

Article Serum Levels of miR-122-5p and miR-125a-5p Predict Hepatotoxicity Occurrence in Patients Undergoing Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation

Damian Mikulski ^{1,2}, Kacper Kościelny ¹, Izabela Dróżdż ³, Grzegorz Mirocha ¹, Mateusz Nowicki ^{4,5}, Małgorzata Misiewicz ⁴, Ewelina Perdas ¹, Piotr Strzałka ^{4,5}, Agnieszka Wierzbowska ^{4,5} and Wojciech Fendler ^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Biostatistics and Translational Medicine, Medical University of Lodz, 92-215 Lodz, Poland; damian.mikulski@umed.lodz.pl (D.M.); kacper.koscielny@stud.umed.lodz.pl (K.K.); grzegorz.mirocha@stud.umed.lodz.pl (G.M.); ewelina.perdas@umed.lodz.pl (E.P.)
- ² Department of Hematooncology, Copernicus Memorial Hospital in Lodz, 93-513 Lodz, Poland
- ³ Department of Clinical Genetics, Medical University of Lodz, 92-215 Lodz, Poland; izabela.drozdz@umed.lodz.pl
- ⁴ Department of Hematology, Medical University of Lodz, 92-215 Lodz, Poland; mateusz.nowicki@umed.lodz.pl (M.N.); malgorzata.misiewicz@umed.lodz.pl (M.M.); piotr.strzalka@stud.umed.lodz.pl (P.S.); agnieszka.wierzbowska@umed.lodz.pl (A.W.)
- Department of Hematology and Transplantology, Copernicus Memorial Hospital in Lodz, 93-513 Lodz, Poland
- * Correspondence: wojciech.fendler@umed.lodz.pl; Tel.: +48-42-272-53-85

Abstract: Hepatic complications are an acknowledged cause of mortality and morbidity among patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the potential role in the prediction of liver injury of five selected microRNAs (miRNAs)-miR-122-5p, miR-122-3p, miR-15b-5p, miR-99b-5p, and miR-125a-5p—in the setting of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (ASCT). A total of 66 patients were included in the study: 50 patients (75.8%) with multiple myeloma (MM) and 16 (24.2%) with lymphoma. Blood samples were collected after the administration of the conditioning regimen, on the day of transplant (day 0). The expression levels of selected miRNAs were quantified by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) using the miRCURY LNA miRNA Custom PCR Panels (QIAGEN). In a multivariate logistic regression analysis adjusted for age, sex, and the administered conditioning regimen, two miRNAs, hsa-miR-122-5p (odds ratio, OR 2.10, 95% confidence interval, CI: 1.29–3.42, *p* = 0.0029) and hsa-miR-125a-5p (OR 0.27, 95% CI: 0.11-0.71, p = 0.0079), were independent for hepatic toxicity occurrence during the 14 days after transplant. Our model in 10-fold cross-validation preserved its diagnostic potential with a receiver operating characteristics area under the curve (ROC AUC) of 0.75, 95% CI: 0.63–0.88 and at optimal cut-off reached 72.0% sensitivity and 74.4% specificity. An elevated serum level of miR-122-5p and decreased level of miR-125a-5p on day 0 are independent risk factors for hepatotoxicity in ASCT recipients, showing promise in accurately predicting post-ASCT complications. Identifying patients susceptible to complications has the potential to reduce procedure costs and optimize the selection of inpatient or outpatient procedures.

Keywords: ASCT; hematopoietic stem cell transplant; hepatotoxicity; miR-122-5p; miR-125a-5p; liver injury

1. Introduction

High-dose chemotherapy with autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (ASCT) is the most common type of hematopoietic stem transplantation, exceeding 50,000 procedures performed worldwide annually [1]. The most common indication for this remains multiple myeloma (MM) and other plasma cell disorders, followed by non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHLs) and Hodgkin lymphoma (HL). Recently, investigations



Citation: Mikulski, D.; Kościelny, K.; Dróżdż, I.; Mirocha, G.; Nowicki, M.; Misiewicz, M.; Perdas, E.; Strzałka, P.; Wierzbowska, A.; Fendler, W. Serum Levels of miR-122-5p and miR-125a-5p Predict Hepatotoxicity Occurrence in Patients Undergoing Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2024, 25, 4355. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms25084355

Academic Editors: Kyriacos N. Felekkis and Christos Papaneophytou

Received: 11 March 2024 Revised: 8 April 2024 Accepted: 12 April 2024 Published: 15 April 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). into the safety and efficacy of outpatient and early discharge strategies for ASCT have emerged [2–4]. Despite the increasing popularity of these approaches, there is a notable absence of clinically validated biomarkers for accurately predicting post-ASCT complications or identifying high-risk individuals. Predicting which patients are prone to complications therefore holds potential for reducing costs and optimizing the selection of inpatient or outpatient procedures.

Liver complications constitute one of the leading causes of both mortality and morbidity in individuals undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Major contributors to hepatic complications in transplant recipients include cytostatic drugs in conditioning regimens, acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease, sinusoidal obstruction syndrome, and various infections, among other factors [5–7]. In patients undergoing allogenic transplantation, acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease (GvHD) are the most common causes of liver injury, often leading to inferior survival of affected patients [8]. In ASCT recipients, the leading cause of hepatotoxicity, which, depending on the type of therapy, may affect up to 40% of recipients, is the conditioning regimen [5].

MicroRNAs (miRNA) are a group of short, non-coding ribonucleic acid (RNA) molecules, typically ranging from 22 to 24 nucleotides, presenting themselves as promising biomarkers [9]. The biological stability of miRNAs in the extracellular space has been validated, underscoring their durability and ability to resist rapid degradation, establishing them as robust and easily clinically applicable biomarkers [10]. miRNAs were extensively studied in the context of hepatotoxicity and drug-induced liver injury (DILI) [11–13]. However, the role of miRNAs in the setting of conditioning regimen hepatotoxicity in ASCT recipients is unknown.

In this study, we aimed to evaluate the potential role of five selected miRNAs: miR-122-5p [11–13], miR-122-3p [11–13], miR-15b-5p [14–16], miR-99b-5p [17], and miR-125a-5p [17], all previously reported to be deregulated in the drug-induced liver injury and/or other liver disorders, in the specific setting of ASCT.

2. Results

2.1. Study Group Characteristics

A total of 66 patients were included in the analysis, comprising 34 women (51.5%) and 32 men (48.5%). The median age at ASCT was 59 years (interquartile range, IQR: 49-65). MM was diagnosed in 50 patients (75.8%), while the remaining 16 (24.2%) had lymphoma, including eight cases (12.1%) of HL, four cases (6.1%) of mantle cell lymphoma (MCL), two cases (3%) of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), and one case (1.5%) each of angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AICL) and anaplastic large cell lymphoma (ALCL). In MM patients, Immunoglobulin G (IgG) kappa was the most prevalent paraprotein (24; 48%), followed by IgG lambda (11; 22%). According to the International Staging System (ISS), 15 patients (30%) had stage III. Most patients with lymphoma had high Ann Arbor stage III (4 patients, 33.3%) and IV (5 patients, 41.7%). Most MM patients received one line of induction treatment before ASCT (31; 62%). In patients with lymphoma, most patients (7; 43.8%) received four lines prior to ASCT, whereas two patients with MCL received ASCT as consolidation after the first line of therapy. Most patients with MM received a Mel-200 conditioning regimen (32; 48.5%), whereas the rest received a reduced dose of melphalan (18; 27.3%). Among patients with lymphoma, the BeEAM (Bendamustine, Etoposide, Cytarabine, Melphalan) regimen was most commonly used (11; 17.2%), followed by BEAM (Carmustine, Etoposide, Cytarabine, Melphalan) (5; 7.8%). Concurrent hepatitis B virus (HBV) or hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection was present in eight patients (12.1%).

At admission, two (3.0%) patients had elevated alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels above the upper limit. After the conditioning chemotherapy (day 0), four patients (6.1%) were identified as having grade 1 hepatic toxicity. Subsequently, 14 patients (21.2%) developed liver injury during the first week after ASCT, followed by 16 patients (24.2%) in the second week after ASCT and 15 patients (22.7%) at the time of discharge. The median time to hepatic toxicity occurrence since ASCT was 6.5 days (IQR: 2.5–11 days). Overall, the majority of patients (34; 51.5%) had

hepatic toxicity during hospitalization, and three patients (8.8%) had grade 2 hepatic toxicity according to the Seattle Criteria. The detailed characteristics of the study cohort are provided in Table 1.

Table 1. The characteristics of patients included in the analysis. Data are shown as frequencies—N (%)—unless otherwise specified.

Characteristics	Total N (%)
Number of patients	66 (100)
Sex	F: 34 (51.5) M: 32 (48.5)
Age at ASCT Median (IQR)	59 (49–65)
Diagnosis Multiple Myeloma Hodgkin Lymphoma Mantle Cell Lymphoma Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma Angioimmunoblastic T-cell Lymphoma Anaplastic Large Cell Lymphoma	$50 (75.8) \\8 (12.1) \\4 (6.1) \\2 (3.0) \\1 (1.5) \\1 (1.5)$
Myeloma stage ISS I ISS II ISS III Missing	17 (34.0) 10 (20.0) 15 (30.0) 8 (16.0)
Ann Arbor I II III IV	1 (8.3) 2 (16.7) 4 (33.3) 5 (41.7)
Conditioning Mel-200 Mel-reduced BeEAM BEAM	32 (48.5) 18 (27.3) 11 (16.7) 5 (7.6)
HBV/HCV infection Without HBV/HCV HBV HCV	58 (87.9) 7 (10.6) 1 (1.5)
Markers of liver injury preASCT Median (IQR) AST (U/L) ALT (U/L) Bilirubin (mg/dL) Albumins (mg/dL) GGTP (U/L) ALP (U/L)	19 (16–22) 20 (14–28) 0.36 (0.3–0.5) 41.25 (38.3–44.4) 18 (13–33) 79.5 (59.5–105.5)
Antifungal treatment after ASCT No Yes Missing	34 (51.5) 30 (45.5) 2 (3)
Abnormal ALT and/or AST at admission No Yes	64 (97.0) 2 (3.0)

Abbreviations: ALP—Alkaline Phosphatase; ALT—Alanine Aminotransferase; ASCT—Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation; AST—Aspartate Aminotransferase; BEAM—Carmustine, Etoposide, Cytarabine, Melphalan; BEEAM—Bendamustine, Etoposide, Cytarabine, Melphalan; GGTP—Gamma-Glutamyl Transpeptidase; HBV—Hepatitis B Virus; HCV—Hepatitis C Virus; IQR—Interquartile Range; ISS—International Staging System; Mel-200—High-dose Melphalan.

2.2. miRNAs Expression Levels in ASCT Patients in Relation to Liver Injury

Overall, exploratory analysis using heatmap and hierarchical clustering yielded two clusters of patients with distinct miRNA profiles and frequencies of liver injury occurrence (Figure 1 and Supplementary File S1).



Figure 1. Heatmap with unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis of serum samples autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplant (ASCT) recipients according to microRNA (miRNA) expression levels. The dendrogram shows two clusters of patients according to the miRNA expression profile. The cluster with higher miR-122-5p and lower hsa-miR-125a-5p expression tends to experience liver toxicity more frequently. One minus Spearman correlation distance metric and average linkage method were used in hierarchical clustering.

Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis showed that only hsa-miR-122-5p was considered discriminative for liver injury with area under the curve (AUC) 0.67, 95% CI: 0.53–0.82, p = 0.0186 (Figure S1). Overall, in patients with higher hsa-miR-122-5p serum levels, higher fold changes in ALT and AST levels compared to baseline (at admission) were observed across the ASCT procedure (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Aspartate transaminase (AST) (**A**) and alanine transaminase (ALT) (**B**) fold changes (FC) during autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in relation to the class assigned by the 10-fold cross-validated model utilizing two miRNAs serum levels—miR-122-5p and miR-125a-5p—on day 0 (before transplantation). The lines indicate FC with a 95% confidence interval compared with baseline (at admission) AST/ALT levels.

2.3. Factors Associated with Liver Injury

In the univariate analysis, none of the clinical variables significantly impacted the occurrence of hepatic toxicity (Table 2). There was a higher inclination toward hepatotoxicity in patients receiving the B(e)EAM conditioning regimen (odds ratio, OR: 1.58, 95%) confidence interval, CI: 0.47–5.35) compared to those receiving Mel-200; however, this difference did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.4595). Importantly, none of the traditional hepatic markers measured upon admission, including albumin, AST, ALT, bilirubin, ALP, and GGTP, demonstrated an association with ASCT-related hepatotoxicity (Table 2). Among the analyzed miRNAs, only hsa-miR-122-5p emerged as a significant predictor, indicating a higher risk of hepatotoxicity with an OR of 1.59 (95% CI: 1.09–2.32, p = 0.0164).

Table 2. Univariate logistic regression for hepatic toxicity (HT) during hospitalization after ASCT. miRNA expression levels as continuous variables after the conditioning chemotherapy were included in the analyses.

Variable	Value	OR	95% CI Lower	95% CI Upper	<i>p</i> -Value
	No	Reference			
HBV/HCV	Yes	0.20	0.02	1.75	0.1471
Diamonia	Lymphoma		Re	ference	
Diagnosis	Myeloma	0.52	0.16	1.61	0.2547
C	Male		Re	ference	
Sex	Female	1.34	0.49	3.63	0.5696
A go at ASCT	<60 years		Re	ference	
Age at ASC1	\geq 60 years	0.37	0.13	1.08	0.0679
Albumin	g/L	1.09	0.92	1.29	0.3244
AST	IU/L	1.00	0.93	1.07	0.9978
ALT	IU/L	1.01	0.97	1.05	0.7740
Bilirubin	Above median	0.44	0.14	1.39	0.1636
ALP	IU/L	1.00	0.98	1.02	0.7331
GGTP	IU/L	1.02	0.98	1.07	0.2316
Stage	$\begin{array}{l} \text{ISS} \leq 2 \text{ or Ann} \\ \text{Arbor} \leq \text{III} \end{array}$	Reference			
	ISS 3 or Ann Arbor IV	0.61	0.20	1.87	0.3864
Antifungal	No		Reference		
treatment	Yes	0.71	0.26	1.95	0.5112
Previous lines of	<2		Re		
treatment	≥ 2	1.44	0.53	3.94	0.4749
Conditioning	Mel-200		Re	ference	
regimen	Mel-reduced	0.66	0.19	2.35	0.5207
	B(e)EAM	1.58	0.47	5.35	0.4595
hsa-miR-15b-5p	1 dCt	0.49	0.18	1.36	0.1717
hsa-miR-125a-5p	1 dCt	0.56	0.30	1.05	0.0686
hsa-miR-99b-5p	1 dCt	0.69	0.37	1.28	0.2442
hsa-miR-122-5p	1 dCt	1.59	1.09	2.32	0.0164
hsa-miR-122-3p	1 dCt	0.86	0.60	1.23	0.3990

1 dCt—a difference of 1 amplification cycle (Ct) between the average Ct of the two reference miRNAs and the miRNA of interest. Abbreviations: ALP—Alkaline Phosphatase; ALT—Alanine Aminotransferase; ASCT—Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation; AST—Aspartate Aminotransferase; B(e)EAM—carmustine (B) or bendamustine (Be), etoposide, cytarabine, and melphalan; CI—Confidence Interval; GGTP—Gamma-Glutamyl Transferase; HBV—Hepatitis B Virus; HCV—Hepatitis C Virus; Mel—melphalan, OR—Odds Ratio.

In the next step, a multivariate logistic regression model was constructed utilizing two miRNAs with p < 0.1 in univariate analyses—hsa-miR-122-5p and hsa-miR-125a-5p adjusted for age, sex, and administered conditioning regimen. In this model, both miRNAs

were significant predictors of liver injury occurrence—hsa-miR-122-5p (OR 2.10, 95% CI: 1.29–3.42, p = 0.0029) and hsa-miR-125a-5p (OR: 0.27, 95% CI: 0.11–0.71, p = 0.0079) (Table 3). Importantly, in analysis considering only MM patients, similar results were obtained (Supplementary Table S1).

Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression analysis for hepatic toxicity (HT) during hospitalization after ASCT.

Variable	Value	OR	95% CI Lower	95% CI Upper	<i>p</i> -Value
Sex	Male	Reference			
	Female	1.91	0.56	6.50	0.3029
Age at ASCT	<60 years	Reference			
	\geq 60 years	0.29	0.07	1.24	0.0941
hsa-miR-122-5p	1 dCt	2.10	1.29	3.42	0.0029
hsa-miR-125a-5p	1 dCt	0.27	0.11	0.71	0.0079
Conditioning regimen	Mel-200	Reference			
	Mel-reduced	0.64	0.13	3.15	0.5832
	B(e)EAM	1.79	0.37	8.63	0.4699

1 dCt—a difference of 1 amplification cycle (Ct) between the average Ct of the two reference miRNAs and the miRNA of interest.

Our model in 10-fold cross-validation preserved its diagnostic potential with an ROC AUC: 0.75, 95% CI: 0.63–0.88 and at optimal cut-off reached 72.0% sensitivity and 74.4% specificity (Figure 3).



Figure 3. ROC curve of logistic regression model after 10-fold cross-validation predicting hepatotoxicity occurrence during autologous stem cell transplantation, AUC: 0.75, 95% CI: 0.63–0.88, p = 0.0001.

2.4. Prediction of miRNAs Target Genes and KEGG Pathway Analysis

Overall, two miRNAs—miR-122-5p and miR-125a-5p—included in the final multivariate model targeted a total of 870 genes—miR-122-5p—610 genes, miR-125a-5p—270 genes (Supplementary Figure S2 and Supplementary File S2). Ten genes were targeted by both investigated miRNAs. In the next step, functional enrichment analysis of identified targets was performed using hypergeometric testing with the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database (Supplementary Figure S3). Overall, KEGG pathway analysis demonstrated that miRNAs deregulated in patients with liver toxicity among AHSCT recipients were enriched for genes associated with liver function and diseases, including ErbB (erythroblastic oncogene B) signaling pathway, Neurotrophin signaling pathway, Insulin signaling pathway, mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) signaling pathway, Hepatitis C, TGF-beta (transforming growth factor beta) signaling pathway, Jak-STAT (Janus kinase/signal transducers and activators of transcription signaling pathway), NOD-like (nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptors) receptor signaling pathway, MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) signaling pathway, and VEGF (Vascular endothelial growth factor) signaling pathway.

3. Discussion

This study assessed the potential role of serum free circulating miRNAs in predicting liver injury in ASCT recipients. Notably, serum expression levels of miR-122-5p and hsa-miR-125a-5p at the day of transplant were identified as independent prognostic factors of hepatotoxicity occurrence in multivariate analysis. Significantly, toxicity manifested at a median of 6.5 days after evaluating the expression of miRNAs, which suggests that this finding could be utilized in clinical settings to take preventive measures. In our study, we focused on the liver toxicity occurrence in the early post-transplant period (since day 0 up to 14 days after transplant), as the majority of drug toxicity and sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (SOS) events occur in this period [18,19].

MiR-122 is an example of tissue-specific miRNA, as it is highly expressed in the liver tissue. It dominates the hepatic miRnome, accounting for 70% of the total miRNA in the liver [20–22]. A high serum miR-122-5p expression level was previously reported as a hallmark of acetaminophen-induced liver injury and HBV infection [23,24]. MiR-122 is also involved in HCV replication and interacts with the binding sites of HCV RNA, leading to a miR-122-HCV complex that protects the HCV genome from nucleolytic degradation or host innate immune responses [22,25,26]. These observations lead to the development of miravirsen antisense oligonucleotide complementary to the 5' region of miR-122, which could inhibit the function of miR-122. The drug was evaluated in a phase 2 trial in patients with chronic HCV genotype 1 infection and yielded promising results. It was also reported that serum levels of miR-122 and miR-200a are higher in HIV-1-infected individuals treated with antiretroviral therapy before the development of fatal liver disease and may act as potential biomarkers in this setting [27]. Recently, elevated serum miR-122 expression has also been reported in patients with antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury compared to individuals treated with antituberculosis therapy without hepatotoxicity [28]. Taken together, miR-122 is an established biomarker for liver injury caused by various factors. Compared to other miRNAs, the high abundance of miR-122-5p within hepatocytes, its high degree of tissue selectivity, and its release from damaged cells may account for the increase in serum mir-122 expression level. We add to this existing knowledge and validate miR-122 as a hepatotoxicity biomarker in ASCT recipients. Furthermore, in this clinical setting, the effect of miR-122 was independent of the type of conditioning therapy (Mel-200 or B(e)EAM), serving as a potentially universal liver injury predictor.

In our study, a higher expression level of miR-125a-5p was acting protectively against the occurrence of liver injury. Overall, miR-125a-5p was reported to be deregulated in liver diseases. Specifically, serum expression of miR-125a-5p was increased in liver fibrosis, whereas in hepatocellular carcinoma, it was decreased compared to the healthy controls [29]. In addition, the miR-125a-5p level is increased in liver tissue in patients with HBV chronic infection and higher levels were associated with higher disease burden [30]. Recently, in a rat model, it was shown that miR-125a inhibited hepatocyte proliferation and liver regeneration by regulating the STAT3/p-STAT3/JUN/BCL2 axis [31]. Taken together, we may hypothesize that patients who will not develop liver injury during ASCT may have higher expression levels of miR-125a-5p, potentially facilitating a decreased need for liver regeneration after the conditioning regimen, whereas individuals at risk of hepatotoxicity may have reduced serum levels, enabling further hepatocyte proliferation. However, these observations should be confirmed in studies conducted on larger populations.

To investigate the potential mechanisms of miR-122-5p and miR-125a-5p in hepatotoxicity following ASCT, we conducted target gene prediction analysis. However, it is important to interpret bioinformatic predictions of miRNA function based on serum levels cautiously. This is because observed patterns may reflect an organism-level response to chemotherapy, and their expression could be specific to certain tissues. Nevertheless, our findings reveal that miRNAs deregulated in ASCT recipients experiencing liver toxicity were enriched for genes previously linked to liver function and diseases. For example, the ErbB signaling pathway is regarded as a crucial defense system for the liver when faced with acute injury. However, growing evidence indicates that its prolonged activation may contribute to the liver transition to a neoplastic state [32]. The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), alternatively referred to as ErbB1, is a transmembrane protein receptor possessing tyrosine kinase activity. Hepatocytes exhibit notably elevated levels of ErbB1, and the expression of the majority of ErbB ligands rises during liver tissue damage and regeneration, as evidenced in rodent models of partial hepatectomy and experimental liver injury [33]. We have also found that the Neurotrophin signaling pathway was significantly enriched in our study. It was shown that during fibrotic liver injury, nerve growth factor (NGF) expression increases and may control the quantity of activated hepatic stellate cells by triggering apoptosis [34]. Moreover, NGF and its associated receptor are significant in influencing the pathophysiology of the intrahepatic biliary epithelium during liver tissue remodeling in cirrhosis and the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma [35]. In addition, increasing evidence suggests that neurotrophic factors can influence every phase of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Changes in both the autonomic nervous system and neurotrophic factors have been observed in patients and murine models of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [36]. In liver pathology, TGF- β signaling is involved in every phase, spanning from initial injury to the development of inflammation, fibrosis, cirrhosis, and cancer [37]. While TGF- β aids in liver differentiation and regeneration, its excessive presence due to chronic damage triggers stellate cell activation, hepatocyte death, and ultimately, fibrosis and cirrhosis. Initially, during liver tumor formation, TGF-β may suppress growth, but prolonged signaling activation can later promote tumor progression [38,39].

In our study, liver toxicity was assessed using established gold-standard liver biomarkers, namely ALT and AST. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved ALT use in 2009 for evaluating drug-induced liver toxicity (DILI), and it remains integral to the FDA-recommended approach for assessing DILI severity [40]. However, numerous novel liver-related biomarkers have emerged, such as cytokeratin-18, macrophage colonystimulating factor receptor 1, and osteopontin [41–43]. The main disadvantage of these biomarkers, in contrast to miR-122, is that they are not liver-specific, and their fluctuations during ASCT may reflect the total impact of chemotherapy responses elicited on all tissues. Additional studies should be conducted to analyze their changes during conditioning chemotherapy and bone marrow transplant procedures, along with potential confounding factors affecting their levels.

Oxidative stress plays a significant role in drug-related liver injury by disrupting the balance between the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the antioxidant defense systems to neutralize them [44]. This oxidative damage can result in various forms of liver injury, including hepatocyte apoptosis, inflammation, fibrosis, and ultimately, liver dysfunction. The administration of cytostatic agents, such as etoposide, melphalan, and carmustine, as part of conditioning regimens during bone marrow transplants, is a significant contributor to the disruption of redox balance and oxidative stress status in patients undergoing the procedure. This occurs through the increased production of free radicals and a reduction in host antioxidant defenses. Some reports indicated that myeloma and lymphoma patients undergoing ASCT displayed heightened oxidative activity, measured by malondialdehyde (MDA), a lipid peroxidation product, and catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) enzymes along with a high DNA damage index, in comparison to the control group. Furthermore, the conditioning regimen worsened this state with subsequent return to baseline levels [45]. In another study, individuals undergoing bone marrow transplantation, whether autologous or allogeneic, exhibited indications of oxidative stress during the conditioning regimen. This was evidenced by reductions in plasma vitamin C levels and blood δ -aminolevulinate dehydratase (δ -ALA-D) activity, as well as an elevation in blood lipoperoxidation, observed in both groups of patients [46]. In another small study, urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) significantly increased immediately after conditioning therapy in allogenic and autologous bone marrow transplant recipients [47]. Moreover, high urinary 8-OHdG levels were associated with poor prognosis in patients undergoing allogenic bone marrow transplant. However, the oxidative stress imbalance is generally more visible in the latter phase of the bone marrow transplant, after the administration of conditioning regimen. In one study, there were no changes in pretransplant level and day O in oxidative stress markers including nonreversible protein oxidation indexed by protein carbonyls and 3-Nitrotyrosine and lipid peroxidation to 4-hydroxy-2-transnonal (HNE) [48]. Although an increase in oxidative stress has been reported in ASCT recipients, further assessment is necessary to determine its direct potential association with hepatotoxicity in this setting.

The main limitation of our study is evaluating only five preselected miRNAs based on the literature research. The more advanced experiments would involve high-throughput techniques involving miRNA sequencing or microarray analyses, enabling the identification of larger amounts of dysregulated miRNAs. However, this study primarily focused on validating miRNAs as biomarkers of liver injury in an ASCT clinical setting. The focus on serum miRNAs stems from the clinical availability, reliability, and ease of introducing blood-based biomarker tests to clinical practice. Serum miRNAs, released into circulation by various cell types, including hematopoietic and non-hematopoietic cells, would thus provide a more comprehensive insight into the incipient complications and outcome predictions [49–51]. In addition, assessment of miR-122 in other populations, including allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients, would be exciting in the context of hepatic GvHD, as miR-122 was already reported to be up-regulated in sera of patients with chronic GvHD [52]. The second main limitation of our study is limited sample size. We planned the sample size statistically to allow us the direct validation of our hypothesis and demonstration of the capabilities of miRNAs to be used in this setting. Secondly, it is important to highlight that patients undergoing ASCT are a very specific and small population, which makes recruitment difficult and time consuming. Expanding the sample size would necessitate a multicenter study collaboration. Despite the limited sample size, we believe our results are scientifically and clinically significant.

In conclusion, the high expression level of serum miR-122-5p as measured before the ASCT can potentially predict hepatotoxicity associated with the procedure. This observation could have practical implications for personalized medicine, potentially enabling more effective and cost-efficient management of patients undergoing ASCT with targeted monitoring or preemptive interventions.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Patients and Treatment

Patients who underwent autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) at the Department of Hematology and Transplantology, Provincial Multi-Specialized Oncology and Trauma Center in Lodz, Poland, were prospectively recruited for this study. Enrollment occurred between 2015 and 2022. The study adhered to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and the principles of good clinical and laboratory practice. Informed consent was obtained from each patient for all procedures. Approval for all procedures was granted by the local ethical committee (The Ethical Committee of the Medical University of Lodz, No. RNN/424/19/KE). Inclusion criteria encompassed a minimum age of 18 years, a diagnosis of hematologic malignancy, and eligibility for ASCT as part of the treatment plan. Exclusion criteria included contraindications for ASCT, notably a Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation –Comorbidity Index (HCT-CI) exceeding 3. For patients with multiple myeloma (MM), the myeloablative conditioning regimen involved high-dose melphalan (200 mg/m²), while lymphoma patients received B(e)EAM. The latter regimen included carmustine (B) 300 mg/m² or bendamustine (Be) 160–200 mg/m² on day –6, etoposide 200 mg/m² and cytarabine 200 mg/m² twice daily from days –5 to –2, and melphalan 140 mg/m² on day –1. From day +4 post-ASCT (72 h after transplantation) until engraftment, all patients received granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF). Antimicrobial prophylaxis for each patient included ciprofloxacin 500 mg twice daily, acyclovir 800 mg twice daily, and fluconazole 400 mg once daily for those patients identified as high-risk for candidiasis.

4.2. Hepatotoxicity Assessment and miRNAs Selection

The liver toxicity was evaluated based on alanine transaminase (ALT) and aspartate transaminase (AST) laboratory tests performed during hospitalization. Levels of AST and ALT at the time of admission were set as a baseline for every patient. Each patient had ALT and AST evaluation during the study at least once in two weeks after ASCT. Using modified Seattle Criteria for Organ Toxicity after ASCT scale, we classified mild liver injury (grade 1) as an ALT > 2× baseline and ALT > ULN (Upper limit norm) or AST > 2× baseline and AST > ULN [53,54]. Similarly, moderate liver injury (grade 2) was classified as an ALT > 5× baseline and ALT > 3× ULN or AST > 5× baseline and AST > 3× ULN. Patients who met the criteria for liver injury in at least one evaluation within 14 days following hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (day 0) were assigned to the liver injury group. In this study, we selected for investigation miRNAs that were previously reported to be deregulated in liver injuries or associated with hepatocytes: miR-122-5p, miR-122-3p, miR-15b-5p, miR-99b-5p, miR-125a-5p [11–17].

4.3. Samples Collection and RT-qPCR

Blood samples were collected on the day of transplant (day 0), after the administration of the conditioning regimen and were drawn into anticoagulant-free containers and kept at room temperature for 30 min. The serum was separated by centrifugation at $1500 \times g$ for 15 min at 4 °C. The serum samples were kept at -80 °C until analysis.

Total RNA, including miRNA, was extracted from 200 μ L volume of serum using the miRNeasy Serum/Plasma Advanced Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), following a phenol-free protocol in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA was eluted from the column using 20 μ L of RNase-free water and stored at -20 °C until further use.

The expression levels of selected miRNAs were quantified by RT-qPCR using the miRCURY LNA miRNA Custom PCR Panels (QIAGEN). Briefly, 2 μ L of complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from the obtained total RNA including mature miRNAs (<200 bp), using the miRCURY LNA Reverse Transcription Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manual provided by the manufacturer. Two synthetic miRNAs, UniSp6 (0.075 fmol) and cel-miR-39-3p (0.001 fmol), were used as a positive control for cDNA synthesis. The cDNA was stored at -20 °C until further analysis.

Next, a premix of 3 μ L of cDNA template (diluted 1:30), 5 μ L of 2X miRCURY LNA SYBR Green PCR Master Mix, and filled with RNase-free water to the final volume of 10 μ L, was aliquoted into the PCR plate. Real-time PCR was performed on a LightCycler480 II Real-Time PCR System (Roche, Pleasanton, CA, USA). The reaction was performed at 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 55 amplification cycles at 95 °C for 10 s and 56 °C for 1 min. Absolute quantification of miRNA was determined using the LightCycler[®] 480 Software, Version 1.5 (Roche, Mannheim, Germany).

4.4. Statistical Analysis

The miRNA expression levels were determined using the Δ Ct method. The RT-qPCR data were normalized by utilizing the mean expression value of two miRNAs within a particular sample, namely hsa-miR-27b-3p and hsa-miR-148b-3p. These miRNAs were identified as the most stable factors based on the analysis performed with NormiRazor Software (https://norm.btm.umed.pl/, accessed on 1 April 2023) in our prior study involving ASCT recipients [55,56]. The formula for calculating the normalized Ct values was: Normalized Δ Ct = (mean Ct of hsa-miR-27b-3p and hsa-miR-148b-3p) – Ct miRNA of interest.

This method yields increased values for elevated miRNA expression, facilitating a clear interpretation of biomarker results.

Our research adhered to the predetermined sample size calculation. Anticipating a difference of 0.8 Δ Ct between the liver injury group and control means, with a standard deviation of 1 Δ Ct and an experimental to control ratio of 1:1, we determined that examining 26 subjects in each group was necessary to potentially reject the null hypothesis of equal means between experimental and control groups with a power of 0.8 and a type I error probability of 0.05.

Unsupervised hierarchical cluster analysis was performed using Morpheus software (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus, accessed on 12 December 2023) with the complete linkage method and 1-minus Spearman correlation metric. ROC AUC analyses were performed to evaluate the diagnostic ability of liver injury of analyzed miRNAs. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were conducted to establish the association of examined miRNA and clinical variables with liver injury occurrence after ASCT during the early post-transplant period—since day 0 up to 14 days after transplant. All statistical analyses were performed using Statistica Version 13.1 (TIBCO, Palo Alto, CA, USA). *P* Values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

The target genes of miRNAs included into the multivariate model construction were predicted using miRNet 2.0 (http://www.mirnet.ca/, accessed on 1 April 2024) [57]. Using the miRNet and miRTarBase v8.0, an enrichment analysis of KEGG pathways was conducted to investigate the role of selected miRNAs in biological processes and signaling pathways.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms25084355/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.M. and W.F.; methodology, D.M. and I.D.; software, D.M., K.K. and G.M.; validation, D.M., E.P. and W.F.; formal analysis, D.M.; investigation, D.M., M.M. and I.D.; data curation, D.M., M.N., K.K., M.M. and P.S.; writing—original draft preparation, D.M.; writing—review and editing, D.M., E.P. and W.F.; visualization, D.M. and G.M.; supervision, A.W. and W.F.; project administration, D.M. and W.F.; funding acquisition, D.M. and W.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The study was funded by the National Science Centre grants number 2019/33/B/NZ5/00536 awarded to W.F. and 2022/45/N/NZ6/02904 awarded to D.M.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was approved by The Ethical Committee of the Medical University of Lodz (No RNN/424/19/KE, 12 December 2019). The studies were conducted under local legislation and institutional requirements.

Informed Consent Statement: The participants provided written informed consent to participate in this study.

Data Availability Statement: The data generated during the current study are included in the article/Supplementary Materials.

Conflicts of Interest: A.W.: research grants: Jazz Pharmaceuticals; honoraria: AbbVie, Astellas, BMS/Celgene, Gilead/Kite, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, Servier; advisory boards: AbbVie, Astellas, BerGenBio, BMS/Celgene, Gilead/Kite, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, Servier. The remaining authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Niederwieser, D.; Baldomero, H.; Bazuaye, N.; Bupp, C.; Chaudhri, N.; Corbacioglu, S.; Elhaddad, A.; Frutos, C.; Galeano, S.; Hamad, N.; et al. One and a Half Million Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplants: Continuous and Differential Improvement in Worldwide Access with the Use of Non-Identical Family Donors. *Haematologica* 2022, 107, 1045. [CrossRef]
- Kodad, S.G.; Sutherland, H.; Limvorapitak, W.; Abou Mourad, Y.; Barnett, M.J.; Forrest, D.; Gerrie, A.; Hogge, D.E.; Nantel, S.H.; Narayanan, S.; et al. Outpatient Autologous Stem Cell Transplants for Multiple Myeloma: Analysis of Safety and Outcomes in a Tertiary Care Center. *Clin. Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk.* 2019, 19, 784–790. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shah, N.; Cornelison, A.M.; Saliba, R.; Ahmed, S.; Nieto, Y.L.; Bashir, Q.; Parmar, S.; Hosing, C.; Popat, U.; Shpall, E.J.; et al. Inpatient vs Outpatient Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for Multiple Myeloma. *Eur. J. Haematol.* 2017, 99, 532–535. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Dytfeld, D.; Łojko-Dankowska, A.; Nowicki, A.; Matuszak, M.; Wache, A.; Gil, L. Safety and Cost Effectiveness of Outpatient Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for Multiple Myeloma—Single-Center Experience of a Pilot Early Discharge Program. *Acta Haematol. Pol.* **2021**, *52*, 178–181. [CrossRef]
- 5. Patel, R.D.; Klumpp, T.R.; Martin, M.E.; Kropf, P.; Fasan, O.O.; Mangan, K.F. A Retrospective Analysis of The Association Between Common Myeloablative Conditioning Regimens and Hepatotoxicity. *Blood* **2013**, *122*, 5515. [CrossRef]
- de Moura Almeida, A.; Cotrim, H.P.; Fonseca, D.C.; Alencar, D.M.; Dutra, D.D.; de Melo Santos, H.H.; Botura, M.; Salvino, M.A.; Arruda, M. da G.B. Liver Injury after Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant: DILI and SOS. *Blood* 2018, 132, 2161. [CrossRef]
- Abdelbary, H.; Magdy, R.; Moussa, M.; Abdelmoaty, I. Liver Disease during and after Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation in Adults: A Single-Center Egyptian Experience. J. Egypt. Natl. Canc. Inst. 2020, 32, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- Modi, D.; Ye, J.C.; Surapaneni, M.; Singh, V.; Chen, W.; Jang, H.; Deol, A.; Ayash, L.; Alavi, A.; Ratanatharathorn, V.; et al. Liver Graft-Versus-Host Disease Is Associated with Poor Survival among Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Recipients. *Am. J. Hematol.* 2019, 94, 1072–1080. [CrossRef]
- 9. Condrat, C.E.; Thompson, D.C.; Barbu, M.G.; Bugnar, O.L.; Boboc, A.; Cretoiu, D.; Suciu, N.; Cretoiu, S.M.; Voinea, S.C. MiRNAs as Biomarkers in Disease: Latest Findings Regarding Their Role in Diagnosis and Prognosis. *Cells* **2020**, *9*, 276. [CrossRef]
- SMitchell, P.S.; Parkin, R.K.; Kroh, E.M.; Fritz, B.R.; Wyman, S.K.; Pogosova-Agadjanyan, E.L.; Peterson, A.; Noteboom, J.; O'Briant, K.C.; Allen, A.; et al. Circulating MicroRNAs as Stable Blood-Based Markers for Cancer Detection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 2008, 105, 10513–10518. [CrossRef]
- Wang, K.; Zhang, S.; Marzolf, B.; Troisch, P.; Brightman, A.; Hu, Z.; Hood, L.E.; Galas, D.J. Circulating MicroRNAs, Potential Biomarkers for Drug-Induced Liver Injury. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2009, *106*, 4402–4407. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Russo, M.W.; Steuerwald, N.; Norton, H.J.; Anderson, W.E.; Foureau, D.; Chalasani, N.; Fontana, R.J.; Watkins, P.B.; Serrano, J.; Bonkovsky, H.L. Profiles of MiRNAs in Serum in Severe Acute Drug Induced Liver Injury and Their Prognostic Significance. *Liver Int.* 2017, *37*, 757–764. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Cione, E.; Abrego Guandique, D.M.; Caroleo, M.C.; Luciani, F.; Colosimo, M.; Cannataro, R. Liver Damage and MicroRNAs: An Update. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.* 2023, 45, 78–91. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Zhang, Y.; Cheng, X.; Lu, Z.; Wang, J.; Chen, H.; Fan, W.; Gao, X.; Lu, D. Upregulation of miR-15b in NAFLD models and in the serum of patients with fatty liver disease. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **2013**, *99*, 327–334. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Chen, Y.; Chen, J.; Liu, Y.; Li, S.; Huang, P. Plasma miR-15b-5p, miR-338-5p, and miR-764 as Biomarkers for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 2015, 21, 1864–1871. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Cisilotto, J.; do Amaral, A.E.; Rosolen, D.; Rode, M.P.; Silva, A.H.; Winter, E.; da Silva, T.E.; Fischer, J.; Matiollo, C.; Rateke, E.C.D.M.; et al. MicroRNA profiles in serum samples from Acute-On-Chronic Liver Failure patients and miR-25-3p as a potential biomarker for survival prediction. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Messner, C.J.; Schmidt, S.; Özkul, D.; Gaiser, C.; Terracciano, L.; Krähenbühl, S.; Suter-Dick, L. Identification of mir-199a-5p, mir-214-3p and mir-99b-5p as fibrosis-specific extracellular biomarkers and promoters of hsc activation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 9799. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tuncer, H.H.; Rana, N.; Milani, C.; Darko, A.; Al-Homsi, S.A. Gastrointestinal and Hepatic Complications of Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation. World J. Gastroenterol. 2012, 18, 1851–1860. [CrossRef]
- Hogan, W.J.; Maris, M.; Storer, B.; Sandmaier, B.M.; Maloney, D.G.; Schoch, H.G.; Woolfrey, A.E.; Shulman, H.M.; Storb, R.; McDonald, G.B. Hepatic Injury after Nonmyeloablative Conditioning Followed by Allogeneic Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation: A Study of 193 Patients. *Blood* 2004, 103, 78–84. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chang, J.; Nicolas, E.; Marks, D.; Sander, C.; Lerro, A.; Buendia, M.A.; Xu, C.; Mason, W.S.; Moloshok, T.; Bort, R.; et al. MiR-122, a Mammalian Liver-Specific MicroRNA, Is Processed from Hcr MRNA and May Downregulate the High Affinity Cationic Amino Acid Transporter CAT-1. RNA Biol. 2004, 1, 106–113. [CrossRef]
- Lagos-Quintana, M.; Rauhut, R.; Yalcin, A.; Meyer, J.; Lendeckel, W.; Tuschl, T. Identification of Tissue-Specific MicroRNAs from Mouse. Curr. Biol. 2002, 12, 735–739. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Jopling, C.L. Liver-Specific MicroRNA-122: Biogenesis and Function. RNA Biol. 2012, 9, 137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Krauskopf, J.; De Kok, T.M.; Schomaker, S.J.; Gosink, M.; Burt, D.A.; Chandler, P.; Warner, R.L.; Johnson, K.J.; Caiment, F.; Kleinjans, J.C.; et al. Serum MicroRNA Signatures as "Liquid Biopsies" for Interrogating Hepatotoxic Mechanisms and Liver Pathogenesis in Human. *PLoS ONE* 2017, *12*, e0177928. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Vliegenthart, A.D.B.; Shaffer, J.M.; Clarke, J.I.; Peeters, L.E.J.; Caporali, A.; Bateman, D.N.; Wood, D.M.; Dargan, P.I.; Craig, D.G.; Moore, J.K.; et al. Comprehensive MicroRNA Profiling in Acetaminophen Toxicity Identifies Novel Circulating Biomarkers for Human Liver and Kidney Injury. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 15501. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jopling, C.L.; Yi, M.K.; Lancaster, A.M.; Lemon, S.M.; Sarnow, P. Modulation of Hepatitis C Virus RNA Abundance by a Liver-Specific MicroRNA. *Science* 2005, 309, 1577–1581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shimakami, T.; Yamane, D.; Jangra, R.K.; Kempf, B.J.; Spaniel, C.; Barton, D.J.; Lemon, S.M. Stabilization of Hepatitis C Virus RNA by an Ago2-MiR-122 Complex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2012, 109, 941–946. [CrossRef]
- Murray, D.D.; Suzuki, K.; Law, M.; Trebicka, J.; Neuhaus Nordwall, J.; Johnson, M.; Vjecha, M.J.; Kelleher, A.D.; Emery, S. Circulating MiR-122 and MiR-200a as Biomarkers for Fatal Liver Disease in ART-Treated, HIV-1-Infected Individuals. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 10934. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moosa, M.S.; Russomanno, G.; Dorfman, J.R.; Gunter, H.; Patel, C.; Costello, E.; Carr, D.; Maartens, G.; Pirmohamed, M.; Goldring, C.; et al. Analysis of Serum MicroRNA-122 in a Randomized Controlled Trial of N-Acetylcysteine for Treatment of Antituberculosis Drug-Induced Liver Injury. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 2023, *89*, 1844–1851. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Zheng, J.; Zhou, Z.; Xu, Z.; Li, G.; Dong, P.; Chen, Z.; Lin, D.; Chen, B.; Yu, F. Serum MicroRNA-125a-5p, a Useful Biomarker in Liver Diseases, Correlates with Disease Progression. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **2015**, *12*, 1584–1590. [CrossRef]
- Coppola, N.; Potenza, N.; Pisaturo, M.; Mosca, N.; Tonziello, G.; Signoriello, G.; Messina, V.; Sagnelli, C.; Russo, A.; Sagnelli, E. Liver MicroRNA Hsa-MiR-125a-5p in HBV Chronic Infection: Correlation with HBV Replication and Disease Progression. *PLoS* ONE 2013, 8, e65336. [CrossRef]
- 31. Zhang, C.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, Q.; Qin, J.; Ye, B.; Xu, C.; Yu, G. Overexpression of MiR-125a-5p Inhibits Hepatocyte Proliferation through the STAT3 Regulation In Vivo and In Vitro. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 8661. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Berasain, C.; Castillo, J.; Prieto, J.; Avila, M.A. New Molecular Targets for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: The ErbB1 Signaling System. *Liver Int.* 2007, *27*, 174–185. [CrossRef]
- Berasain, C.; Avila, M.A. The EGFR Signalling System in the Liver: From Hepatoprotection to Hepatocarcinogenesis. J. Gastroenterol. 2014, 49, 9–23. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Oakley, F.; Trim, N.; Constandinou, C.M.; Ye, W.; Gray, A.M.; Frantz, G.; Hillan, K.; Kendall, T.; Benyon, R.C.; Mann, D.A.; et al. Hepatocytes Express Nerve Growth Factor during Liver Injury: Evidence for Paracrine Regulation of Hepatic Stellate Cell Apoptosis. Am. J. Pathol. 2003, 163, 1849. [CrossRef]
- Rasi, G.; Serafino, A.; Bellis, L.; Lonardo, M.T.; Andreola, F.; Zonfrillo, M.; Vennarecci, G.; Pierimarchi, P.; Vallebona, P.S.; Ettorre, G.M.; et al. Nerve Growth Factor Involvement in Liver Cirrhosis and Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *World J. Gastroenterol. WJG* 2007, 13, 4986. [CrossRef]
- Amir, M.; Yu, M.; He, P.; Srinivasan, S. Hepatic Autonomic Nervous System and Neurotrophic Factors Regulate the Pathogenesis and Progression of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Front. Med.* 2020, 7, 62. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fabregat, I.; Moreno-Càceres, J.; Sánchez, A.; Dooley, S.; Dewidar, B.; Giannelli, G.; ten Dijke, P. TGF-β Signalling and Liver Disease. *FEBS J.* 2016, 283, 2219–2232. [CrossRef]
- 38. Dooley, S.; Ten Dijke, P. TGF-β in Progression of Liver Disease. Cell Tissue Res. 2012, 347, 245. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, C.; Sun, C.; Zhao, Y.; Ye, B.; Yu, G.Y. Signaling Pathways of Liver Regeneration: Biological Mechanisms and Implications. iScience 2024, 27, 108683. [CrossRef]
- 40. Drug-Induced Liver Injury: Premarketing Clinical Evaluation | FDA. Available online: https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/ search-fda-guidance-documents/drug-induced-liver-injury-premarketing-clinical-evaluation (accessed on 6 April 2024).
- Stutchfield, B.M.; Antoine, D.J.; Mackinnon, A.C.; Gow, D.J.; Bain, C.C.; Hawley, C.A.; Hughes, M.J.; Francis, B.; Wojtacha, D.; Man, T.Y.; et al. CSF1 Restores Innate Immunity After Liver Injury in Mice and Serum Levels Indicate Outcomes of Patients With Acute Liver Failure. *Gastroenterology* 2015, 149, 1896–1909.e14. [CrossRef]
- Church, R.J.; Kullak-Ublick, G.A.; Aubrecht, J.; Bonkovsky, H.L.; Chalasani, N.; Fontana, R.J.; Goepfert, J.C.; Hackman, F.; King, N.M.P.; Kirby, S.; et al. Candidate Biomarkers for the Diagnosis and Prognosis of Drug-Induced Liver Injury: An International Collaborative Effort. *Hepatology* 2019, 69, 760–773. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Humphries, C.; Dear, J.W. Novel Biomarkers for Drug-Induced Liver Injury. Clin. Toxicol. 2023, 61, 567–572. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Ye, H.; Nelson, L.J.; Del Moral, M.G.; Martínez-Naves, E.; Cubero, F.J. Dissecting the Molecular Pathophysiology of Drug-Induced Liver Injury. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2018**, *24*, 1373. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Dos Santos, T.N.; Duarte, F.B.; Filho, P.A.M.; De Jesus Dos Santos, T.E.; Barbosa, M.C.; De Almeida Filho, T.P.; Cavalcanti, B.C.; De Vasconcelos, P.R.L.; Dutra, L.L.; Lopes, G.S.; et al. Association of Oxidative Stress and DNA Damage with Grafting Time in Patients with Multiple Myeloma and Lymphoma Submitted to Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation. *Rev. Assoc. Med. Bras.* 2016, *62*, 39–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gonçalves, T.L.; Benvegnú, D.M.; Bonfanti, G.; Frediani, A.V.; Rocha, J.B.T. δ-ALA-D Activity Is a Reliable Marker for Oxidative Stress in Bone Marrow Transplant Patients. *BMC Cancer* 2009, *9*, 138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Nakazato, T.; Ito, C.; Shimazaki, K.; Arakaki, H.; Aisa, Y. Evaluation of Oxidative Stress Markers in Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation Patients. *Blood* 2015, *126*, 1925. [CrossRef]
- Saeed, H.; Alstott, M.C.; Mitov, M.; Butterfield, D.A.; Van Meter Dressler, E.; Yalamanchi, S.; Hayslip, J.; Monohan, G. Oxidative Stress Following High Dose Chemotherapy with Autologous Stem Cell Transplant. *Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2016, 22, S131–S132. [CrossRef]

- Mikulski, D.; Nowicki, M.; Dróźdż, I.; Misiewicz, M.; Kościelny, K.P.; Okoński, K.; Krawiec, K.; Perdas, E.; Wierzbowska, A.; Fendler, W. High Serum MiR-223-3p Expression Level Predicts Complete Response and Prolonged Overall Survival in Multiple Myeloma Patients Undergoing Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation. *Front. Oncol.* 2023, *13*, 1250355. [CrossRef]
- Navarro, A.; Díaz, T.; Tovar, N.; Pedrosa, F.; Tejero, R.; Cibeira, M.T.; Magnano, L.; Rosiñol, L.; Monzó, M.; Bladé, J.; et al. A Serum MicroRNA Signature Associated with Complete Remission and Progression after Autologous Stem-Cell Transplantation in Patients with Multiple Myeloma. Oncotarget 2015, 6, 1874–1883. [CrossRef]
- 51. Sevcikova, A.; Fridrichova, I.; Nikolaieva, N.; Kalinkova, L.; Omelka, R.; Martiniakova, M.; Ciernikova, S. Clinical Significance of MicroRNAs in Hematologic Malignancies and Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 2658. [CrossRef]
- 52. Reikvam, H.; Vo, A.K.; Johansen, S.; Hemsing, A.L.; Solheim, M.H.; Mosevoll, K.A.; Tvedt, T.H.A.; Hatfield, K.J. MicroRNA Serum Profiles and Chronic Graft-versus-Host Disease. *Blood Adv.* **2022**, *6*, 5295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bearman, S.I.; Appelbaum, F.R.; Buckner, C.D.; Petersen, F.B.; Fisher, L.D.; Clift, R.A.; Thomas, E.D. Regimen-Related Toxicity in Patients Undergoing Bone Marrow Transplantation. J. Clin. Oncol. 2016, 6, 1562–1568. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Labonté, L.; Iqbal, T.; Zaidi, M.A.; McDiarmid, S.A.; Huebsch, L.B.; Tay, J.; Atkins, H.; Allan, D.S. Utility of Comorbidity Assessment in Predicting Transplantation-Related Toxicity Following Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for Multiple Myeloma. *Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2008, 14, 1039–1044. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Grabia, S.; Smyczynska, U.; Pagacz, K.; Fendler, W. NormiRazor: Tool Applying GPU-Accelerated Computing for Determination of Internal References in MicroRNA Transcription Studies. *BMC Bioinform.* **2020**, *21*, 425. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Mikulski, D.; Dróżdż, I.; Perdas, E.; Stawiski, K.; Nowicki, M.; Misiewicz, M.; Strzałka, P.; Wierzbowska, A.; Fendler, W. Changes in the Microrna Expression Profile in Patients Undergoing Autologous Steam Cell Transplantation. *Blood* 2021, 138, 4789. [CrossRef]
- 57. Chang, L.; Zhou, G.; Soufan, O.; Xia, J. miRNet 2.0: Network-based visual analytics for miRNA functional analysis and systems biology. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2020, *48*, W244–W251. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.