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Abstract: In a recent work, we have reported a study on the figure of merit of a thermoelectric system composed by thermoelectric generators connected electrically and thermally in different configurations. In this work, we are interested in analyzing the output power delivered by a thermoelectric system for different arrays of thermoelectric materials in each configuration. Our study shows the impact of the array of thermoelectric materials in the output power of the composite system. We evaluate numerically the corresponding maximum output power for each configuration and determine the optimum array and configuration for maximum power. We compare our results with other recently reported studies.

Keywords: thermoelectric generator; maximum power; figure of merit

1. Introduction

Efficient use of energy is one of the challenges that occupies both the field of sustainable energy research and industry. The energy sector is currently undergoing a process of transition that demands high energy efficiency, renewable energy sources and energy harvesting. An increase has been projected...
in energy consumption of approximately 40 percent for the year 2035 [1]. One of the main ways of meeting this need is the conversion of thermal energy to electric power, particularly from waste heat.

Recently, there has been a surge of research in the area of power harvesting. Energy harvesters use piezoelectric, smart and semiconductors materials. In fact, there exist both vibratory-based energy harvesters (moving parts) and thermoelectric energy harvesters (no moving parts) [2–7].

Thermoelectric generators are solid-state devices with no moving parts. They are silent, reliable and scalable, making them ideal for small, distributed power generation and energy harvesting [8].

Thermoelectric generator (TEG), based on the Seebeck effect, is a solid-state device that converts heat into electricity and is composed of two dissimilar semiconductors, and it operates between two heat reservoirs. This type of system has the advantage of generating electrical power, without using moving parts [9]. This feature makes it suitable for extreme conditions; plus, it needs little maintenance. Although, it still has low efficiency, compared with conventional power generation methods, approximately 5% – 15% [10,11]. The fact that TEGs can generate electric power using waste heat is already a great advantage.

TEGs have been used for electricity generation, and it is only in recent years that interest has increased regarding new applications of energy generation through thermoelectric harvesting. Thermoelectric energy harvesting has found some applications in developing thermoelectric energy harvesters to recover waste heat, for example, in vehicles [12].

A thermoelectric generator utilizes heat flow across a temperature gradient to power an electric load through the external circuit. The temperature difference provides the voltage \( \left( V = \alpha \Delta T \right) \) from the Seebeck effect (Seebeck coefficient, \( \alpha \)), while the heat flow drives the electrical current, which therefore determines the power output. The rejected heat must be removed through a heat sink [9].

Recent progress in the technological development of TEGs has relied on advances in material sciences: new materials and new techniques to produce specific structures have permitted the improvement of device performance through the characterization and optimization of the electrical and thermal transport properties (see the review of Di Salvio [13] and the recent one of Shakouri [14]).

Thermoelectric processes that occur in thermoelectric generators are subjected to the laws of thermodynamics. Treatment of these devices is accomplished within the framework of the thermodynamics of linear irreversible processes, because the thermoelectric effects (like the Seebeck effect) can be seen as the mutual interference of two irreversible processes occurring simultaneously in the TEG [15–19], which are governed by the equation:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  I \\
  I_Q
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
  1/R & \alpha (1/R) \\
  \alpha (1/R) T & \alpha^2 (1/R) T + K
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  \Delta V \\
  \Delta T
\end{pmatrix}
\]

It is observed that the heat flux, \( I_Q \), and electrical current, \( I \), show a contribution, due both to the temperature difference and the potential difference. These contributions are functions of the properties of the thermoelectric materials. These properties are the Seebeck coefficient, \( \alpha \), the electrical resistance, \( R \), and the thermal conductivity, \( K \).

Using Equation (1), it is possible to obtain quantities, such as the efficiency, \( \eta \), and the power, \( P_{out} \), of the thermoelectric generator. For experimental purposes, power can be obtained by the measurement of electrical current supplied to the TEG. In this paper, we are interested in performing analytical
calculations for the electric power that a thermoelectric system (TES), composed of different TEGs, delivers to a load resistance, \( R_{\text{load}} \), in terms of Seebeck coefficient \( \alpha \), electrical resistance \( R \) and the thermal conductivity, \( K \), of the TES.

The optimization of thermoelectric devices, in the thermodynamic framework, considering both its efficiency under different working conditions and irreversibilities inside inhomogeneous thermoelectric systems, is widely recognized by abundant studies on the subject [15–19].

It has been pointed out that the optimization of thermoelectric systems for energy conversion not only involves the improvement of the materials’ properties to enhance the so-called figure of merit, but also a strategic reflection in device design [20]. Our calculations deal with practical cases that may serve as models to design actual devices. This work can be used as a guide for the design of new thermoelectric devices, which is an important matter.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we show the basic theory for the power of a thermoelectric generator. In Section 3, we calculate the output power and maximum power of coupled thermoelectric generators. In Section 4, we show and discuss our results, and finally, in Section 5, we give our conclusions.

2. Power of Thermoelectric Generators

We follow the treatment proposed by Apertet et al. [17,21], in which a TEG is represented by a thermo-electric circuit. The behavior of the generator placed between two reservoirs at temperatures \( T_H \) and \( T_C \) is described relating the thermal \( (I_Q) \) and electrical \( (I) \) currents to the temperature difference, \( \Delta T \), and the potential difference, \( \Delta V \), through the generator. The TEG is characterized by an isothermal electrical resistance, \( R \), a thermal conductance, \( K_0 \), under the open circuit condition and a Seebeck coefficient, \( \alpha \); see Figure 1.

Figure 1. A thermoelectric generator and its representation as a thermal-electrical circuit.

For the case of a thermoelectric generator connected to a load resistor, \( R_{\text{load}} \), the power delivered to \( R_{\text{load}} \) is given by the following equation [21],

\[
P_{\text{out} -m} = \frac{[\alpha(T_H - T_C)]^2 m}{(m + 1)^2 R}
\]

where we now define the load ratio \( m = R_{\text{load}}/R \).

The load resistance, \( R_{\text{load}} \), which maximizes the output power, is obtained by equating to zero the derivative with respect to \( R_{\text{load}} \). The value obtained is \( R_{\text{load}} = R \). Thus, the maximum power is given by,
\[ P_{\text{max}} = \frac{\alpha^2(T_H - T_C)^2}{4R} \]  

(3)

3. Thermoelectric Generators Coupled Thermally and Electrically

Based on the model presented in Section 2, the electrical power and the maximum power delivered by systems composed of thermoelectric modules (conventional and segmented) is calculated in terms of equivalent amounts, i.e., the equivalent Seebeck coefficient \( \alpha_{eq} \) and equivalent electrical resistance \( R_{eq} \). We only show the corresponding quantities for the configurations that are analyzed in this section, because the details of its derivation are showed by Vargas-Almeida et.al. [22].

Thus, we use in our analysis Equations (2,3) in terms of \( \alpha_{eq} \) y \( R_{eq} \), namely,

\[ P_{\text{out-}eq-m} = \frac{[\alpha_{eq}(T_H - T_C)]^2}{R_{eq}} \frac{m}{(m+1)^2} \]  

(4)

\[ P_{\text{max}}^{eq} = \frac{\alpha_{eq}^2(T_H - T_C)^2}{4R_{eq}} \]  

(5)

3.1. Two-Stage Thermoelectric System Connected in Series (SC-TES)

The first configuration considered is a two-stage thermoelectric system (TES) composed of three TEMthermally and electrically connected in series (SC-TES) [22]. The schematic of this system is shown in Figure 2. Each of the TEMs is characterized by its internal electrical resistance, \( R_i \), thermal conductance under the open electrical circuit condition, \( K_i \), and its Seebeck coefficient, \( \alpha_i \), where \( i \) can be one, two or \( eq \), as appropriate. All these coefficients are supposed constant. The whole system is subjected to a temperature difference, \( \Delta T = T_{hot} - T_{cold} \), and its average temperature is \( T \).

**Figure 2.** Circuit schematic of three thermoelectric generators thermally and electrically connected in series. (a) SC-thermoelectric system (TES); (b) equivalent circuit SC-TES.
The corresponding equivalent quantities for these configurations are (1) The Seebeck coefficient series equivalent,
\[ \alpha_{eq-SC} = -\frac{(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)K_1 - \alpha_1 K_2 - \alpha_1 K_3}{K_1 + K_2 + K_3}, \]  
(6)
and (2) the electrical equivalent series resistance,
\[ R_{eq-SC} = R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_{relax} \]  
(7)
where,
\[ R_{relax} = \frac{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3)^2 T}{K_1 + K_2 + K_3} \]  
(8)
Thus, substituting Equations (6)–(8) in Equation (4), the electrical power delivered by the system to the load resistance, \( R_{load} \), is given by,
\[ P_{out-eq-(SC-TES)} = \frac{\left[ -\frac{(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)K_1 - \alpha_1 K_2 - \alpha_1 K_3}{K_1 + K_2 + K_3} \right] (T_H - T_C)^2}{R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + \frac{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3)^2 T}{K_1 + K_2 + K_3}} \]  
(9)
and the maximum power is given by,
\[ P_{eq-max-(SC-TES)} = \frac{\left[ -\frac{(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)K_1 - \alpha_1 K_2 - \alpha_1 K_3}{K_1 + K_2 + K_3} \right] (T_H - T_C)^2}{4 \left( R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + \frac{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3)^2 T}{K_1 + K_2 + K_3} \right)} \]  
(10)

3.2. Segmented-Conventional Thermoelectric System in Parallel (PSC)

In this section, we consider a TES system, which is composed of a segmented TEM and a conventional TEM. These TEMs are thermally and electrically connected in parallel (PSC-TES), as is shown in Figure 3; see [22].

The corresponding equivalent Seebeck coefficient is given by,
\[ \alpha_{eq-PSC} = \frac{R_t \alpha_s + R_s \alpha_c}{R_s + R_c} \]  
(11)
where:
\[ \alpha_s = \frac{K_2 \alpha_1 + K_1 \alpha_2}{K_1 + K_2}, \]  
(12)
and the electrical resistance equivalent,
\[ R_{eq-PSC} = \frac{R_s R_c}{R_s + R_c} \]  
(13)
where \( R_c \) is the internal electrical resistance of the conventional TEM and \( R_s \) is the electrical resistance of the segmented TEM:
\[ R_s = R_1 + R_2 + R_{relax} \]  
(14)
and:
\[
R_{\text{relax}} = \frac{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2 T}{K_1 + K_2}
\]  
(15)

Substituting Equations (11) and (13) in Equation (4), the output power for this configuration is given by,
\[
P_{\text{out-\text{eq}-}(\text{PSC})-\text{m}} = \left( R_e \left[ \frac{K_2 \alpha_1 + K_1 \alpha_2}{K_1 + K_2} \right] + \left[ R_1 + R_2 + \left( \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2 T}{K_1 + K_2} \right] \right) \alpha_c \right)^2 \left( T_H - T_C \right)^2 m
\]
\[
\left[ R_1 + R_2 + \left( \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2 T}{K_1 + K_2} \right) R_e (R_s + R_c) \right] \left( m + 1 \right)^2
\]  
(16)

and using Equations (11) and (13) and Equation (5), the maximum power of this system obtained is,
\[
P_{\text{eq-}(\text{PSC})}^{\text{max}} = \left( R_e \left[ \frac{K_2 \alpha_1 + K_1 \alpha_2}{K_1 + K_2} \right] + \left[ R_1 + R_2 + \left( \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2 T}{K_1 + K_2} \right) \right] \alpha_c \right)^2 \left( T_H - T_C \right)^2
\]
\[
\left[ R_1 + R_2 + \left( \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2 T}{K_1 + K_2} \right) R_e (R_s + R_c) \right] \left( m + 1 \right)^2
\]  
(17)

**Figure 3.** Thermally and electrically parallel circuit for the connection of a conventional module and a segmented module. (a) PSC-TES; (b) equivalent circuit PSC-TES.

### 3.3. Mixed Segmented-Conventional Thermoelectric System (SSC-TES)

In this case, we have considered a thermoelectric system (SSC-TES) composed of a segmented TEM and a conventional TEM, but they are thermally connected in parallel and electrically connected in series [22]; see Figure 4.

The corresponding equivalent Seebeck coefficient is given by,
\[
\alpha_{\text{eq-SSC}} = \frac{-K_2 \alpha_1 - K_1 \alpha_2}{K_1 + K_2} - \alpha_3
\]  
(18)

and the electrical resistance equivalent,
\[
R_{\text{eq-SSC}} = R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_{\text{relax}}
\]  
(19)
where,

$$R_{relax} = \frac{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2T}{K_1 + K_2}. \quad (20)$$

Thus, we have for the output power of the SSC-TES system,

$$P_{out-eq-(SSC-TES)} = \frac{(-K_2\alpha_1 - K_1\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)^2(T_H - T_C)^2}{(R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + \frac{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2T}{K_1 + K_2})} \frac{m}{(m+1)^2} \quad (21)$$

and for the maximum power for the SSC-TES system,

$$P_{eq-max-(SSC-TES)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(-K_2\alpha_1 - K_1\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)^2(T_H - T_C)^2}{(R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + \frac{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2T}{K_1 + K_2})} \quad (22)$$

**Figure 4.** Schematic circuit electrically in series and thermally in parallel for the connection of a conventional module and a segmented module. (a) SSC-TES; (b) equivalent circuit SSC-TES.

In the following section, we show the power curves for each of the configurations of this system.

### 4. Results and Discussion

We show the behavior of the electrical output power delivered by each configuration of the TES. For this purpose, we have selected the following materials, BiTe, PbTe and SiGe. For showing the impact of the array of the thermoelectric materials in the output power of the TES, we consider different arrays for each configuration, in which we change cyclically the positions the three materials (one material for each module) throughout the whole system. Thus, we consider the following arrays, (BiTe, PbTe, SiGe), (PbTe, SiGe, BiTe) and (SiGe, BiTe, PbTe). Our results are given by Figures 5, 6 and 7, which show the output power as a function of the ratio between the electrical resistance of the load and the electrical resistance of the thermoelectric system $m = \frac{R_{load}}{R}$. 

Figure 5. Power $P_{\text{Out--eq--SC}}$ delivered by the system composed of thermoelectric modules electrically and thermally connected in series vs. the ratio, $R_{\text{load}}/R$. The order (SiGe, BiTe, PbTe) generates the highest power.

Figure 6. Power $P_{\text{Out--eq--PSC}}$ delivered by the system composed of a conventional module and a segmented module, electrically and thermally connected in parallel vs. the ratio, $R_{\text{load}}/R$. The order (PbTe, SiGe, BiTe) generates the highest power.
Figure 7. Power $P_{\text{Out} - \text{eq} - \text{SSC}}$ delivered by the system composed of a segmented module and a conventional module, electrically connected in series and thermally connected in parallel vs. the ratio, $R_{\text{load}}/R$. The order (BiTe, PbTe, SiGe) generates the highest power.

Notice that each figure provides the highest output power value for one of the three arrays of the TES. These results show that the output power of the TES depends both on the configuration and the arrays of thermoelectric materials. Furthermore, our results show that, in the case of the PSC system with array (PbTe, SiGe, BiTe), maximum power is generated. This result is consistent with the results obtained by Vargas-Almeida et al. [22], while for the SC and SSC systems, lower values for the output power are shown.

The behavior of the output power for each array of equivalent TES is consistent with the results obtained by Apertet et al. [19].

Finally, The maximum power as a function of the equivalent amounts were numerically calculated for each of the three arrays of the system discussed above; see Table 1.

Table 1. Numerical values of the maximum power, in terms of the equivalent amounts of each of the compounds’ thermoelectric systems, evaluated for each order of the TE material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEM 1</th>
<th>TEM 2</th>
<th>TEM 3</th>
<th>$P_{\text{max} - \text{eq} - \text{SC}}$</th>
<th>$P_{\text{max} - \text{eq} - \text{PSC}}$</th>
<th>$P_{\text{max} - \text{eq} - \text{SSC}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BiTe</td>
<td>PbTe</td>
<td>SiGe</td>
<td>1.27618</td>
<td>4.34854</td>
<td>4.42523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbTe</td>
<td>SiGe</td>
<td>BiTe</td>
<td>1.65563</td>
<td>12.2877</td>
<td>3.62461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiGe</td>
<td>BiTe</td>
<td>PbTe</td>
<td>2.22968</td>
<td>4.28067</td>
<td>3.81606</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 shows that the system that delivers the lowest power corresponds to TES, whose thermoelectric modules are electrically and thermally connected in series, which reaches the smallest value in the array (BiTe, PbTe, SiGe); while the maximum value of the output power is obtained by the TES composed of a segmented and a conventional module, thermally and electrically connected in parallel, with PbTe, SiGe, BiTe arrays. Considering the mixed system SSC, it must be noted that its values for output power are between the minimum and maximum values obtained for the previous two TES. We show the consistency of our results with other works reported for different numerical values for a TES. We choose the PSC system with array (PbTe, SiGe, BiTe), which delivers the highest power, for comparing it with other studies reported. In an analytical electrothermal model developed and validated using experimental measurements, Abdelkefi et al. [23], study the performance and validation of thermoelectric energy harvesters. Using their experimental values for the temperature difference $\Delta T = 20K$, we obtain Figure 8. This last Figure 8 is consistent with that obtained by Abdelkefi, see Figure 6 in [23].

Another comparison is made with the works of Nemir et al. [24] and Apertet et al. [25], which consider a temperature difference $\Delta T = 80K$ and an order of magnitude of $10^{-3}$ for electrical resistances; Figures 9 and 10 show qualitative behavior similar to the graphs shown in [24] and [25], respectively.

**Figure 8.** Power $P_{out_{-eq}}$ of the system composed of $P_{SC}$ vs. the ratio, $R_{load}/R$. With a temperature difference of $\Delta T = 20K$, the curves behave similarly to the plots shown in [23].
Figure 9. Power $P_{\text{out}-eq-PSC}$ delivered by the system composed of $PSC$ vs. the ratio, $R_{\text{load}}/R$. With a temperature difference of $\Delta T = 80K$, the curves behave similarly to the plots shown in [24].

Figure 10. Power $P_{\text{out}-eq-PSC}$ delivered by the system composed of $PSC$ vs. the ratio, $R_{\text{load}}/R$. With a temperature difference of $\Delta T = 80K$, the curves behave similarly to the plots shown in [25].
5. Conclusions

In this work has been evaluated the electrical power delivered by a TES composed of thermoelectric modules. The particular aspects of this TES are combined conventional and segmented thermoelectric modules, in different configurations, namely, series (SC), parallel (PSC) and mixed (SSC) configurations. We have shown the impact of the arrays of the modules with different thermoelectric materials. Our results show the impact in the output power of the TES of both the configuration and the arrays of thermoelectric materials. We find that the PSC system with the array (PbTe, SiGe, BiTe) delivers the highest power of all possible combinations; this is due to the type of thermal and electrical connection and also to the array of materials used for each module. We suggest that this work could lead to a new scheme for the design of composite thermoelectric systems. Figures 8–10 show the consistency of our results with other works, because they exhibit a behavior qualitatively similar to results reported in other recent studies [23–25].
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