified total saponins obtained by an AB-8 macroporous resin column contained highly similar triterpenoids, which appeared as a large spot due to their structure. HPLC-UV analysis at 280 nm indicated that the peaks obtained before five minutes were flavonoids because their maximum absorption wavelengths were 260 nm and 340 nm. The peaks after five minutes were inferred as triterpenoid saponins according to subsequent studies (Figure 1, Table 1). The crude saponins included two major species of flavonoids indicated as compounds 1 and 2. By contrast, the purified total saponins rarely included flavonoids.
Figure 1. TLC and HPLC/UV analysis of saponins ((A) Crude saponins and (B) Purified saponins).

Table 1. Estimated molecular weights for saponins in tea seed pomace.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Retention Time (min)</th>
<th>[M−H]−</th>
<th>MS²</th>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Retention Time (min)</th>
<th>[M−H]−</th>
<th>MS²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.481</td>
<td>1219.6161</td>
<td>1081, 949</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21.265</td>
<td>1287.6088</td>
<td>1119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.987</td>
<td>1263.6080</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25.252</td>
<td>1303.6437</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.303</td>
<td>1291.6013</td>
<td>1071, 891</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28.290</td>
<td>1335.6119</td>
<td>1137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.810</td>
<td>1201.5639</td>
<td>1021, 889</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29.429</td>
<td>1379.6349</td>
<td>1199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.633</td>
<td>1203.5867</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30.505</td>
<td>1349.6264</td>
<td>1169, 1037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.202</td>
<td>1233.5984</td>
<td>1053</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31.138</td>
<td>1377.6161</td>
<td>1019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.772</td>
<td>1203.5851</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32.341</td>
<td>1347.6083</td>
<td>1135, 1003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.911</td>
<td>1201.5714</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33.353</td>
<td>1377.6205</td>
<td>1181, 1001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13.544</td>
<td>1223.5588</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>34.935</td>
<td>1349.8273</td>
<td>989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.240</td>
<td>1217.6029</td>
<td>1095, 963, 783</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>39.556</td>
<td>476.2768</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.063</td>
<td>1187.5958</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40.128</td>
<td>578.3482</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>16.139</td>
<td>1039.5210</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>41.264</td>
<td>352.9290</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.898</td>
<td>1245.6371</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>43.543</td>
<td>554.3477</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.531</td>
<td>1215.5797</td>
<td>1035, 903</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>45.372</td>
<td>595.2912</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.670</td>
<td>1317.6192</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>46.434</td>
<td>580.3632</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>19.746</td>
<td>1287.6083</td>
<td>1085, 905</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>51.171</td>
<td>571.2912</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>20.506</td>
<td>1231.6183</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: "-" not detected.

2.2. Typical Chromatograms of the Total Saponins

Representative chromatograms of the purified total saponins are shown in Figure 2. The results indicated that triterpene saponins (compound 1 to 26) were clearly separated and more sensitively detected at UV 280 nm (Figure 2A) than using an ELSD detector (Figure 2B). From the total ion chromatogram (Figure 2C), compounds 27 to 33 were speculated as aglycones because the molecular weights were lower, and they did not possess any ultraviolet absorption.
Figure 2. Typical chromatograms of saponins in tea seed pomace (C. oleifera). (A) Chromatogram at UV 280 nm (LC/UV); (B) Chromatogram at ELSD (LC/ELSD); (C) Total ion chromatogram (LC/TOF-MS).

The qualitative determination method of saponins in tea seed pomace was built using liquid chromatography coupled with electrospray ionization hybrid ion trap and time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LC-ESI-IT-TOF/MS). The \(m/z\) ([M–H]) was mainly 1,039–1,379 (Table 1), which was consistent with theasaponins A\(_1\) (1,189), A\(_2\) (1,231), A\(_3\) (1,273), F\(_1\) (1,217), F\(_2\) (1,259) and F\(_3\) (1,259) from the seeds of *Camellia sinensis* [14], assamsaponin J (1319) from tea leaves of *Camellia sinensis* var. *assamica* [10], sasanquasaponins I-V (1,232, 1,218, 1,218, 1,218, and 1,204) from the flower buds of *Camellia sasanqua* Thunb [9], yuchasaponins A (1,316), B (1,300), C (1,316) and D (1,300) from
the flower buds of *C. oleifera* [15], floratheasaponins A (1,215), B (1,271), and C (1,273) from the flowers of *Camellia sinensis* [6], camellioside A (1,103), B (1,145), C (1,087), and D (1,119) from the flower buds of *Camellia japonica*. Peak 4 (Figure 2) was postulated as C$_{58}$H$_{89}$O$_{26}$ with the MS/MS fragmentation patterns of the parent ion at $m/z$ 1,201 confirming the successive loss of a hexose residue ($m/z$ 1,021 [M-H-C$_6$H$_{11}$O$_6$]$^-$) and a pentose residue ($m/z$ 889 [M-H-C$_{11}$H$_{19}$O$_{10}$]$^-$), which was the same as camelliasaponin B$_1$ found in the seed of *Camellia japonica* L [3]. Peak 14 (Figure 2) was reported as oleiferasaponin A$_1$ ($m/z$ 1215.5797 [M$-$H]$^-$) with the MS/MS fragmentation patterns ($m/z$ 1,035 and 903) [1]. The preceding discussion illustrated to us that saponins found in *Camellia* have a high degree of structural identity.

It was speculated that there were many saponins in tea seed pomace with a difference in molecular weight of 2 and 30 as determined by LC-ESI-IT-TOF/MS (Table 1), which was in accordance with the structure of triterpene saponin genins (Figure 3) [6,9,10,14,16–26]. Compounds with a difference in molecular weight of 2 were possible because the -CHO residue replaced the -CH$_2$O residue at the C4 position. Additionally, compounds with a difference in molecular weight of 30 were possible owing to the -CHO residue being replaced by the -CH$_3$ residue at the C4 position and the -OH residue being replaced by the -H residue at the C21 position. On the basis of the MS$^2$ data (Table 1) of [M$-$H]$^-$ in the major compounds (1, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 14, 16, 21, 22, 24, 25), we inferred $m/z$ with a difference of 180 was due to the loss of a hexose, and a difference of 132 was due to the loss of a pentose, which also demonstrated that these compounds were triterpenoids with some glycosyls.

**Figure 3.** The genin structures of triterpene saponins.
2.3. Quantitative Analysis of Oleiferasaponin A₁

Oleiferasaponin A₁ was taken as the standard compound, and the standard curve for HPLC/UV analysis at a wavelength of 280 nm (Figure 4) was expressed as \( y = 553,272x - 2,357.1 \) (\( R^2 = 0.9997 \)), which indicated that it was a linear correlation relationship between 0.0096 and 6.0 mg/mL. And the recovery of oleiferasaponin A₁ added into the purified total saponin at the concentration 0.0096, 3.0 and 6.0 mg/mL were respectively 99.34%–105.42%, 98.20%–100.79% and 99.13%–100.26%. Most saponins in tea seed pomace have clear absorption values at 280 nm. Thus HPLC/UV analysis is a reliable and convenient method.

**Figure 4.** The standard curve for HPLC/UV analysis of oleiferasaponin A₁ (280 nm).

2.4. Activities against Bacteria and Fungus

The purified total saponins from tea seed pomace were examined for their anti-bacterial and anti-fungal activity (Tables 2 and 3 respectively). The results showed that they did not exhibit any inhibitory effects at concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 10 mg/mL against the tested bacteria except for *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*. However, the purified total saponins at 1 mg/mL and 10 mg/mL showed low inhibitory effects against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*. By contrast, purified total saponins from tea seed pomace had higher inhibitory activities against the tested fungi, especially against *B. maydis* and *Fusarium moniliforme sheld*. The purified total saponins at 0.1 mg/mL significantly inhibited the growth of mycelia. In addition, the anti-fungal effects of the purified total saponins also showed a concentration-dependent tendency. Thus the saponins from tea seed pomace could be exploited as fungal inhibitors.
Table 2. Anti-bacterial activity of different concentrations of saponins from tea seed pomace on nutrient agar plates incubated at 37 °C for 24 h (inhibitory zone diameter: mm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Concentration of Purified Total Saponins (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micrococcus tetragenus</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas fluorescens</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella enterica</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigellae</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means (n = 3) ± SD followed by different letters (a, b and c) indicated significantly different scores in the same bacteria, according to Duncan’s multiple range tests at p = 0.05 level. Key: “-” not detected.

Table 3. Anti-fungal activity of different concentrations of saponins from tea seed pomace on potato dextrose agar plates incubated at 27 °C for 72 h (inhibitory zone diameter: mm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungi</th>
<th>Concentration of Purified Total Saponins (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolaris maydis</td>
<td>20.1 ± 1.5 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusarium moniliforme sheld</td>
<td>14.1 ± 1.19 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum f. lycopersici</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum f. varsinfectum</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloeosporium theae sinensis Miyake</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means (n = 3) ± SD followed by different letters (a, b and c) indicated significantly different scores in the same fungi, according to Duncan’s multiple range tests at p = 0.05 level. Key: “-” not detected.

2.5. The Alteration of Mycelial Morphology and Ultrastructure

The mycelia of B. maydis were tenuous and smooth (Figure 5A). Among the experimental treatments, the purified total saponins had prominent inhibitory effects against B. maydis with an MIC value of 250 μg/mL. Following optical microscopic observation of the mycelium of B. maydis treated with 250 μg/mL purified total saponins for 24 h, we discovered that mycelial morphology appeared markedly shriveled, which hindered the growth of the mycelium (Figure 5B).

With transmission electron microscopy, the ultrastructure showed irreversible alterations caused by purified total saponins. We observed ruptured cell walls, plasmalemma and cytoplasmic coagulation or leakage (Figure 6B). Based on our observations, we hypothesized that purified total saponins destroyed the cell wall of B. maydis hyphae, damaged the cell membrane, penetrated the cytoplasm, and acted on major organelles. Subsequently, the hyphae were collapsed and appeared squashed due to a large loss in cytoplasm, and severe destruction of the organelles. Similarly, total saponins ruptured the hard cell wall structure, and act on the sporoplasm to kill the conidia. Total saponins in tea seed pomace could potentially provide a safe and environmentally friendly fungicide in the future.
Figure 5. The morphological alteration of B. maydis mycelium treated by total saponin at a concentration of 250 μg/mL for 24 h observed with optical microscope. (A) Healthy mycelium; (B) treated mycelium.

Figure 6. Transmission electron microscopy of mycelial ultrastructure of B. maydis treated by total saponin at a concentration of 250 μg/mL for 24 h. (A) Healthy mycelium; (B) treated mycelium; A ×7,000 and B ×10,000 magnification.

3. Experimental Section

3.1. General

High-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis was performed for the crude and purified saponins on a liquid chromatograph (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) with a prominence diode array detector Model SPD-M20A. HPLC analysis was also performed for the typical chromatograms on a WATERS 600 liquid chromatograph (Waters, Milford, PA, USA) with an ultraviolet (UV) detector and an evaporative light scattering detector (ELSD). LC-ESI-IT-TOF/MS analyses were performed on a Shimadzu LCMS-IT-TOF instrument equipped with a Shimadzu Prominence HPLC system. The purification was performed using an AB-8 macroporous resin column (Bone, Cangzhou, China), and thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using a GF254 plate (Qingdao Shenghai Silicon Material Co. Ltd., Qingdao, China). The observation of mycelial morphology of B. maydis was done using an optical microscope (Motic, Chengdu, China) and the observation of the mycelial structure of B. maydis was done using a HT7700 transmission electron microscope (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).
3.2. Plant Material

Tea seed pomace (C. oleifera) was collected from a factory site in Shucheng, Anhui, China, and was deposited at the Key Laboratory of Tea Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Ministry of Education and Ministry of Agriculture, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China. The test microorganisms were obtained from the School of Life Sciences, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China.

3.3. Preparation of Total Saponins

The extraction and purification of total saponins from tea seed pomace (C. oleifera) were performed as previously described [1]. The extraction process was done using methanol three times, following which a brown syrup was obtained, which was then purified with a nanofiltration membrane. Next, the concentrated solution was subjected to AB-8 macroporous resin column chromatography with stepwise gradients of ethanol and water (at ratios of: 0:100, 30:70, 70:30, and 100:0, v/v). The third sub-fraction contained total saponins and was then subjected to HPLC analysis and tests of anti-bacterial and anti-fungal activities.

3.4. TLC Analysis Conditions

The crude and purified saponins were distributed with CH$_2$Cl$_2$:CH$_3$OH:H$_2$O (80:60:5, v/v/v) on a TLC plate. The components were visualized by spraying with 1% (w/v) Ce(SO$_4$)$_2$ in 10% (v/v) aqueous H$_2$SO$_4$ followed by heating at 120 °C.

3.5. Chromatographic Conditions and LC/MS Confirmation Analysis

The Shimadzu LC-20 system consisted of a DGU-20A5 pump, Prominence diode array detector, Model SPD-M20A and a 5 μL injection loop. The Waters 600 liquid chromatograph comprised a quaternary pump, a vacuum degasser, a manual sample injector, a 5 μL injection loop, a column oven, an ELSD detector and an UV detector. An Agilent Zorbax eclipse plus C18 (5 μM; 250 × 4.6 mm i.d.) column was employed, at 25 °C.

HPLC conditions were as follows: eluent A, 0.2% acetic acid in water; eluent B, acetonitrile; gradient, 0–15 min (35%–40% B), 15–45 min (40%–60% B), 45–55 min (60%–80% B), 55–56 min (80%–35% B); flow rate, 1.0 mL/min; UV detection was set at a wavelength of 280 nm; the pressure of carrier gas and temperature of the drift tube were set at 25 psi and 80 °C respectively in the HPLC-ELSD experiment.

The LCMS-IT-TOF instrument was equipped with an ESI source and the optimized MS conditions were as follows: negative ion mode; nebulizing gas (N$_2$), 1.5 L/min; drying gas (N$_2$) pressure, 100 kPa; curved desolvation line (CDL) temperature, 200 °C; heat block temperature, 200 °C; detector voltage, 1.7 kV; electrospray voltage, −3.5 kV; scan range, m/z 200–2,000 for MS$^1$ and 200–2000 for MS$^2$. TOF region pressure, 1.4 × 10$^{-4}$ Pa; ion trap pressure, 1.8 × 10$^{-2}$ Pa, and an ion accumulated time of 30 m; The MS$^n$ data were collected in automatic mode, and the software could automatically select precursor ions for MS$^n$ analysis according to criteria settings. The data acquisition and analyses were performed by LCMS Solution Version 3 software (Shimadzu) [27].
3.6. Standard Curve for HPLC/UV Analysis

Oleiferasaponin A1 from tea seed pomace (C. oleifera) was previously obtained, and was taken as the standard substance. The concentrations were 6, 1.2, 0.24, 0.048, 0.0096 mg/mL and the peak areas at a wavelength of 280 nm were calculated by HPLC analysis, which were performed three times. Gradient elution as described above was employed.

3.7. Assay of Anti-Bacterial Activity

Antibacterial activities were evaluated by using the bore-hole plate diffusion method [28,29]. The purified total saponins from tea seed pomace were dissolved in distilled water with the final concentration of 0.1, 1 and 10 mg/mL. Six mm wide holes were bored with a sterilized steel borer into the Nutrient Agar Media (beef extract 3 g, peptone 10 g, agar 17 g, NaCl 5 g, H2O 1,000 mL, pH 7.2) in the Petri dish that was inoculated with the test microorganism. The solution of the compounds (60 μL) at specific concentrations were added into each of the holes and distilled water was used as the control group. After the plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h, the diameters of the inhibition zones were measured and recorded. The assays were performed three times in order to guarantee reproducibility of results.

3.8. Assay of Antifungal Activity

The antifungal activity assay the against plant pathogenic fungi was carried out on 100 mm × 15 mm Petri-dishes each containing 10 mL potato dextrose agar (PDA) (200 g of potato infusion, 20 g of glucose, and 20 g of agar in 1 L of distilled water) [3]. After the mycelial colony had developed, sterile Oxford cups (6 mm in diameter) were placed at a distance of 5 mm away from the rim of the mycelial colony. Next, 60 μL of the tested sample was added to each of the oxford cups. The Petri dishes were incubated at 27 °C until the fungi had crossed the Oxford cup containing the distilled water as the control, whereas the mycelial growth of the fungi had formed inhibition zones around the Oxford cups containing samples with anti-fungal activity [30]. The mycelia of the fungi were observed by optical microscopy, and the observation of the mycelial structure was achieved by visual analysis with a transmission electron microscopy as previously reported [31,32].

4. Conclusions

The qualitative analytical method of analyzing triterpene saponins from the tea seed pomace (C. oleifera) was developed with LC-ESI-IT-TOF/MS and LC/UV-ELSD. Twenty-six major triterpenoids in the tea seed pomace were identified. HPLC/UV analysis at a wavelength of 280 nm was a reliable and convenient quantitative method for analyzing oleiferasaponin A1.

Purified total saponins did not exhibit any inhibitory effects at the concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 10 mg/mL against tested bacteria, with the exception of Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli, whereas they displayed higher inhibitory activities against tested fungi, and especially against B. maydis. Observations using optical microscopy and transmission electron microscopy revealed that following treatment with an MIC of 250 μg/mL for 24 h, the morphology of the mycelia were markedly shriveled or appeared as flattened and empty hyphae, with fractured cell walls, ruptured
plasmalemma and cytoplasmic coagulation or leakage, which hindered the growth of the mycelia. Thus, it is possible for triterpene saponins found in the tea seed pomace to provide a potential of being a safe and environmentally friendly fungicide in future applications.
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