

Article

Structure-Activity Relationship Study of Sesquiterpene Lactones and Their Semi-Synthetic Amino Derivatives as Potential Antitrypanosomal Products

Stefanie Zimmermann ^{1,2}, Gerda Fouché ³, Maria De Mieri ¹, Yukiko Yoshimoto ⁴, Toyonobu Usuki ⁴, Rudzani Nthambeleni ³, Christopher J. Parkinson ⁵, Christiaan van der Westhuyzen ³, Marcel Kaiser ^{2,6}, Matthias Hamburger ¹ and Michael Adams ^{1,*}

- Department Pharmaceutical Biology, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 50, 4056 Basel, Switzerland; E-Mails: stefanie.zimmermann03@gmail.com (S.Z.); maria.demieri@unibas.ch (M.D.M.); matthias.hamburger@unibas.ch (M.H.)
- ² Department Medical Parasitology & Infection Biology, Swiss TPH, Socinstrasse 57, 4000 Basel, Switzerland; E-Mail: marcel.kaiser@unibas.ch
- ³ CSIR Biosciences, Meiring Naudé Road, Brummeria, Pretoria 0001, Gauteng, South Africa; E-Mails: gfouche@csir.co.za (G.F.); rnthambeleni@csir.co.za (R.N.); cwvdwesthuyzen@csir.co.za (C.W.)
- Department of Materials and Life Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Sophia University, 7-1 Kioicho, Chiyoda, Tokyo 102-8554, Japan; E-Mails: tkmh-937@sophia.ac.jp (Y.Y.); t-usuki@sophia.ac.jp (T.U.)
- ⁵ School of Biomedical Sciences, Charles Sturt University, Orange, NSW 2800, Australia; E-Mail: cparkinson@csu.edu.au
- ⁶ University of Basel, Petersplatz 1, 4003 Basel, Switzerland
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: michael.adams78@gmail.com; Tel.: +41-58-934-57-94; Fax: +41-58-934-50-01.

Received: 12 November 2013; in revised form: 13 March 2014 / Accepted: 13 March 2014 / Published: 21 March 2014

Abstract: Sesquiterpene lactones (STLs) are natural products that have potent antitrypanosomal activity *in vitro* and, in the case of cynaropicrin, also reduce parasitemia in the murine model of trypanosomiasis. To explore their structure-antitrypanosomal activity relationships, a set of 34 natural and semi-synthetic STLs and amino-STLs was tested *in vitro* against *T. b. rhodesiense* (which causes East African sleeping sickness) and mammalian cancer cells (rat bone myoblast L6 cells). It was found that the α -methylene- γ -lactone moiety is necessary for both antitrypanosomal effects and cytotoxicity. Antitrypanosomal

selectivity is facilitated by 2-(hydroxymethyl)acrylate or 3,4-dihydroxy-2-methylenebutylate side chains, and by the presence of cyclopentenone rings. Semi-synthetic STL amines with morpholino and dimethylamino groups showed improved *in vitro* activity over the native STLs. The dimethylamino derivative of cynaropicrin was prepared and tested orally in the *T. b. rhodesiense* acute mouse model, where it showed reduced toxicity over cynaropicrin, but also lost antitrypanosomal activity.

Keywords: cynaropicrin; sesquiterpene lactones; antitrypanosomal; cytotoxicity; structure-activity-relationship; dimethylamino analogues; *T. b. rhodesiense* acute mouse model

1. Introduction

Sleeping sickness, or human African trypanosomiasis (HAT), is a deadly protozoal disease caused by *Trypanosoma brucei* species spread by tsetse flies (*Glossina spp.*). The two human pathogenic subspecies, *T. b. rhodesiense* (95% of cases) and *T. b. gambiense* (5% of cases), differ in terms of their geographic distribution, clinical pictures, and the drugs used to treat parasitemia [1]. Currently, there are about 30,000 new HAT cases annually, and as many as 30 million people live in HAT endemic areas [2]. Despite some recent successes like nifortimox-eflornithine combination therapy (NECT) [3], HAT drugs are still inefficient by modern standards and need to be replaced by compounds that are safer and easier to administer [4].

Natural products from plants have been instrumental in developing drugs to treat protozoal diseases, such as quinine and artemisinin against malaria [5,6]. Currently, though, no natural product-based antitrypanosomal drugs are in use or even in late stage clinical development. Recently, we reported the in vivo activity [7] and mode of action [8] of cynaropicrin (1), a natural sesquiterpene lactone (STL). Although more than 883 plant-derived small molecules have shown antiprotozoal (antitrypanosomal, antiplasmodial, and antileishmanial) effects in vitro, of which 87 were STLs [5,6], this was the first reported plant compound with in vivo anti-T. brucei action specifically. STLs are a chemotaxonomic feature of the largest plant family, the Asteraceae [9], and to date more than 5,000 STLs are known [5,10]. STLs are a promising compound class for antitrypanosomal drug discovery [11–13], thus a better understanding of the structural features that contribute to activity is expedient [14,15]. This study explores the antitrypanosomal structure-activity relationships within this compound class using a group of 18 molecules comprising natural STLs and 16 semi-synthetic STL-amines and two other derivatives against T. b. rhodesiense in vitro. Mammalian cancer cells (L6 cell line) were used to evaluate cytotoxicity. The antitrypanosomal effects of the eight compounds 1, 2, 5–9, and 13 have been reported before [7,11,12], but were included for comparison. Additionally, based on the in vivo antitrypanosomal effects of 1 after intraperitoneal application [7,8], 1 and the dimethylamino derivative 19 were tested in vivo in the acute mouse model with oral application. The rationale behind derivative 19 was that masking the α , β -unsaturated enoate in the lactone ring would possibly create a prodrug with increased water solubility, improved pharmacokinetic properties, and reduced unspecific binding to biological thiols via Michael addition to the α-methylene-γ-lactone. Through subsequent bioactivation it would be converted to the parent compounds and, hence, display its biological activity

on the target. A similar approach had been previously successfully applied to several STLs with anticancer activity like helenalin, costunolide, and parthenolide [16].

2. Results and Discussion

The *in vitro* antitrypanosomal activity of compounds **1–34** was determined against T. b. rhodesiense (STIB 900 strain), while their cytotoxicity was evaluated using rat myoblast L6 cells to determine the selectivity indices (SI; IC₅₀ L6/IC₅₀ T. b.) of each compound (Figure 1, Table 1).

Figure 1. Structures of sesquiterpene lactones (STLs) **1–18** and semi-synthetic STL amino derivatives **19–34**.

Cynaropicrin (1) and onopordopicrin (2), which both have 2-(hydroxymethyl)acrylate side chains, showed IC₅₀s of 0.3 and 0.4 μ M, and SIs of 7.8 and 8.2 [7,8,12], respectively. Extension of the side chain in 2 to a 3,4-dihydroxy-2-methylenebutylate, as in cnicin (3), had little effect on activity (0.4 μ M) or selectivity (SI 10). Compound 5, which lacked the 2-(hydroxymethyl)acrylate side chain of 1, had a 16 fold lower antitrypanosomal activity (4.9 μ M) and a nine fold lower cytotoxicity (19 μ M) as compared to 1 [8]. Compound 14, a 2-methylbut-2-enoate (tiglate) STL, was less active and selective than the 2-(hydroxymethyl)acrylate STLs 1, 2, and 3. Compounds 15–18, which lack an exocyclic methylene group in the lactone ring, showed reduced antitrypanosomal activities (IC₅₀s > 12 μ M) and a total lack of cytotoxicity.

Table 1. *In vitro* activity of compounds against STIB 900 strain and L6 cells. Citations are shown for previously reported antitrypanosomal compounds.

Compound	T. brucei STIB 900 IC ₅₀ (μM) ^a	L6 cells IC ₅₀ (μM) ^a	SI b
1 [7,8]	0.3 ± 0.001	2.2 ± 0.3	7.8
2 [12]	0.4 ± 0.01	3.1 ± 1.1	8.2
3	0.4 ± 0.1	4.2 ± 0.9	10
4	0.2 ± 0.01	0.6 ± 0.02	3
5 [8]	4.9 ± 0.34	19.2 ± 3.2	3.9
6 [11]	4.4 ± 1.2	8.3 ± 1.9	1.9
7 [11]	1.3 ± 0.4	7.7 ± 1.3	5.9
8 [11]	0.8 ± 0.5	5.2 ± 0.9	6.5
9 [11]	10.8 °	15.6	1.4
10	0.6 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.5	7.6
11	5.8 ± 0.7	6.9 ± 1.8	1.2
12	0.9 ± 0.2	2.2 ± 0.1	2.5
13 [11]	1.2 ± 0.2	1.6 ± 0.1	1.3
14	3.1 ± 0.3	10.5 ± 0.1	3.4
15	54.7 ± 8.0	353.2 ± 4.0	6.4
16	45.7 ± 5.0	>292.2	>6.4
17	41.5 ± 0.8	>365.9	>8.8
18	12.9 ± 2.4	34.0 ± 1.5	2.6
19	0.5 ± 0.003	5.2 ± 1.3	10.4
20	3.6 ± 1.0	8.6 ± 1.3	2.5
21	4.2 ± 0.8	9.4 ± 2.2	2.2
22	0.7 ± 0.1	7.4 ± 0.8	10.3
23	11.8 ± 2.7	65.6 ± 9.7	5.6
24	2.4 ± 0.7	31.3 ± 1.4	13.3
25	2.6 ± 0.5	9.9 ± 1.6	3.8
26	6.7 ± 1.3	>236.2	>35.0
27	13.0 ± 1.4	45.6 ± 3.2	4
28	13.4 ± 1.1	87.9 ± 2.2	6.5
29	6.6 ± 0.7	22.1 ± 4.0	3.3
30	9.9 ± 1.6	31.8 ± 1.3	3.2
31	7.0 ± 2.2	21.8 ± 2.7	3.1
32	10.6 ± 1.5	34.8 ± 1.2	3.4
33	10.2 ± 3.2	27.6 ± 6.7	2.7
34	5.4 ± 1.1	22.5 ± 1.6	4.2
Melarsoprol d	0.01 ± 0.01	21.7 ± 8.7	2170
Podophyllotoxin ^e		0.02 ± 0.01	

^a Average of three independent assays. ^b Selectivity Index (SI): IC₅₀ against L6 cells divided by IC₅₀ against STIB 900 strain. ^c tested once. ^d positive control for STIB 900 assay. ^e positive control for cytotoxicity assay.

The antitrypanosomal activity of the semi-synthetic dimethylamino derivative **19** (IC₅₀ 0.5 μ M) was moderately higher than that of the parent compound **1** (0.3 μ M). Compound **21**, a diethylamine derivative of **16**, was markedly more active than its parent compound (IC₅₀ 4.2 μ M for **21**, compared to 46 μ M for **16**). The morpholino STL derivatives **22–25** exhibited fairly wide variations in activity. The

adduct **22** (IC₅₀ 0.7 μ M) was as potent as starting methylene compound **10** (IC₅₀ 0.7 μ M), with slightly increased selectivity (SIs of 10.3 and 7.6, respectively). Compounds **23** and **24** showed low cytotoxicity (IC₅₀s 65.6 μ M and 31.3 μ M, respectively), but **24** had much higher antitrypanosomal activity (IC₅₀ 2.4 μ M) than **23** (IC₅₀ 11.8 μ M). Compound **25** had a similar (IC₅₀ 2.6 μ M) activity against *T. b. rhodesiense* as **24**.

The STL tyramine adducts 26–30 showed low cytotoxicities (IC₅₀s 22.1 μ M to > 236 μ M), and 29 had the highest antitrypanosomal activity (IC₅₀ 6.6 μ M) of the Michael adducts tested. The 1-(2-chlorophenyl)piperazinyl STL 31 and the 2-(4-chlorophenyl)ethylamino STLs 32-34 all showed low antitrypanosomal activity (IC₅₀s > 5 μ M) and modest cytotoxicity (IC₅₀s > 20 μ M).

We recently reported *in vivo* antitrypanosomal effects of 1 [7,8]. Upon intraperitoneal administration, the parasitemia was decreased over several days, but the compound was not able to cure the mice when they were treated with 10 mg/kg/b.i.d. for four consecutive days. In an attempt to improve the bioavailability, the exocyclic double bond in the lactone ring was masked to obtain water soluble dimethylamino derivative 19. Compounds 1 and 19 were orally administered in the acute sleeping sickness mouse model. Four mice, each treated with 50 mg/kg body weight/day of 1, showed reduced parasitemia on day 7 after infection. However, the animals were euthanized on day 10 post infection due to obvious signs of cytotoxicity of the compound. Mice treated with compound 19 exhibited less signs of toxicity. However, the compound showed no *in vivo* efficacy, since the mean survival time was the same as for the control (Table 2).

Table 2. Activity of compound 1 and 19 in the STIB 900 mouse model of trypanosomiasis.

Compound	RA a	dose (mg/kg)	survival (days) b
1	po	4×50	9.5
19	po	4×50	10
19	po	4 × 25	8.5

^a RA, route of administration: per oral (po). ^b Average days of survival of all mice; untreated controls euthanized at day 10 post infection.

Discussion

This structure-activity relationship (SAR) study showed the STL 2-(hydroxymethyl)acrylates 1, 2, and 4 along with the STL 3,4-dihydroxy-2-methylenebutylate 3 are the most active and selective STLs against T. b. rhodesiense. These compounds have two active α,β -unsaturated enoate moieties in common, one on the lactone ring and the other on the side chain. Compound 10 has no side chain with an enoate moiety, but does possess a cyclopentenone group, which can serve as the additional reactive enone. In fact, vernodalin (4), which has a third reactive α,β -unsaturated enoate group, had slightly higher potency (along with greater toxicity) than 1. STLs lacking a reactive α,β -enoate function in their side chains (12, 13, and 14) or with no side chain (5, 6) showed weaker antitrypanosomal and cytotoxicity effects compared to their analogues (1, 2, and 3). The results are in accordance with findings by others [16–18]. Schmidt $et\ al$. showed in a SAR study using 40 STLs that bifunctionality as Michael acceptors is very important for a high level of antitrypanosomal activity but that very similar structural features determine both antiprotozoal and cytotoxic activity [14]. This was recently confirmed by the same authors with a larger data set of over 70 STLs [17]. Many bioactivities in STLs

have been attributed to a Michael addition of the methylene- γ -lactone moiety to biological thiols [19]. Recent findings on the adduct formation of the two α , β -unsaturated nucleophilic enoate groups at C13 and C3' in 1 with trypanothione and glutathione in trypanosomes corroborated the long presumed mode of action of STLs, in addition to an inhibition of ornithine decarboxylase [8]. The same mode of action can be expected for 2, 3, and 4. Regarding the 14 semisynthetic STL amines tested here, it was observed that the addition of morpholino and dimethylamino groups maintained or even enhanced the *in vitro* activity compared to their parent structures, whereas tyramino-, 2-(4-chlorophenyl)ethylamino- and 1-(2-chlorophenyl)piperazyl derivatives were generally two to four fold more active than their parent compounds having no enoate moiety, albeit with the disadvantage of higher toxicity (SI in the range 3–7, generally).

Compared to 1, the toxicity and antitrypanosomal activity of 19 in the *T. brucei rhodesiense* acute mouse model after oral application was poorer. Since the amino derivative 19 –in spite of a reasonably high level of *in vitro* activity- did not show any *in vivo* efficacy, it will have to be investigated in further studies whether other types of derivatives could be useful prodrugs of STLs for the p.o. treatment of HAT.

3. Experimental

3.1. Test Compounds

Cynaropicrin (1) was isolated from artichoke leaves as previously reported [7]. Compound 5 was prepared by mild alkaline hydrolysis of 1 as described by Zimmermann *et al.* [7]. Zaluzanin D (9) and dehydrocostuslactone (6) were isolated from *Saussurea costus* as referenced [11]. Compound 10 [20], the precursors for synthesis of compounds 23–31, and as well as the synthesis of 16 [20,21] were performed according to literature methods. Vernodalin (4) was provided from Prof. Hajime Ohigashi, Kyoto University, Japan. Psilostachyin A (11) was kindly supplied by Dr. Wolfgang Schühly, University of Graz, Austria. Onopordopicrin (2) was isolated from *Arctium nemorosum* [12]. Nobilin (14) was kindly supplied by Prof. Imanidis from the University Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland. Compound 12 was from Prof. Merfort, University of Freiburg, Germany. Cnicin (3) was isolated from *Cnidus benedictus* L [22]. Eupatoriopicrin (13), costunolide (7), and parthenolide (8) were isolated from *Saussurea costus* [11]. Santonin (17) was purchased from Fluka Chemie (Buchs, Switzerland, >98% purity).

3.2. General Experimental Information

NMR spectra were run on a 400 MHz Varian INOVA instrument. Samples were referenced against chloroform at 77.00 ppm for ¹³C and against tetramethylsilane at 0.00 ppm for ¹H. High resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Waters SYNAPT G1 HDMS mass spectrometer operated in electrospray mode. Leucine enkephalin (50 pg/mL) was used as reference calibrant to obtain typical mass accuracies between 1 and 3 mDa. Melting points were determined using a Mettler FP62 capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. All reagents were of reagent grade purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Schnelldorf, Germany) and were used without any further purification. Solvents used for chromatography or extractions were distilled prior to use. Thin-layer chromatography was carried out

using pre-coated aluminum-backed plates (Merck Silica Gel 60 F_{254}). Column chromatography was performed on Fluka silica gel 60 (70–230 mesh). Dry solvents were purified as described by Perrin and Armarego [23]. All starting materials were obtained commercially and used without further purification.

3.3. Syntheses and Spectral Data of Analogues 15, 21–34

3-Hydroxyisophotosantonin (15). A solution of O-acetylisophotosantonin (1.475 g, 4.813 mmol) in MeOH (49 mL) at 0 °C was treated with sodium borohydride (0.293 g, 7.750 mmol) carefully. The reaction was left at 0 °C for 3 h, then left to warm to room temperature overnight. The mixture was extracted from saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (50 mL) with ethyl acetate (3 × 50 mL), the extracts pooled and dried (MgSO₄). The dried filtrate was concentrated to a tacky white foam, then dissolved in absolute ethanol (16 mL). 5% Aqueous KOH (150 mL) was added and the mixture stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The mixture was acidified to pH < 2 with 18% aqueous HCl, stirred for 30 min, extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 50 mL) and washed with saturated aqueous K₂CO₃. Concentration yielded a yellow solid, which was recrystallized (EtOAc/hexane) to a white amorphous powder (0.313 g. 36%). NMR showed an approximately 2.2:1 mixture of secondary alcohols had been isolated. Major isomer: ${}^{1}\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃ + CD₃OD): δ 4.73 (1H, d, J = 11.0 Hz, H-6), 4.49 (1H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, H-3), 2.90 (1H, \sim br td, J = 7.5 and 1.9 Hz, H-1), 2.45 (1H, dt, J = 13.8 and 8.0 Hz, H-2a), 2.34–2.12 (2H, m, H-9a and H-11), 2.03–1.91 (2H, m, H-7 and H-9b), 1.89 (3H, s, C-10 Me), 1.65 (1H, dd, J = 16.0 and 2.3 Hz, H-8a), 1.61 (1H, td, J = 13.7 and 6.7 Hz, H-2b), 1.46–1.30 (1H, m, H-8b), 1.22 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, C-11 Me), 1.03 (3H, s, C-4 Me); ¹³C-NMR (101MHz, CDCl₃ + CD₃OD): δ 178.82 (C, C-12), 143.96 (C, C-14), 131.28 (C, C-5), 82.04 (CH, C-6), 76.97 (C, C-10), 74.40 (CH, C-3), 54.31 (CH, C-1), 48.92 (CH, C-7), 44.87 (CH₂, C-9), 41.34 (CH, C-11), 34.36 (CH₂, C-2), 25.41 (CH₂, C-8), 20.88 (CH₃, C-4 Me), 13.23 (CH₃, C-11 Me), 12.23 (CH₃, C-10 Me); minor isomer: ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃ + CD₃OD): δ 4.64 (1H, d, J = 11.0 Hz, H-6), 4.54 (1H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-3), 1.92 (3H, s, C-10 Me), 1.22 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, C-11 Me), 0.91 (3H, s, C-4 Me); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃ + CD₃OD): δ 178.71 (C, C-12), 143.79 (C, C-4), 133.32 (C, C-5), 81.71 (CH, C-6), 79.59 (C, C-10), 74.23 (CH, C-3), 55.57 (CH, C-1), 48.96 (CH, C-7), 44.27 (CH₂, C-9), 41.51 (CH, C-11), 34.81 (CH₂, C-2), 25.26 (CH₂, C-8), 20.56 (CH₃, C-4 Me), 13.23 (CH₃, C-11 Me), 12.20 (CH₃, C-10 Me); HRMS (ESI) calculated for $C_{15}H_{21}O_3$ 249.1491; found 249.1423 (MH⁺-H₂O); and calculated for $C_{15}H_{21}O_4$ 265.1440; found 265.1392 (MH⁺-H₂).

Cynaropicrin dimethylamine adduct hydrochloride **19**. To a cold solution of **1** (0.50 g; 1.44 mmol) in absolute EtOH (15 mL), dimethylamine, (0.72 mL, 2.0 M solution in MeOH) was added under argon atmosphere. The solution was stirred at 5 °C for 5 h, then, after concentration of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude compound was recrystallized from acetone/Et₂O. The amino adduct (0.222 g; 0.566 mmol) was then dissolved in MeOH (5 mL) and a solution of HCl (0.45 mL; 1.25 N solution in MeOH) was added dropwise. After evaporation of the solvent compound **19** was recovered as a brown solid (0.242 g; 40%). ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 6.28 (1H, br s, H-4'a), 5.97 (1H, br s, H-4'b), 5.35 (1H, br s, H-15a), 5.33 (1H, br s, H-15b), 5.15 (2H, m, H-8, H-14a), 5.00 (1H, br s, H-14b), 4.50 (1H, dddd, J = 9.0, 8.0, 2.2 and 2.2 Hz, H-3), 4.44 (1H, m, H-6), 4.30 (2H, s, H₂-3'), 3.62 (1H, m, H-13a), 3.50–3.42 (2H, m, H-11, H-13b), 3.03–2.91 (8H, m, H-1, H-5, NH(CH₃)₂), 2.83 (1H, dd,

J = 13.4 and 5.0 Hz, H-9a), 2.72 (1H, m, H-7), 2.33 (1H, dd, J = 13.4 and 7.0 Hz, H-9b), 2.24 (1H, m, H-2a), 1.75 (1H, m, H-2b); ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 177.55 (C, C-12), 166.72 (C, C-1'), 154.17 (C, C-4), 143.95 (C, C-10), 141.84 (C, C-2'), 127.82 (CH₂, C-4'), 117.58 (CH₂, C-14), 111.28 (CH₂, C-15), 81.77 (CH, C-6), 77.26 (CH, C-8), 73.82 (CH, C-3), 61.98 (CH₂, C-3'), 58.34 (CH₂, C-13), 50.74 (CH, C-5), 49.85 (CH, C-7), 44.98 (CH, C-1), 44.77 (CH₃, N(CH₃)₂), 42.02 (CH, C-11), 40.54 (CH, C-9), 39.51 (CH₂, C-2).HRMS (ESI) calculated for C₂₁H₃₀NO₆ [M+H]⁺, 392.2067; found 392.2062.

Deacylcynaropicrin dimethylamine adduct **20**. To a cold solution of **5** (0.050 g; 0.191 mmol) in absolute EtOH (5 mL), dimethylamine, (0.1 mL, 2.0 M solution in methanol) was added under argon atmosphere. The solution was stirred at 5 °C for 5 h and then the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1) to afford compound **20** as a yellow oil (0.052 g; 88%). ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 5.32 (1H, br s, H-15a), 5.28 (1H, br s, H-15b), 5.05 (1H, br s, H-14a), 5.00 (1H, br s, H-14b), 4.48 (1H, dddd, J = 9.0, 8.0, 2.2 and 2.2 Hz, H-3), 4.16 (1H, dd, J = 9.8 and 9.7 Hz, H-6), 3.67 (1H, ddd, J = 9.0, 7.3 and 5.0 Hz, H-8), 3.02–2.80 (3H, m, H-1, H-5, H-11), 2.76 (1H, dd, J = 12.7 and 2.7, H-13a), 2.68 (1H, m, H-9a), 2.60 (1H, m, H-13b), 2.34 (6H, s, N(CH₃)₂), 2.26–2.14 (3H, m, H-2a, H-7, H-9b), 1.70 (1H, ddd, J = 12.8, 9.7 and 8.8 Hz, H-2b); ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 177.71 (C, C-12), 154.33 (C, C-4), 145.14 (C, C-10), 116.01 (CH₂, C-14), 111.70 (CH₂, C-15), 80.53 (CH, C-6), 74.00 (CH, C-3 and C-8), 60.81 (CH₂, C-13), 58.34 (CH, C-7), 50.53 (CH, C-5), 46.44 (CH, C-1), 44.89 (CH₃, N(CH₃)₂), 44.82 (CH, C-11), 43.08 (CH₂, C-9), 39.49 (CH₂, C-2). HRMS (ESI) calculated for C₁₇H₂₆NO₄ [M+H]⁺, 308.1856; found 308.1862.

General Procedure for Preparing Lactone Methylamines: A solution of the appropriate enoate (1 eq.) in ethanol (0.1M) containing the required volatile amine (2.5 eq.) or non-volatile amine (0.6 eq.) and triethylamine (1.1–2.5 eq., for the appropriate hydrochloride salt) was heated at 85 °C under microwave irradiation set at 30 W for 30 min to 1 h, depending on the amine. All were prepared on a sufficiently small scale that the solutions could simply be concentrated and purified by column chromatography. The following compounds were produced this way:

Deacylated diethylamine adduct **21**. A mixture of **15** and its lumisantonin equivalent (~2:1 mixture, 0.128 g, 0.325 mmol) and diethylamine (68 μL, 0.663 mmol) in ethanol (3.3 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 18 h, and then concentrated to a brown oil. Column chromatography (10% ethanol/ethyl acetate as eluent) afforded an orange oil (48.9 mg, 45%); R_f 0.11 (10% ethanol/ethyl acetate); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.76 (1H, br d, J = 9.6), 3.31–3.12 (1H, m), 2.82 (1H, dd, J = 3.9, 13.8), 2.68 (1H, dd, J = 6.0, 13.7), 2.61–2.48 (4H, m), 2.48–2.31 (5H, m), 2.09 (1H, d, J = 12.1), 1.97 (1H, dt, J = 3.5, 13.6), 1.82 (3H, br t), 1.79 (1H, td, J = 3.9, 13.4), 1.44–1.28 (1H, m), 0.91 (6H, t, J = 7.1), 0.91 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.14, 176.47, 162.00, 161.98, 142.69, 81.45, 74.35, 52.04, 50.40, 47.18, 45.76, 45.17, 44.97, 37.15, 26.11, 21.18, 11.63, 9.41; HRMS (ESI) calculated C₁₉H₃₀NO₄ 336.2175, found 336.2135 (MH⁺).

Morpholine adduct **23**. α-Methylenesantonin (51.7 mg, 0.213 mmol), morpholine (46.5 μL, 0.534 mmol) and ethanol (2 mL) afforded, after column chromatography (2%–4% methanol/chloroform as eluent), a

yellow solid (45.7 mg, 65%); R_f 0.24 (4% methanol/chloroform). Recrystallisation yielded orange needles, mp. 165–167 °C (ethyl acetate/hexane); 1 H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.71 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 6.26 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 4.82 (1H, dd, J = 1.3, 11.5), 3.89–3.46 (4H, m), 2.95–2.81 (1H, m), 2.71–2.57 (2H, m), 2.57-2.47 (2H, m), 2.47-2.35 (2H, m), 2.34-2.25 (1H, m), 2.12 (3H, d, J = 1.2), 2.05 (1H, m)gd, J = 3.5, 11.6), 1.89 (1H, ddd, J = 2.2, 3.6, 13.4), 1.74 (1H, ddd, J = 3.8, 12.9, 25.1), 1.55 (1H, td, J = 4.5, 13.2), 1.33 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 186.22, 176.14, 154.93, 150.92, 128.52, 125.73, 81.35, 66.73, 57.70, 53.72, 51.82, 43.46, 41.12, 37.89, 25.00, 23.75, 10.80; HRMS (ESI) calculated C₁₉H₂₆NO₄ 332.1862, found 332.1835 (MH⁺). The resultant dienone (0.434 g, 1.334 mmol), 5% Pd-C (0.470 g), 32% hydrochloric acid (0.5 mL) and ethanol (10 mL) afforded, after column chromatography (30%–50% acetone/hexane as eluent), an orange foam (0.209 g, 48%); R_f 0.68 (20% ethanol/ethyl acetate); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.91 (1H, t, J = 10.6), 3.76–3.60 (4H, m), 2.82 (1H, dd, J = 4.3, 12.7), 2.65-2.44 (6H, m), 2.44-2.32 (3H, m), 2.19-2.08 (2H, m), 1.92-1.53 (5H, m), 2.44-2.321.42–1.28 (1H, m), 1.25 (3H, d, J = 6.6), 1.18 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.44, 177.55, 83.11, 66.85, 57.72, 53.88, 53.47, 51.11, 44.93, 43.36, 40.65, 40.28, 37.29, 36.32, 23.77, 18.39, 13.91; HRMS (ESI) calculated $C_{19}H_{29}NaNO_4$ 358.1994, found 358.2048 (M⁺+Na⁺) and calculated $C_{19}H_{30}NO_4$ 336.2175, found 336.2152 (MH⁺).

Morpholine adduct **24.** The appropriate enoate [20,21] (53.8 mg, 0.218 mmol), morpholine (17.7 μL, 0.203 mmol) and ethanol (2 mL) were mixed at 0 °C, then left to warm to room temperature for 72 h. The mixture was concentrated to afforded, after column chromatography (30% acetone/hexane as eluent), a pale yellow oil (66.0 mg, 985%); R_f 0.25 (30% acetone/hexane); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.65 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 5.83 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 3.94 (1H, t, J = 10.7), 3.73–3.46 (4H, m), 2.76 (1H, dd, J = 3.6, 12.1), 2.62–2.39 (4H, m), 2.39–2.26 (2H, m), 2.14 (1H, dt, J = 5.7, 8.6), 2.13–2.05 (1H, m), 1.97–1.88 (1H, m), 1.80 (1H, td, J = 5.8, 11.5), 1.70–1.46 (3H, m), 1.29 (3H, d, J = 6.9), 1.11 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 200.54, 177.32, 158.13, 126.55, 81.77, 66.70, 57.61, 53.77, 51.62, 51.08, 43.14, 42.09, 38.14, 37.45, 23.38, 19.18, 14.53; HRMS (ESI) calculated $C_{19}H_{28}NO_4$ 334.2018, found 334.1974 (MH⁺).

Tyramine adduct **26**. The appropriate enoate [20,21] (49.7 mg, 0.202 mmol), tyramine (27.9 mg, 0.203 mmol) and ethanol (2 mL) were mixed at 0 °C, then left to warm to room temperature for 72 h. The mixture was concentrated to afforded, after column chromatography (70% acetone/hexane as eluent), a beige foam (65.5 mg, 85%); R_f 0.40 (70% acetone/hexane); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.95 (2H, d, J = 8.4), 6.65 (2H, d, J = 8.4), 6.60 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 5.81 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 4.93 (1H, s), 3.91 (1H, t, J = 10.5), 2.91–2.72 (4H, m), 2.67 (2H, t, J = 7.0), 2.55–2.40 (2H, m), 1.91–1.69 (3H, m), 1.67–1.57 (1H, m), 1.57–1.36 (2H, m), 1.24 (3H, d, J = 6.8), 1.06 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 200.76, 177.96, 158.26, 155.00, 130.33, 129.72, 126.54, 115.65, 82.15, 51.55, 51.47, 48.88, 47.26, 45.29, 42.07, 38.26, 37.22, 34.73, 29.18, 22.75, 19.16, 14.49; HRMS (ESI) calculated $C_{23}H_{30}NO_4$ 384.2175, found 384.2130 (MH⁺).

Tyramine adduct **27**. Adduct **28** (0.355 g, 0.945 mmol), 5% Pd-C (0.266 g), 32% hydrochloric acid (0.5 mL) and ethanol (10 mL) afforded, after column chromatography (30%–50% acetone/hexane as eluent), a pale orange foam (0.144 g, 40%); $R_{\rm f}$ 0.44 (20% ethanol/ethyl acetate); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 7.03 (2H, d, J = 8.1), 6.72 (2H, d, J = 8.3), 3.97 (2H, br s), 3.91 (1H, t, J = 10.4), 3.66 (1H, td, J = 0.7, 6.6), 3.03–2.80 (4H, m), 2.74 (2H, t, J = 6.9), 2.58–2.37 (3H, m), 1.86–1.69 (3H, m), 1.69–1.46 (3H, m), 1.33–1.23 (2H, m), 1.21 (3H, d, J = 6.5), 1.15 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.56, 178.11, 154.76, 130.65, 129.75, 115.57, 83.44, 53.37, 51.48, 48.84, 47.26, 45.41, 44.85, 40.62, 39.98, 37.32, 36.39, 34.79, 23.07, 18.34, 13.81; HRMS (ESI) calculated C₂₃H₃₁NaNO₄ 408.2151, found 408.2169 (M⁺+Na⁺) and calculated C₂₃H₃₂NO₄ 386.2331, found 386.2299 (MH⁺).

Tyramine adduct **28**. α-Methylenesantonin (0.220 g, 0.910 mmol), tyramine (77.1 mg, 0.560 mmol) and ethanol (2 mL) afforded, after column chromatography (10%–20% ethanol/ethyl acetate as eluent), a yellow foam (89.7 mg, 44%); R_f 0.26 (20% ethanol/ethyl acetate); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.00 (2H, d, J = 8.5), 6.74 (2H, d, J = 8.5), 6.69 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 6.24 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 4.78 (1H, dd, J = 1.1, 11.4), 4.72 (2H, br s), 2.98–2.88 (2H, m), 2.88–2.80 (2H, m), 2.72 (2H, t, J = 7.0), 2.63 (1H, dt, J = 6.0, 12.2), 2.08 (3H, d, J = 0.9), 1.98 (2H, ddd, J = 7.0, 15.9, 31.8), 1.88–1.76 (1H, m), 1.66 (1H, qd, J = 3.4, 12.8), 1.40 (1H, td, J = 4.2, 13.1), 1.27 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 186.57, 176.76, 155.42, 155.07, 151.32, 130.17, 129.60, 128.39, 125.49, 115.52, 81.52, 58.08, 51.30, 49.55, 47.17, 45.61, 41.22, 37.51, 34.73, 24.86, 22.92, 18.20, 10.81; HRMS (ESI) calculated $C_{23}H_{28}NO_4$ 382.2018, found 382.1970 (MH⁺).

1-(2-Chlorophenyl)piperazine adduct **31**. α-Methylenesantonin (49.0 mg, 0.202 mmol), 1-(2-chlorophenyl)piperazine (0.120 g, 0.516 mmol) and ethanol (2 mL) afforded, after column chromatography (2%–4% methanol/chloroform as eluent), a beige solid (65.3 mg, 73%); R_f 0.41 (4% methanol/chloroform). Recrystallisation yielded a white powder, mp >190 °C (ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35 (1H, dd, J = 1.2, 7.9), 7.27–7.15 (1H, m), 7.06–7.01 (1H, m), 7.01–6.92 (1H, m), 6.71 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 6.26 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 4.83 (1H, d, J = 11.4), 2.99 (4H, s), 2.95 (1H, t, J = 8.4), 2.81–2.64 (4H, m), 2.60 (2H, d, J = 5.5), 2.33 (1H, d, J = 12.8), 2.14 (3H, s), 2.05 (1H, ddd, J = 4.2, 7.6, 17.3), 1.89 (1H, d, J = 13.4), 1.76 (1H, ddd, J = 3.7, 12.8, 25.3), 1.56 (1H, td, J = 4.3, 13.1), 1.34 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 186.24, 176.26, 154.97, 151.03, 148.93, 130.53, 128.58, 128.49, 127.46, 125.72, 123.65, 120.19, 81.36, 57.26, 53.40, 51.87, 51.00, 43.68, 41.14, 37.92, 25.00, 23.77, 10.82; HRMS (ESI) calculated $C_{25}H_{29}ClN_2O_3Na$ 463.1764, found 463.1759 (M⁺+Na⁺), and calculated $C_{25}H_{30}ClN_2O_3$ 441.1945, found 441.1870 (MH⁺).

4-Chlorobenzylamine adduct **32**. α-Methylenesantonin (0.218 g, 0.902 mmol), 4-chlorobenzylamine (72.0 μL, 0.589 mmol) and ethanol (2 mL) afforded, after column chromatography (10%–20% ethanol/ethyl acetate as eluent), a yellow oil (0.156 g, 69%); R_f 0.52 (20% ethanol/ethyl acetate); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 7.28 (2H, d, J = 8.7), 7.24 (2H, d, J = 8.7), 6.71 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 6.24 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 4.83 (1H, dd, J = 1.4, 11.5), 3.79 (1H, d, J = 13.6), 3.74 (1H, d, J = 13.6), 2.94 (1H, dd, J = 4.9, 12.3), 2.82 (1H, dd, J = 6.1, 12.3), 2.60 (1H, ddd, J = 5.0, 6.0, 12.2), 2.17 (1H, dd, J = 3.5, 12.1), 2.14–2.06 (1H, m), 2.12 (3H, d, J = 1.3), 2.02–1.93 (1H, m), 1.88 (1H, ddd, J = 2.2, 3.6, 13.4), 1.70 (1H, ddd, J = 3.8, 12.9, 25.4), 1.48 (1H, td, J = 4.5, 13.2), 1.32 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 186.15, 176.47, 154.92, 150.91, 138.22, 132.46, 129.23, 128.39, 128.31, 125.59, 81.41, 53.00, 49.16, 46.32, 46.09, 41.10, 37.58, 24.93, 23.02, 10.79; HRMS (ESI) calculated $C_{22}H_{25}CINO_3$ 386.1523, found 386.1474 (MH⁺).

General Procedure Used for the Conjugate Addition of Amines to Unsaturated Isophotosantonin Derivatives: Solutions of the enoate in absolute ethanol were dosed into 8 mL ChemSpeed reaction vessels, warmed to 30 °C and then treated with the appropriate amine in ethanol. The mixtures were agitated at 600 rpm for 18 h, concentrated to gums and purified by column chromatography. In this fashion the following were prepared:

Morpholine adducts 22 and 25. A mixture of 15 and its lumisantonin equivalent (~2:1 mixture, 0.100 g, 0.331 mmol), morpholine (57.3 µL, 0.657 mmol) and ethanol (5 mL) were treated as per the general procedure. The resultant orange gum afforded, after column chromatography (50% ethyl acetate/hexane – ethyl acetate as eluent), 22 (50.8mg, 39%); R_f 0.45 (ethyl acetate); ¹H-NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 4.77 (1\text{H}, \text{d}, J = 10.5), 4.12 (1\text{H}, \text{dq}, J = 2.2, 6.3), 3.72-3.51 (5\text{H}, \text{m}), 2.79 (1\text{H}, \text{dq}, J = 2.2, 6.3), 3.72-3.51 (5\text{H}, \text{m}), 3.79 (1\text{H}, \text{dq}, J = 3.2, 6.3), 3.72-3.51 (5\text{H}, m), 3.79 (1\text{H}, \text{dq}, J = 3.2, 6.3), 3.72-3.51 (5\text{H}, m), 3.79 (1\text{H}, \text{dq}, J = 3.2, 6.3), 3.72-3.51 (5\text{H}, m), 3.79 (1\text{H}, \text{dq}, J = 3.2, 6.3), 3.72-3.51 (5\text{H}, m), 3.79 (1\text{H}, \text{dq}, J = 3.2, 6.3), 3.72-3.51 (5\text{H}, m), 3.79 (1\text{H}, \text{dq}, J = 3.2, 6.3), 3.72-3.51 (5\text{H}, m), 3.79 (1\text{H}, \text{dq}, J = 3.2, 6.3), 3.72-3.51 (5\text{H}, m), 3.79 (1\text{H}, \text{dq}, J = 3.2, 6.3), 3.72-3.51 (5\text{H}, m), 3.79 (1\text{H}, \text{dq}, J = 3.2, 6.3), 3.79 (1\text{H}, J = 3.2, 6.3), 3.79$ dd, J = 4.1, 13.3), 2.68–2.59 (1H, m), 2.56 (1H, dd, J = 4.5, 13.6), 2.52–2.29 (10H, m), 2.09 (1H, dt, J = 3.6, 13.6), 1.94 (3H, s), 1.83 (3H, dd, J = 1.6, 2.2), 1.41 (1H, tdd, J = 3.4, 10.8, 14.0), 1.01 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.91, 175.75, 170.33, 160.68, 143.13, 85.51, 81.19, 66.61, 57.11, 53.97, 47.13, 45.81, 43.80, 37.89, 36.70, 25.41, 22.23, 19.97, 9.45; HRMS (ESI) calculated C₂₁H₃₀NO₆ 392.2073, found 392.2047 (MH⁺). Also isolated was 25 slightly contaminated with the former product, as an orange gum (34.4mg, 32%); $R_{\rm f}$ 0.33 (ethyl acetate). An analytical sample was purified by preparative TLC (ethyl acetate): 1 H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.70 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 6.27 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 4.80 (1H, dq, J = 1.3, 11.5), 3.80–3.50 (4H, m), 2.98–2.75 (1H, m), 2.70–2.57 (2H, m), 2.57-2.48 (2H, m), 2.48-2.36 (2H, m), 2.36-2.25 (1H, m), 2.13 (3H, d, J = 1.4), 2.05 (1H, qd, J = 3.5, 11.7), 1.88 (1H, ddd, J = 2.2, 3.6, 13.4), 1.72 (1H, ddd, J = 3.8, 12.9, 25.0), 1.55 (1H, td, J = 4.5, 13.2), 1.33 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 186.29, 186.28, 176.15, 154.88, 150.82, 128.75, 125.89, 81.45, 66.82, 57.79, 53.82, 51.90, 43.60, 41.17, 37.99, 25.10, 23.88, 10.88; HRMS (ESI) calculated $C_{19}H_{26}NO_4$ 332.1862, found 332.1836 (MH⁺).

Tyramine adducts 29 and 30. A mixture of 15 and its lumisantonin equivalent (~2:1 mixture, 0.101 g, 0.331 mmol), tyramine (90.2 mg, 0.657 mmol) and ethanol (5 mL) were treated as per the general procedure. The resultant brown gum afforded, after column chromatography (50% ethyl acetate:hexane – ethyl acetate as eluent), **29** (60.3 mg, 41.3%); R_f 0.25 (ethyl acetate); ¹H-NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 7.13-6.98 (2\text{H}, \text{m}), 6.84-6.68 (2\text{H}, \text{m}), 4.98 (1\text{H}, \text{d}, J = 10.7), 4.16 (1\text{H}, \text{br m}),$ 3.00-2.80 (4H, m), 2.76 (2H, d, J = 6.7), 2.65-2.46 (4H, m), 2.46-2.37 (1H, m), 2.18 (1H, dd, J = 3.4, 13.5), 2.09 (1H, s), 2.03 (3H, s), 1.89 (3H, d, J = 1.5), 1.53 (1H, ddd, J = 3.2, 10.5, 14.3), 1.37–1.19 (1H, m), 1.11 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 208.56, 177.44, 171.36, 162.40, 155.73, 143.28, 130.61, 130.15, 130.03, 116.02, 115.80, 86.24, 82.10, 51.93, 47.90, 47.30, 46.40, 44.54, 38.21, 37.29, 35.31, 25.52, 22.54, 20.16, 9.64; HRMS (ESI) calculated C₂₅H₃₂NO₆ 442.2230, found 442.2229 (MH⁺). Also isolated was 30 slightly contaminated with the former product, as an orange gum (21.9 mg, 17.4%); R_f 0.14 (ethyl acetate). An analytical sample was purified by preparative TLC (ethyl acetate): ${}^{1}\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ_{H} 7.04 (2H, d, J=8.5), 6.73 (2H, d, J=8.5), 6.69 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 6.26 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 4.79 (1H, dd, J = 1.4, 11.4), 2.99 (1H, dd, J = 5.0, 12.4), 2.84 (4H, m), 2.72 (2H, t, J = 6.8), 2.63 - 2.54 (1H, m), 2.11 (3H, d, J = 1.3), 2.10 - 2.03 (1H, m), 2.03 - 1.94 (2H, m),1.88 (1H, ddd, J = 2.1, 3.7, 13.4), 1.74 (1H, ddd, J = 3.9, 13.1, 25.8), 1.50 (1H, td, J = 4.1, 13.1), 1.33 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 186.43, 176.61, 154.93, 154.15, 150.86, 131.40, 129.73,

128.72, 125.84, 115.34, 81.57, 51.51, 49.41, 47.11, 46.15, 41.20, 37.76, 35.09, 25.04, 23.23, 10.90; HRMS (ESI) calculated $C_{23}H_{28}NO_4$ 382.2018, found 382.1986 (MH⁺).

2-(4-Chlorophenyl)ethylamine adducts 33 and 34. A mixture of 15 and its lumisantonin equivalent (~2:1 mixture, 0.114 g, 0.376 mmol), 2-(4-chlorophenyl)ethylamine (91.4 μL, 0.657 mmol) and ethanol (5 mL) were treated as per the general procedure. The resultant orange gum afforded, after column chromatography (50% ethyl acetate/hexane – ethyl acetate as eluent), 33 (60.8 mg, 35%); R_f 0.27 (ethyl acetate); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 7.19 (2H, d, J = 8.4), 7.07 (2H, d, J = 8.5), 4.76 (1H, d, J = 10.5), 4.10-4.04 (1H, m), 2.93 (1H, dd, J = 3.9, 12.4), 2.87-2.72 (3H, m), 2.69 (2H, t, t)J = 6.9), 2.55–2.36 (4H, m), 2.36–2.27 (1H, m), 2.11–1.98 (2H, m), 1.94 (3H, s), 1.81 (3H, dd, J = 1.6, 2.1), 1.37 (1H, tdd, J = 3.4, 10.7, 14.1), 1.00 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.90, 176.18, 170.23, 160.70, 143.02, 138.14, 131.82, 129.98, 128.44, 85.40, 81.46, 51.29, 47.15, 46.62, 46.50, 43.48, 37.71, 36.71, 35.48, 25.29, 22.21, 19.89, 9.41; HRMS (ESI) calculated C₂₅H₃₁ClNO₅ 460.1891, found 460.1866 (MH⁺). Also isolated was **34** slightly contaminated with the former product, as an orange gum (28.6mg, 19.0%); R_f 0.15 (ethyl acetate). An analytical sample was purified by preparative TLC (ethyl acetate): 1 H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25 (2H, d, J = 8.5), 7.13 (2H, d, J = 8.5), 6.70 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 6.27 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 4.80 (1H, dd, J = 1.4, 11.4), 2.96 (1H, dd, J = 5.3, 12.3), 2.90 (1H, dd, J = 5.8, 11.9), 2.89–2.80 (3H, m), 2.80–2.72 (2H, m), 2.62–2.52 (1H, m), 2.13 (3H, d, J = 1.3), 2.02 (1H, ddd, J = 3.7, 12.1, 23.8), 2.02–1.94 (1H, m), 1.86 (1H, ddd, J = 2.2, 3.7, 13.5), 1.68 (1H, ddd, J = 3.8, 12.9, 25.3), 1.47 (1H, td, J = 4.5, 13.3), 1.32 (3H, s); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 186.28, 176.57, 154.83, 150.72, 138.21, 131.91, 130.01, 128.74, 128.50, 125.88, 81.56, 51.28, 49.51, 47.30, 46.35, 41.18, 37.72, 35.63, 25.12, 23.30, 10.93; HRMS (ESI) calculated $C_{23}H_{27}CINO_3$ 400.1679, found 400.1645 (MH⁺).

3.4. Sample Preparation for Biological Testing

Compounds were dissolved in DMSO (10 mg/mL) and stored at -20 °C until testing. Fresh dilutions in medium were prepared for each bioassay. DMSO concentration in the assay did not exceed 1%. All assays were performed in at least three independent experiments. The purity of all compounds was >95% if not stated otherwise.

3.5. Trypanosoma Brucei Rhodesiense (STIB 900 strain) Bioassay

Evaluation of *in vitro* antiprotozoal activity against *T. b. rhodesiense* was done using the Alamar Blue assay to determine IC₅₀s as previously described [24]. Serial threefold dilution were prepared in 96-well micro titre plates, and 4000 *T. b. rhodesiense* STIB 900 bloodstream forms in 50 μ L were added to each well except for the negative controls. Melarsoprol (Arsobal[®], purity > 95%, Sanofi-Aventis, Meyrin, Switzerland) was used as reference drugs. After 70 h of incubation 10 μ L of Alamar blue marker (12.5 mg resazurin (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland) dissolved in 100 mL of distilled water) was added, and colour change was developed for 2 to 6 h. A Spectramax Gemini XS micro plate fluorescence reader (Molecular Devices Cooperation, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) with an excitation wavelength of 536 nm and an emission wavelength of 588 nm was used to read the plates.

The IC₅₀ values were calculated from the sigmoidal growth inhibition curves using Softmax Pro software (Molecular Devices).

3.6. Rat Myoblast Cell L6-Cytotoxicity Assay

The cytotoxicity assay was performed with rat skeletal myoblasts (L6-cells) seeded in 100 μ L RPMI 1640 in 96-well micro titre plates, using the Alamar Blue assay described above. After 24 h the medium was removed and replaced by 100 μ L of fresh RPMI 1640 with serial threefold drug dilution. Podophyllotoxin (purity > 95%, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a reference drug. After 70 h of incubation under a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere, 10 μ L of the Alamar blue marker (see above) was added to all wells. The plates were incubated for an additional 2 h. A Spectramax Gemini XS micro plate fluorescence reader (Molecular Devices) was used to read the plates using an excitation wavelength of 536 nm and an emission wavelength of 588 nm. The IC₅₀s were calculated from the sigmoidal growth inhibition curves using Softmax Pro software (Molecular Devices).

3.7. Acute Mouse Sleeping Sickness Model

This model mimics the first stage of the human African trypanosomiasis. Adult female NMRI mice were purchased from Janvier (St. Berthevin, France). They weighed between 20 and 25 g at the beginning of the study and were kept under standard conditions at 22 °C and 60%–70% humidity in macrolon type III cages with food pellets and water *ad libitum*. All protocols and procedures used in this study were reviewed and approved by the local veterinary authorities of the Canton Basel-Stadt, Switzerland (authorization N 739; 11.12.2009). The samples were first dissolved in 100% DMSO, followed by addition of distilled H_2O to a final DMSO concentration of 10%. For determination of the *in vivo* antitrypanosomal activity, mice were infected intraperitoneally with 1 × 10⁴ STIB900 bloodstream forms. Experimental groups of four mice were treated orally once a day on four consecutive days from day 3 to day 6 post infection. A control group of four mice was infected, but remained untreated. The determination of the parasitaemia was done on day 7 post infection. 6 μ L of tail blood were diluted in 24 μ L sodium citrate (3.2%), whereby the first μ L was discarded to obtain circulating blood. Five μ L of this mixture were transferred to a glass slide and covered with an 18 × 18 mm cover slide. The sample was examined under a light microscope (200-fold magnification) and parasites were counted in 3 of the 16 squares of the grid.

4. Conclusions

The conclusions are that the α -methylene- γ -lactone is necessary for both antitrypanosomal effects and cytotoxicity of these sesquiterpenes. Antitrypanosomal selectivity is facilitated by 2-(hydroxyl-methyl)acrylate or 3,4-dihydroxy-2-methylenebutylate side chains, and by the presence of cyclopentenone rings. Semi-synthetic STL amines with improved activity over the native STLs were those with morpholino and dimethylamino groups. The dimethylamino analogue of cynaropicrin was prepared and tested orally in the *T. b. rhodesiense* acute mouse model, where it showed reduced toxicity over cynaropicrin, but also reduced antitrypanosomal effects.

Acknowledgments

The Swiss National Science Foundation (grant 205320-126888/1) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank the Freiwillige Akademische Gesellschaft Basel and the Senglet Trust, Switzerland for additional financial support, and the Basler Naturstofffreunde for their help.

Author Contributions

S.Z. and M.A. were responsible for the conceptualisation of the study, and writing the manuscript. S.Z. performed the *in vitro* assays, and isolated compounds. M.K. was responsible for the *in vivo* tests. M.D.M performed NMR measurements. M.H. supervised the work and proofread the manuscript. T.U. and Y.Y. were responsible for conceptualisation and synthesis of materials 9 and 14. G.F. and R.N. were responsible for the isolation of the natural congener for α-methylenesantonin from plant material, as well as conceptualising the study leading to the synthesis of materials 10, 15–18, 21–34. C.v.d.W. was responsible for conceptualisation and synthesis of materials 10, 15–18, 21–34, as well as writing portions of the experimental section and proofreading. C.J.P. was responsible for conceptualisation and synthesis of materials 10, 15–18, 21–34.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Simarro, P.P.; Cecchi, G.; Paone, M.; Franco, J.R.; Diarra, A.; Ruiz, J.A.; Fèvre, E.M.; Courtin, F.; Mattioli, R.C.; Jannin, J. The atlas of human African trypanosomiasis: A contribution to global mapping of neglected tropical diseases. *Int. J. Health Geogr.* **2010**, *9*, 57–75.
- 2. WHO. Available online: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs259/en/ (accessed on 3 April 2013).
- 3. Torreele, E.; Bourdin, T.B.; Tweats, D.; Kaiser, M.; Brun, R.; Mazué, G.; Bray, M.A.; Pécoul, B. Fexinidazole—A new oral nitroimidazole drug candidate entering clinical development for the treatment of sleeping sickness. *PLoS. Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2010**, *4*, e923.
- 4. Brun, R.; Blum, J.; Chappuis, F.; Burri, C. Human African Trypanosomiasis. *Lancet* **2010**, *375*, 148–159.
- 5. Schmidt, T.J.; Khalid, S.A.; Romanha, A.J.; Alves, T.M.A.; Biavatti, M.W.; Brun, R.; da Costa, F.B.; de Castro, S.L.; Ferreira, V.F.; de Lacerda, M.V.G.; *et al.* The potential of secondary metabolites from plants as drugs or leads against protozoan neglected diseases Part I. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *19*, 2128–2175.
- 6. Schmidt, T.J.; Khalid, S.A.; Romanha, A.J.; Alves, T.M.A.; Biavatti, M.W.; Brun, R.; da Costa, F.B.; de Castro, S.L.; Ferreira, V.F.; de Lacerda, M.V.G.; *et al.* The potential of secondary metabolites from plants as drugs or leads against protozoan neglected diseases Part II. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *19*, 2176–2228.

7. Zimmermann, S.; Kaiser, M.; Brun, R.; Hamburger, M.; Adams, M. Cynaropicrin: The first plant natural product with *in vivo* activity against *Trypanosoma*. *brucei*. *Planta Med*. **2012**, 78, 553–556.

- 8. Zimmermann, S.; Oufir, M.; Lerouz, A.; Krauth-Siegel, R.L.; Becker, K.; Kaiser, M.; Brun, R.; Hamburger, M.; Adams, M. Cynaropicrin targets the trypanothione redox system in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Bioorg*. *Med. Chem.* **2013**, *15*, 7202–7209.
- 9. The plant list. Available online: http://www.theplantlist.org (accessed on 15 February 2013).
- 10. Buckingham, J.; Hodgons, A.M.; Walford, S.P. *The Dictionary of Natural Products 10.2*, [CD-ROM]; Chapman & Hall/CRC: Boca Raton, FA, USA, 2002.
- 11. Julianti, T.; Hata, Y.; Zimmermann, S.; Kaiser, M.; Hamburger, M.; Adams, M. Antitrypanosomal sesquiterpene lactones from *Saussurea costus*. *Fitoterapia* **2011**, *82*, 955–959.
- 12. Zimmermann, S.; Thomi, S.; Kaiser, M.; Hamburger, M.; Adams, M. Screening and HPLC-based activity profiling for new antiprotozoal leads from European plants. *Sci. Pharm.* **2012**, *80*, 205–213.
- 13. Schmidt, T.J.; Brun, R.; Willuhn, G.; Khalid, S.A. Anti-trypanosomal activity of helenalin and some structurally related sesquiterpene lactones. *Planta Med.* **2002**, *68*, 750–751.
- 14. Schmidt, T.J.; Nour, A.M.M.; Khalid, S.A.; Kaiser, M.; Brun, R. Quantitative structure-antiprotozoal activity relationships of sesquiterpene lactones. *Molecules* **2009**, *1*, 2062–2076.
- 15. Schmidt, T.J. Toxic activities of sesquiterpene latones: Structural and biochemical aspects. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *3*, 577–608.
- 16. Woods, J.R; Mo, H.; Bieberich, A.A.; Alavania, T.; Coly, D.A. Amino-derivatives of the sesquiterpene lacton class of natural products as prodrugs. *Med. Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 27–33.
- 17. Schmidt, T.J.; da Costa, F.B.; Lopes, N.P.; Kaiser, M.; Brun, R. *In silico* prediction and experimental evaluation of furanoheliangolide sesquiterpene lactones as potent agents against *Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense*. *Antimicrob*. *Agents Chemother*. **2014**, *58*, 325–332.
- 18. Lee, K.H.; Furukawa, H.; Huan, E.S. Antitumor Agents. 11. Synthesis and cytotoxic activity of epoxides of helenalin related derivatives. *J. Med. Chem.* **1972**, *15*, 607–611.
- 19. Schmidt, T. Helenanolide-type sesquiterpene lactones III. Rates and stereochemistry in the reaction of helenalin and related helenanolides with sulfhydryl containing biomolecules. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **1997**, *5*, 654–653.
- 20. Arantes, F.F.P.; Barbosa, L.C.A.; Alvarenga, E.S.; Demuner, A.J.; Bezerra, D.P.; Ferreira, J.R.O.; Costa-Lotufo, L.V.; Pessoa, C.; Moraes, M.O. Synthesis and cytotoxic activity of α-santonin derivatives. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *44*, 3739–3745.
- 21. Becker, J.V.W.; van der Merwe, M.M.; van Brummelen, A.C.; Pillay, P.; Crampton, B.G.; Mmutlane, E.M.; Parkinson, C.; van Heerden, F.R.; Crouch, N.R.; Smith, P.J.; *et al. In vitro* anti-plasmodial activity of *Dicoma anomala* subsp. gerrardii (Asteraceae): Identification of its main active constituent, structure-activity relationship studies and gene expression profiling. *Malaria J.* **2011**, *10*, 295.
- 22. Berger, S.; Sicker, D. *Classics in Spectroscopy: Isolation and Structure Elucidation of Natural Products*, 1st ed.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co: Weinheim, Germany, 2009; pp. 444–457.
- 23. Perrin, D.D.; Armarego, W.L.F. *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals (3/e)*; Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK, 1994.

24. Räz, B.; Iten, M.; Grether-Bühler, Y.; Kaminsky, R.; Brun, R. The alamar blue assay to determine drug sensivity of African trypanosomes (*T.b. rhodesiense* and *T.b. gambiense*) in vitro. Acta Trop. **1997**, 68, 139–147.

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds 1–9, 11, 13, 14, 16–18, 21–24, 26–29, 31, 32 and 34 are available from the authors.

© 2014 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).