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Figure S1. Significance of predictive values of entropy measures for different choices of \( n (n = n_L \in [1.0, 5.0], n_F = 1) \); parameters: \( m = 2 \), \( N = 1200 \), \( r = r_L = r_F = r_{\text{Chon}} \); HRV data at baseline (A,B,C) and after treatment (D,E,F); for all patients (A,D), for all patients w/o CABG (B,E) and w/o CABG and DM (C,F).

Figure S2. Significance of predictive values of entropy measures for different choices of \( n (n = n_L \in [1.0, 5.0], n_F = 1) \); parameters: \( m = 2 \), \( N = 1200 \), \( r = r_L = r_F = 0.2 \cdot \sigma \); HRV data at baseline (A,B,C) and after treatment (D,E,F); for all patients (A,D), for all patients w/o CABG (B,E) and w/o CABG and DM (C,F).
Figure S3. Significance of predictive values of FuzzyMEn for different choices of $n_L$ and $n_F$ ($n_L, n_F \in [1.0, 5.0]$); parameters: $m = 2$, $N = 1200$, $r = r_L = r_F = r_{Chon}$; HRV data at baseline (A,B,C) and after treatment (D,E,F); for all patients (A,D), for all patients w/o CABG (B,E) and w/o CABG and DM (C,F).

Figure S4. Significance of predictive values of FuzzyMEn for different choices of $n_L$ and $n_F$ ($n_L, n_F \in [1.0, 5.0]$); parameters: $m = 2$, $N = 1200$, $r = r_L = r_F = 0.2 \cdot \sigma$; HRV data at baseline (A,B,C) and after treatment (D,E,F); for all patients (A,D), for all patients w/o CABG (B,E) and w/o CABG and DM (C,F).